

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

3

4 KARS 4 KIDS, INC.,
5 PLAINTIFF

6

Vs.

CIVIL NO.
14-7770 (PGS)

7

AMERICA CAN!,
8 DEFENDANT

REDACTED VERSION

9

10

11 **NOVEMBER 21, 2019**
12 CLARKSON S. FISHER COURTHOUSE
13 402 EAST STATE STREET
14 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08608

15

16

B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE PETER G. SHERIDAN
17 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
18 DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

19

20

21

22

HEARING ON DAMAGES

23

24

25

FRANCIS J. GABLE, C.C.R., C.R.R.
OFFICIAL U.S. REPORTER
(856) 889-4761

26

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:

2

3 WALSH, PIZZI, O'REILLY, FALANGA, LLP
BY: MARC D. HAEFNER, ESQUIRE
FOR THE PLAINTIFF

4

5

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
BY: PETER D. VOGL, ESQUIRE
6 DAVID LITTERINE-KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE
BRIGGS WRIGHT, ESQUIRE
7 FOR THE PLAINTIFF

8

FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP
9 BY: CHRISTOPHER R. KINKADE, ESQUIRE
ALLISON L. HOLLOWS, ESQUIRE
10 FOR THE DEFENDANT

11

THE PITTMAN LAW FIRM, PC
12 BY: AUBREY NICK PITTMAN, ESQUIRE
FOR THE DEFENDANT

13

14 YANAROS LAW, PC
BY: VALERIE YANAROS WILDE, ESQUIRE
15 FOR THE DEFENDANT

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	WITNESS	
2		
	MALCOLM WENTWORTH	11
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. PITTMAN	11
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. VOGL	46
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. PITTMAN	56
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. VOGL	62
7	BRYCE COOK	63
	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF BRYCE COOK BY MR. PITTMAN	64
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF BRYCE COOK BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN	101
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF BRYCE COOK BY MR. PITTMAN	122
	ESTI LANDAU	127
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ESTI LANDAU BY MR. VOGL	127
	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ESTI LANDAU BY MR. KINKADE	139
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF ESTI LANDAU BY MR. VOGL	152
	DAVID HALL	154
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID HALL BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN	155
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID HALL BY MR. PITTMAN	186
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

EXHIBITS

2**3** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-438 was marked into 15
evidence**4** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-439 was marked into 16
evidence**5** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-440 was marked into 17
evidence**6** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-441 was marked into 19
evidence**7** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-442 was marked into 23
evidence**8** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-449 was marked into 24
evidence**9** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-443 was marked into 32
evidence**10** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-444 was marked into 35
evidence**11** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-445 was marked into 37
evidence**12** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-446 was marked into 65
evidence**13** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-447 was marked into 76
evidence**14** Defense Exhibit ACCFK-448 was marked into 100
evidence**15** Plaintiff Exhibit K4K-500 was marked into 133
evidence**16** Plaintiff Exhibit k4k-111 was marked into 155
evidence**17** Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-507 was marked into 169
evidence**18** Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-508 was marked into 173
evidence**19** Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-509 was marked into 176
evidence**20** Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-513 was marked into 178
evidence**21** Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-523 was marked into 185
evidence**22****23****24****25**

1 THE COURT: Good morning.

2 (Counsel say good morning.)

3 THE COURT: Please be seated.

4 So, are the parties ready to go?

00:00 5 MR. VOGL: Yes, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. So we had a brief
7 conversation in my chambers, and we're going to hear testimony
8 first. We agreed that Cars For Kids with a C will proceed
9 initially and present their witnesses, and then we'd go into
10 Kars 4 Kids with a K after that.

11 Do you agree with that, Mr. Pittman?

12 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay. So we're ready to go.

14 MR. VOGL: The only request, your Honor, may I make
00:00 15 a record of my objection to certain of the testimony that may
16 be given in this case?

17 THE COURT: You may.

18 MR. VOGL: Thank you, your Honor.

19 Thank you, and good morning, your Honor. As your
00:00 20 Honor knows, the parties have exchanged witness lists for
21 today's proceedings. In the witness list that America Can!
22 provided to us they identified a fact witness, Mr. Wentworth.
23 And in addition to identifying Mr. Wentworth they gave us some
24 general categories that he either will or may testify on. And
00:01 25 with respect to may testify, they identified that he may also

1 provide testimony regarding corrective advertising, and a
2 hypothetical reasonable royalty.

3 And your Honor is -- it is our position that both of
4 those types of damages are compensatory damages, they are not
5 equitable. And indeed your Honor has previously ruled that
6 corrective advertising is not going to be a part of this
7 proceeding.

8 Your Honor also has ruled that their expert witness
9 could not testify as to reasonable royalty; there has been no
10 disclosure of a reasonable royalty calculus that they are
11 intending to present today. It's not presented in their
12 pretrial order. And as the *Bornstein* case here in the Third
13 Circuit indicates, you need to identify all types of damages
14 that you're seeking in this case, and reasonable royalty is
15 not disclosed.

16 So we would ask your Honor not to allow Mr.
17 Wentworth to testify as to either corrective advertising and
18 hypothetical reasonable royalty, as your Honor has already
19 decided both of those issues in our favor. Thank you, your
20 Honor.

21 THE COURT: So, if I can just follow up with you for
22 a second --

23 MR. VOGL: Sure.

24 THE COURT: If I recall the law correct, you can
25 seek corrective advertising and a royalty, but then if you

1 attempt to use that as your measure of damages, then you're
2 not entitled to loss of profits; right?

3 MR. VOGL: Not entitled to disgorgement of profits,
4 correct.

00:03 5 THE COURT: And what I had ruled back in May I guess
6 it was, was that what we were looking at was loss of profits;
7 right?

8 MR. VOGL: Disgorgement of our profits, yes.

9 THE COURT: Disgorgement of your profits --

00:03 10 MR. VOGL: Correct, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you for correcting me there.

12 MR. VOGL: Yes.

13 THE COURT: So the only issue that I really have
14 left is the disgorgement of profits; right?

00:03 15 MR. VOGL: That is correct, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: And do you disagree with that, Mr.
17 Pittman?

18 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you tell me why.

00:03 20 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, I'll take them separately.

21 The law allows -- in addition to disgorgement of profits, the
22 law also allows corrective advertising, which is a form of
23 damages. And the statute 15 U.S.C. Section 1117 is clear that
24 it allows: Number (1), defendant's profits, (2), any damages
00:04 25 sustained by the plaintiff, and (3) cost of the action.

1 So the statute itself provides that in addition to
2 the disgorgement of profits any other damages that were
3 sustained by the plaintiff, in this case those would be
4 corrective advertising damages that America Can! Cars For Kids
00:04 5 would have to pay to repair the reputation that has been
6 damaged by K4K in Texas. So those are -- that's in addition
7 to -- the corrective advertising rather is in addition to the
8 disgorgement of defendant's profits.

9 As to the reasonable royalties, the reasonable
00:04 10 royalties can be a substitute for defendant's profits. So
11 that the Court in equity, if the Court decides that the --
12 that it would rather take the reasonable royalty assessment as
13 opposed to -- so you can do either/or; it wouldn't be
14 disgorgement of profits plus reasonable royalties, you can
00:05 15 take -- if your Honor's satisfied with the evidence of
16 disgorgement, because we have two experts, if your Honor's
17 satisfied with that, then your Honor can just take the
18 disgorgement of profit number that our expert and their expert
19 calculates.

00:05 20 On the other hand, if the Court believes that the --
21 there's some issues with some of the expenses they're trying
22 to deduct or some other uncertainties about that number, then
23 the Court can do the reasonable royalties as an alternative to
24 the disgorgement. So you wouldn't get both of them, your
00:05 25 Honor, you would get --

1 THE COURT: Didn't I already make this decision
2 earlier that this case was about the disgorgement of profits?
3 And the reason we didn't have it presented to the jury was
4 because it required an accounting type of situation. So, I
5 thought that was a decision that the Court had to make.

6 So, I've already decided the issue; I don't see why
7 I should redo that decision.

8 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right. So then with regard to
10 reasonable royalties, I don't see why that's germane to this
11 hearing. And I suppose I would put corrective advertising in
12 the same realm. So, I don't see why that's reasonable or
13 germane to this proceeding as well as evidence. So I would
14 deny -- in accepting the testimony today, we should just be
15 focused on the loss of profits.

16 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

17 MR. VOGL: Thank you, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: So I can tell you what I said
19 previously, in part anyway. When I previously ruled I
20 indicated: An accounting of the infringer's profits is
21 available if the defendant is unjustly enriched, if the
22 plaintiff sustained damages or if an accounting is necessary
23 to deter infringement. And that's *Banjo Buddies*, 399 F.3d
24 168, 178 (3d. Cir. 2005).

25 An award of the trademark infringer's profits

1 originated in the law as a way of compensating the plaintiff
2 for sales lost to the infringer, when the parties are in
3 competition with each other. That's 3 McCarthy On Trademarks
4 And Unfair Competition, Section 30:59, 5th Edition, 2019.

00:08

5 In situations where parties compete, it is not
6 appropriate to award both plaintiff's damages and defendant's
7 profits, because defendant's profits are an attempt to measure
8 a plaintiff's actual loss. That comes from 3 McCarthy On
9 Trademarks, Section 30:73, 5th Edition again, 2019.

00:08

10 Moreover, damages and profits cannot be awarded
11 simultaneously if it would result in overcompensation; where
12 the parties directly compete overcompensation may result if
13 plaintiff seeks both damages for lost profits on sales
14 diverted to the infringer, and the profits made on those sales
15 by the infringer.

00:09

16 And that ruling was on May 9th, 2019.

17 So, I've already gone through those two issues. And
18 it seems to me what they're trying to prevent here is a double
19 recovery by Cars For Kids with a C. So, what I ruled was
20 based on all the evidence that I had at the time in May,
21 before we began the trial; that the damages would be resolved
22 by calculating the disgorgement of Kars 4 Kids profits. Thank
23 you.

00:09

24 Mr. Pittman, you may proceed.

00:10

25 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, America Can! Cars For Kids

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 calls Malcolm Wentworth.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wentworth.

3 THE COURT: Although he was sworn during testimony,
4 Dolores, we should re-swear the witness.

00:10 5 (MALCOLM WENTWORTH), sworn

6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name for the record.

7 THE WITNESS: Malcolm Wentworth.

8 THE COURT: You may be seated. Good morning, Mr.
9 Wentworth.

00:10 10 THE WITNESS: Good morning, your Honor.

11 MR. PITTMAN: May I approach the witness with a
12 notebook?

13 THE COURT: You may.

14 (Handing to witness.)

00:10 15 (DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. PITTMAN:)

16 Q. Sir, can you reintroduce yourself to the judge and tell
17 him what you do for a living?

18 A. My name is Malcolm Wentworth, I am the chief operating
19 officer for America Can! Cars For Kids.

00:11 20 Q. You're here in your role as corporate representative for
21 America Can! Cars For Kids?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Can you give us a sense of what you've done to prepare
24 yourself to testify today?

00:11 25 A. I have gone over all of the material; talked with prior

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 employees, current employees; and reread all of the testimony
2 and have looked at a lot of documents.

3 Q. Have you reviewed business records from America Can! Cars
4 For Kids over the years?

00:12 5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. Now, let me ask you about some of the requests that
7 America Can! Cars For Kids and America Can! are making today.
8 One of the things that y'all are asking the judge for is
9 injunctive relief; is that right?

00:12 10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. From a factual standpoint as an officer of the
12 organization, why is America Can! Cars For Kids requesting
13 injunctive relief?

14 A. Primarily so we don't have to do this again. If they can
00:12 15 continue to use our name throughout the State of Texas, then
16 they will continue to cause potential donors to be misled,
17 confused, and we will have to do this all over again.

18 Q. Now, during the liability phase of the trial both sides
19 presented evidence with which there was argument that it was
00:13 20 likelihood of confusion and actual confusion. Do you recall
21 generally that testimony?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Have any of those kinds of acts or kinds of instances
24 continued since the trial?

00:13 25 A. Yes, sir.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. And does America Can! Cars For Kids have any concern
2 whether these types of acts of confusion will continue?

3 A. Yes, sir, they will continue.

4 Q. Now, since the jury verdict has America Can! Cars For
5 Kids seen any advertisings in Texas from Kars 4 Kids or K4K?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. Let me ask you to take a look at what's marked as America
8 Can! Cars For Kids Exhibit 438.

9 THE COURT: How did we mark documents during the
10 trial, Mr. Pittman, your documents?

11 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, the documents were
12 premarked, we were instructed at the last trial to premark
13 them prior to trial, to give them a -- to give them a number.

14 THE COURT: So we used ACCFK?

15 MR. PITTMAN: Correct, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

17 Q. Can you identify Exhibit 438?

18 A. Yes, sir. It is a screen shot of a Google search in
19 Texas.

20 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, America Can! Cars For Kids
21 offers ACCFK Exhibit 438 in evidence.

22 THE COURT: Any objections?

23 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, I don't know who did this
24 screen search, so I would object until we get to that.

25 THE COURT: All right. So you think Mr. Pittman

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 needs more foundation.

2 MR. VOGL: Yes, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: So Mr. Pittman, if you would continue
4 with that.

00:15 5 BY MR. PITTMAN:

6 Q. Mr. Wentworth, during the course of time after the jury
7 verdict, have you and your employees made various searches on
8 engines like Google.

9 A. Yes, sir.

00:15 10 Q. And during that time did you personally take screen shots
11 of various items that were on Google and Fox News and other
12 items?

13 A. Yes, sir, and I sent them to you.

00:15 14 Q. And is Exhibit 438 one of those items that y'all searched
15 and found the Kars 4 Kids information?

16 A. Yes, sir, with the local Kars 4 Kids 877 -- or excuse me;
17 yes, sir.

18 THE COURT: When did you take that screen shot, Mr.
19 Wentworth?

00:16 20 THE WITNESS: Sir, I took probably 50 of them over
21 the course of the end of the trial, through two days ago, sir.

22 Q. Sir, if you look at the bottom of the screen shot on
23 Exhibit 438, do you see the date there?

24 A. Yes, sir, September 10th.

00:16 25 Q. 2019?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Yes, sir. Sorry.

2 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move into evidence Exhibit
3 438.

4 MR. VOGL: I maintain my objection, your Honor. We
5 still don't know if Mr. Wentworth actually did this. We heard
6 that someone, either he or his team did it. I'd like to know
7 that Mr. Wentworth did it so he can testify as to this
8 document specifically.

9 THE COURT: Can you just ask him that question?

10 MR. PITTMAN: Yes.

11 BY MR. PITTMAN:

12 Q. Sir, is this one of the Google searches you did?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move for admission of
15 Exhibit 438.

16 MR. VOGL: No objection.

17 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

18 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-438 was marked into
19 evidence.)

20 BY MR. PITTMAN:

21 Q. Sir, did you see other ads after the jury verdict?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Take a look at what's marked as ACCFK-439.

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. And what is ACCFK-439?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. It is a screen shot of banner ads for Kars 4 Kids with a
2 K.

3 Q. And this is a banner add that was found where?

4 A. On a cellphone.

00:17 5 Q. And it was on a search for what?

6 A. This was just GuideLive, sir.

7 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move for admission of
8 ACCFK-439.

9 THE COURT: Any objections, Mr. Vogl?

00:17 10 MR. VOGL: No objection.

11 THE COURT: Okay, admitted.

12 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-439 was marked into
13 evidence.)

14 Q. Sir, take a look now at what's marked as ACCFK Exhibit
00:18 15 440.

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. And what is Exhibit 440?

18 A. It is a screen shot of again showing Kars 4 Kids banner
19 ads for Weather.com.

00:18 20 Q. And it's a search that was done of Weather.com in what
21 area?

22 A. In the DFW area, specifically for Arlington.

23 Q. Can you look at the screen shot and tell the Court when
24 this ad appeared?

00:18 25 A. 14 November 2019.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. Just about a week ago?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move for admission of

4 ACCFK-440.

00:18

5 MR. VOGL: Again, your Honor, I would ask that the
6 witness clarify whether he did this particular screen shot or
7 whether someone on his team did it.

8 BY MR. PITTMAN:

9 Q. Mr. Wentworth, is this one of the screen shots you

00:19

10 provided?

11 A. I do believe so. I sent you so many, this one in
12 particular I do believe so.

13 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move for admission of
14 Exhibit 440.

00:19

15 MR. VOGL: It really doesn't answer my question,
16 your Honor. He provided it, but who did it.

17 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

18 Someone on your staff did it; right, Mr. Wentworth?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

00:19

20 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.

21 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-440 was marked into
22 evidence.)

23 BY MR. PITTMAN:

24 Q. Now, Mr. Wentworth, in looking at the ad that's on 440

00:19

25 that appeared in Arlington, Texas, what does K4K say about --

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 about where they can take ads -- I'm sorry; where they can
2 receive donations of automobiles?

3 A. Nationwide, sir.

4 Q. And nationwide, in your opinion does that include Texas?

00:19 5 A. Specifically Texas, because it's shown in Texas.

6 Q. So the way you look at this in terms of why you're
7 requesting the injunction, do you look at this Exhibit 440 to
8 say that Kars 4 Kids is still accepting nationwide donations?

9 A. Yes, sir.

00:20 10 Q. Now, take a look at now what I've marked as ACCFK Exhibit
11 449.

12 A. I do not have a 449. My ends in 445.

13 Q. Well, let me ask you then -- let me move to 441.

14 A. But I can see 449?

00:20 15 THE COURT: Can you take 449 down please?

16 A. What number was that again please?

17 Q. 441?

18 A. Got it.

19 Q. And can you identify Exhibit 441?

00:21 20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And what is it?

22 A. It is a home page for FoxNews.com.

23 Q. And can you tell the Court whether Exhibit 441 is a

24 search you did or an ad that appeared on there from a search

00:21 25 you did?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. It's not a search, it's one of my home buttons, it's the
2 home page to Fox News. So it wasn't a search on anything
3 other than the FoxNews.com.

4 Q. So it's --

10:21 5 A. It's their front main webpage.

6 Q. So Fox News is something you've got on your desktop?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And is that shown in the lines here of the different
9 things you have?

10:22 10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. And so this is an ad that appeared on your computer as
12 you were looking for Fox News?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 MR. PITTMAN: I move for admission of ACCFK-441.

10:22 15 THE COURT: Any objection?

16 MR. VOGL: No objection.

17 THE COURT: Okay, admitted.

18 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-441 was marked into
19 evidence.)

10:22 20 BY MR. PITTMAN:

21 Q. Now, sir, since the jury verdict have you seen or heard
22 of instances of K4K possibly continuing to actually receive
23 donations in Texas?

24 A. Yes, sir.

10:22 25 Q. Such as what?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Such as my executive director called them --

2 MR. VOGL: Objection, your Honor; hearsay.

3 THE COURT: Frank, can you just repeat that answer?

4 (Answer read back by the reporter.)

00:23 5 THE COURT: Do you wish to respond to that
6 objection?

7 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor. It's not offered for
8 the truth, it's offered for the effect. The effect of that
9 call is that -- as this witness will testify, is that she
00:23 10 received a call back from K4K, and that would be an admission,
11 it wouldn't be hearsay.

12 THE COURT: I don't know about that. Okay,
13 sustained. Next question.

14 BY MR. PITTMAN:

00:23 15 Q. Sir, did there come a time when someone from K4K
16 contacted someone from America Can! Cars For Kids?

17 A. To pick up a vehicle --

18 MR. VOGL: Same objection, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Wait; you can't complete the question
00:23 20 for your attorney, Mr. Wentworth.

21 So, Mr. Pittman, can you restate the question?

22 Q. Sir, did there come a time on September 21st, 2019, at
23 3:30 p.m., where someone from K4K called one of your directors
24 on her cellphone?

00:24 25 MR. VOGL: Objection, your Honor; hearsay.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 THE COURT: No, it didn't ask that, he just said if
2 there was a communication. He can answer that question.

3 MR. VOGL: Okay.

4 A. Yes, sir.

00:24 5 Q. I'm going to ask you to now take a look at ACCFK Exhibit
6 442.

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And what is Exhibit 442?

9 A. It is a screen shot of my executive director's cellphone.

00:24 10 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move for admission of
11 ACCFK-442.

12 THE COURT: Well, I think you need more foundation.

13 Q. Sir, in looking at Exhibit 442, there's a telephone
14 number on there where the call -- where the call originated?

00:24 15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Do you see that? And whose telephone number is that,
17 sir?

18 A. That is coming from Kars 4 Kids with a K call center.

19 Q. And how do you know that this number is their call
00:25 20 center?

21 A. Because they identified themselves as Kars 4 Kids.

22 MR. VOGL: Objection, your Honor; this is the
23 hearsay that we asked the Court exclude.

24 THE COURT: All right.

00:25 25 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, it's an admission if it's

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 -- if it's K4K saying that we're K4K, that's not hearsay.

2 That's a classic admission, your Honor.

3 MR. VOGL: He didn't hear it, your Honor.

4 THE WITNESS: I did hear it.

00:25 5 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Wentworth, please.

6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

7 THE COURT: I didn't hear you, Mr. Vogl.

8 MR. VOGL: I'm sorry, your Honor. I said the point

9 is, your Honor, that this particular phone number and this

00:25 10 particular phone is not Mr. Wentworth's phone. And this

11 particular phone number, whatever it is, he only knows it

12 because he's allegedly spoken to somebody who told him that

13 this call came in.

14 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Pittman, can you ask Mr.

00:25 15 Wentworth if he knows or knew of Kars 4 Kids' phone number?

16 MR. PITTMAN: Yes.

17 THE COURT: And I suppose what I need to do, I'm

18 looking at this screen shot as I would look at a photo; so,

19 you know, Mr. Wentworth would have to testify this is what he

00:26 20 saw at the time.

21 MR. PITTMAN: Correct.

22 THE COURT: So you haven't laid that foundation.

23 BY MR. PITTMAN:

24 Q. Mr. Wentworth, how did you come about receiving this

00:26 25 screen shot?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Because I listened to the phone call and after it was
2 over I called you and said --

3 Q. Don't talk about attorney/client communication.

4 A. Okay. And then we took a snapshot. She sent it to me
5 and I sent it to you, but I listened to the call on speaker
6 phone.

7 Q. So can you tell the Court whether the Exhibit 442, the
8 732 number, was a phone call that was made by someone who
9 represented that they were from K4K?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 MR. PITTMAN: I move for admission of Exhibit 442.

12 THE COURT: Do you object, Mr. Vogl?

13 MR. VOGL: No objection.

14 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

15 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-442 was marked into
16 evidence.)

17 BY MR. PITTMAN:

18 Q. Sir, earlier we were looking for Exhibit 449 --

19 MR. PITTMAN: May I approach, your Honor?

20 THE COURT: You may. Did you show it to your
21 adversary?

22 MR. PITTMAN: Yes. We already sent them these
23 yesterday, but I'll give him another copy.

24 MR. VOGL: Thank you.

25 (Handing to witness.)

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 BY MR. PITTMAN:

2 Q. I'm now showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 449.

3 Can you identify Exhibit 449?

4 A. Yes, sir, it is a screen shot from the Star-Telegram.com,
5 the digital website.

6 Q. And Exhibit 449, is that similar to the other documents
7 we've discussed that were obtained by you or your people?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, move for admission of
10 Exhibit 449.

11 MR. VOGL: No objection.

12 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

13 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-449 was marked into
14 evidence.)

15 BY MR. PITTMAN:

16 Q. And sir, what is the Fort Worth Star-Telegram?

17 A. That is the Fort Worth and surrounding areas' digital
18 newspaper.

19 Q. So is it your understanding that there was a search on a
20 Fort Worth Star Telegram and this Kars 4 Kids ad appeared?

21 A. I don't believe it was a search, it was just reviewing
22 different articles that were on it that led up to these pop-up
23 banners. So it wasn't a search for anything specific, it was
24 from their just links on their webpage.

25 Q. So it wasn't -- the computer where this appeared, there

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 wasn't necessarily a search done for cars.

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Is this what's called a pop-up ad?

4 A. Pop-up banner ad, yes, sir.

10:29 5 Q. Now, sir, one of the things that we're asking the Court
6 for is money damages, and we're also asking for an injunction.
7 Do you have an opinion whether future money damages would be
8 satisfactory, or would that be an injunction that you're
9 requesting?

10:29 10 MR. VOGL: Your Honor --

11 THE COURT: Well, I don't understand the question,
12 so it's sustained. Next question.

13 Q. Sir, in terms of your reasons for wanting an injunction,
14 we've just looked at a number of ads that have continued since
10:29 15 the jury verdict; does America Can! Cars For Kids have an
16 opinion as to whether or not you believe an injunction is
17 necessary to prevent further ads like the ones we've just
18 looked at?

19 A. Yes, sir. Or we'll be back here again.

10:30 20 Q. Now, let me ask you about the reputation and goodwill of
21 America Can! Cars For Kids. What kinds of things has America
22 Can! Cars For Kids done over the years in Texas to establish
23 your reputation and goodwill?

10:30 24 A. We have provided students with a multitude of items from
25 clothing to housing; to help them on their way to schools; in

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 the community our reputation is one excellence. We've been a
2 staple throughout Texas for our prowess, for 2019 Nonprofit of
3 the Year by the Dallas Business Journal; the accolades and
4 recognition we get, our CFO was awarded CFO of the year. This
5 tarnishes and would continue to tarnish our name as well as
6 financially hurt us.

7 Q. So, what effect do you believe if the Court doesn't grant
8 an injunction, what effect do you think it would have on the
9 ability of America Can! Cars For Kids to sort of manage its
10 own reputation and goodwill?

11 A. We would always have to continue to separate ourselves
12 from Kars 4 Kids with a K. Issues that, you know, somebody
13 possibly laugh about it, the Jimmy Fallon issue, the Bill
14 O'Reilly, when they make fun of the jungle, and then we go
15 places and people, oh yeah, I know you; and to have us
16 continually have to say no, we're not them.

17 We don't have the attorney generals coming down our
18 back and we have to defend ourselves; no, that's not us. When
19 we go the National Automotive Conference and we wear our badge
20 Cars For Kids, every year they say oh, we know who you are; no
21 that's not us. It would just continue.

22 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, may I approach the
23 witness?

24 THE COURT: You may.

25 (Handing to witness.)

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 THE COURT: Did you show that document to your
2 adversary?

3 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, it's a copy of an exhibit
4 of theirs that they gave us yesterday.

10:33 5 THE COURT: So he still needs to know what you're
6 looking at.

7 MR. PITTMAN: Yes.

8 (Showing to counsel.)

9 Q. Sir, can you take a look at what's marked as K4K Exhibit
10 502?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And what is it?

13 A. It appears to be a Kars 4 Kids landing page, Kars 4 Kids
14 with a K, telling how their program works in Texas.

10:33 15 Q. Their program works where?

16 A. It says in TX, Texas.

17 Q. And where does it say in the K4K ad that you're looking
18 at that's Exhibit 502, where does it say K4K picks up
19 vehicles?

10:33 20 A. Nationwide.

21 Q. And can you turn over to the next page?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. Does this say -- does it indicate on this K4K webpage
24 where K4K picks up cars in Texas?

10:34 25 A. Everywhere.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. It names dozens of cities; is that correct?

2 A. Yes, sir, Abilene, Abloy, Dallas, Big Sandy, probably a
3 hundred of them.

4 Q. And is that continued on the third page?

00:34 5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. Now, at the top of page 1 of Exhibit 502, do you see
7 there there's something at the very top, it's in probably the
8 smallest print on the page?

9 A. Yes, sir.

00:34 10 Q. Do you see that?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. What does that say?

13 A. We are not currently accepting donations in Texas.

14 Q. Now, sir, let's assume that that's true, let's assume
00:35 15 that Kars 4 Kids says that they can't pick up cars in Texas,
16 but they continue to advertise like they're doing on Exhibit
17 502 and some of the other advertisements we've seen; if they
18 continue to advertise in Texas but can't pick up cars in
19 Texas, how would America Can! Cars For Kids be hurt if at all?

00:35 20 A. Sir, it's the same premise now is when our donors look
21 for us and accidentally click on their paid advertisement, it's
22 going to see and show oh, we can't pick up in Texas; so my
23 donors are going to think are these guys idiots or what.

00:35 24 Because you're paying an ad, it runs across all of the
25 Internet in Texas, and yet you can't pick up in Texas.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 So ultimately they are either going to give to Kars 4
2 Kids with a K, or they're going to be frustrated and not give
3 to me and find somebody else that is in Texas.

4 Q. So you mean whether Kars 4 Kids picks up in Texas or not,
5 the fact that they are just advertising in Texas, that affects
6 you?

7 A. If they advertise in Texas and we know there's been
8 confusion and there will be continued confusion, when our
9 donors go to their website and if they were to have it big as,
10 you know, the largest font there, oh, well we can't pick up in
11 Texas; so they're going to end up looking for somebody else
12 that is not Cars For Kids with a C.

13 Q. Let me ask you now to take a look at America Can! Cars
14 For Kids Exhibit 421.

15 A. Yes, sir. 421. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And what is Exhibit 421?

17 A. 421 is a landing page for Kars 4 Kids with a K to donate
18 your car.

19 Q. And you heard during the liability trial that this was a
20 page that was being used by K4K?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And at some point after the jury verdict did you find
23 out -- or what did you find out about this website?

24 A. That it has my Cars For Kids with a C throughout.

25 Q. And in terms of the website itself, did you find out it

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 was parked?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And can you explain what that means that a website is

4 parked or a URL is parked?

00:37 5 A. It's not being redirected to a live webpage. If anybody

6 goes there it will just show website is not currently active.

7 Q. And at some point after that dotcom was parked, what if

8 anything did you notice about donations to America Can! Cars

9 For Kids?

00:38 10 A. Our donations in specifically Dallas and Houston, picked

11 up significantly.

12 Q. And what did you think this meant as it relates to this

13 dotcom that K4K was using?

14 A. Well, it can only mean one thing --

00:38 15 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, objection; calls for

16 speculation.

17 THE COURT: I'll allow the witness to testify.

18 A. It can only mean one thing because we did not change

19 anything --

00:38 20 THE COURT: I should clarify that; I'll allow him to

21 testify as to the impact it had on Cars For Kids with a C.

22 MR. PITTMAN: Correct.

23 BY MR. PITTMAN:

24 Q. So what impact did it have on America Can! Cars For Kids

00:39 25 donations in Texas?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Our car donation numbers increased significantly.

2 Q. Now, what if anything did America Can! Cars For Kids do
3 to investigate whether this impact on your donations was a
4 result of the parking of the URL?

00:39

5 A. Because we did not change any advertising, the only thing
6 that was different was the Cars For Kids with a C dotcom was
7 parked. So donors were able to find us on dotorg, versus
8 going directly to Kars 4 Kids with a K.

00:39

9 Q. And did you ask your expert, Mr. Cook, to take a look at
10 it to do an analysis of that?

11 A. I did, sir.

12 Q. I ask you to take a look at what's marked as America Can!
13 Cars For Kids Exhibit 443. Can you identify Exhibit 443?

00:40

14 A. Yes, sir. It is a spreadsheet that I am responsible for
15 to track comparison and comparative years of donations by
16 different areas.

17 Q. And were the documents from which this Exhibit 443 was
18 compiled made and kept in the regular course of business at
19 America Can! Cars For Kids?

00:40

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And were the documents kept by your organization as part
22 of its regular practice?

23 A. Yes, sir.

00:40

24 Q. Were the documents prepared by your organization at or
25 near a time of the events in the document?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. And do you have knowledge of the events recorded in

3 Exhibit 443?

4 A. Yes, sir.

00:40 5 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, we offer into evidence
6 ACCFK Exhibit 443.

7 MR. VOGL: No objection, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

9 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-443 was marked into
00:40 10 evidence.)

11 BY MR. PITTMAN:

12 Q. And sir, did you provide this information to Mr. Cook?

13 A. I did, sir.

14 Q. By the way, what percentage would you say America Can!
00:40 15 Cars For Kids receives donations through their URL, the
16 dotorg?

17 A. It's approximately 40 percent, but I use that loosely,
18 because people still go to the website and call in. So, I
19 believe that number is significantly more, but I can tell you
00:41 20 factually that 40 percent send us an online from our website
21 directly, but they also go and get our phone number.

22 I don't know how many people remember a phone number
23 from listening to it or seeing it on TV; if you're driving in
24 your car you're not writing it down, so I believe that number
00:41 25 to be significantly more, but there's no way to quantitatively

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 validate that.

2 Q. Now, you testified a moment ago that this -- that the
3 CarsForKids.com URL is not currently being used, it's being
4 parked; can you -- the URL that America Can! Cars For Kids
5 uses is what?

6 A. That we use?

7 Q. Yes, sir.

8 A. It's www C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dot o-r-g.

9 Q. So as far as the parking of the CarsForKids.com website,
10 what if any is the impact of that website remaining parked?

11 A. Well, there's two; one, the positive note is that we can
12 continue to get some of the donations that our donors are
13 looking for our name, and Kars 4 Kids with a K is not getting
14 them.

15 The bad thing about it is if somebody goes to find us
16 and finds our name at a website that is down and consistently
17 down, they're going to look at us as incompetent, and why
18 would I give to somebody that can't even keep their own
19 website up.

20 Q. So, what is it that America Can! Cars For Kids is asking
21 the Court to do regarding the CarsForKids.com URL?

22 A. Transfer our -- our name dotcom to us.

23 Q. Now, in terms of the experts --

24 THE COURT: Wait; can you go into a little bit more
25 depth on that?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor.

2 Q. Sir, the CarsForKids.com URL, how is the spelling of
3 that?

4 A. Www C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom, c-o-m. The only
5 difference is the last three letters.

6 Q. And the Cars For Kids is the same name that y'all use for
7 your dotorg website?

8 A. Exactly the same, it's just the last three -- the dotorg
9 versus dotcom.

10 Q. And the advertising that y'all use is also spelled the
11 same way as is this dotcom you're asking the Court to turn
12 over to you?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Wentworth, what are you asking me to
15 transfer to you?

16 THE WITNESS: The --

17 THE COURT: Is it a domain name?

18 THE WITNESS: It is a domain, yes, sir, of our name,
19 C-a-r-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom.

20 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

21 BY MR. PITTMAN:

22 Q. Now, you mentioned a moment ago that you have provided
23 some information to expert Mr. Cook; during the course of the
24 trial and afterwards did Mr. Cook actually have an occasion to
25 visit America Can! Cars For Kids' facilities?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. Take a look at what's marked as ACCFK Exhibit 444.

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. What is Exhibit 444?

10:45 5 A. 444 is a breakdown -- a screen shot breakdown of
6 advertisers with what the budget was for each every month and
7 total budget.

8 Q. And were these documents -- or the documents from which
9 this exhibit was complied, were they made and kept in the
10 ordinary course of your business?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. Was it a regular practice for your business to keep such
13 records?

14 A. Yes, sir.

10:45 15 Q. Were they prepared at or near the time of the events
16 reflected in the exhibit?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Do you have knowledge of the events recorded in the
19 documents?

10:45 20 A. Yes, sir.

21 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, we offer into evidence
22 ACCFK-444.

23 MR. VOGL: No objection.

24 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

10:45 25 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-444 was marked into

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 evidence.)

2 BY MR. PITTMAN:

3 Q. And did you provide this document to Mr. Cook?

4 A. I did, sir.

00:45 5 Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Cook regarding
6 what's reflected in Exhibit 444?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Sir, which organization owns the mark Cars For Kids?

9 A. America Can! Cars For Kids.

00:46 10 Q. And at some point the mark was owned by America Can!

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And how did the mark come to be owned by America Can!

13 Cars For Kids?

14 A. We transferred ownership, sir.

00:46 15 Q. Can you take a look at what's marked as ACCFK Exhibit
16 445.

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Can you identify that?

00:46 19 A. That is where Richard Marquez, the assignor/president/CEO
20 of America Can! signed over the trademark and everything else
21 to America Can! Cars For Kids.

22 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, offer into evidence
23 ACCFK-445.

24 MR. VOGL: No objection.

00:47 25 THE COURT: Admitted.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-445 was marked into
2 evidence.)

3 THE COURT: When did that happen?

4 BY MR. PITTMAN:

10:47 5 Q. What was the date of the board meeting where that
6 happened, sir?

7 A. I don't recall off top of my head. It's documented
8 public notice with our board meeting, I just don't remember.

9 Q. Sir, let me ask you a few questions about your awareness
10:47 10 of K4K. Did you do any research within the company to
11 determine when America Can! Cars For Kids first became aware
12 of K4K?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. And when was that?

10:47 15 A. 2011 is when I took over.

16 Q. Well, did you come -- the research that you did of your
17 records, did you come to find out about the 2003 letter?

18 A. The 2003 cease and desist, yes, sir.

10:48 19 Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether someone found
20 out about K4K at the time --

21 A. They did, but --

22 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Wentworth.

23 You didn't complete your question, Mr. Pittman. I
24 don't know what he's answering. Can you please restate it?

10:48 25 MR. PITTMAN: I'm sorry, your Honor.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. Did you come to find out -- or what did you come to find
2 out about the 2003 letter as it relates to someone being aware
3 of K4K in Texas?

4 A. The 2003 letter, we don't know what documentation that
5 they saw, but we assumed that because we no longer saw any
6 Kars 4 Kids with a K advertising that they had acknowledged
7 it; didn't respond to it, but acknowledged it, and pulled back
8 their advertising.

9 Q. So, let me ask you to take a look at K4KX-25. Is that
10 the letter you're referring to?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And in K4K Exhibit 25, does it focus on Kars 4 Kids or
13 K4K's conduct in Texas?

14 A. Yes, sir, just Texas.

15 Q. Now, in your review of the information there at America
16 Can! Cars For Kids, did you see any -- did you see any
17 information where it would lead you to believe that K4K kept
18 operating in Texas let's say 2004?

19 A. We've not been able to find any, sir.

20 Q. 2005?

21 A. No, sir.

22 Q. 2006?

23 A. No, sir.

24 Q. 2007?

25 A. No, sir.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. When did you personally first become aware of the
2 defendant/plaintiff K4K?

3 A. In 2011, sir.

4 Q. And how did you become aware of K4K in 2011?

00:50 5 A. That's when I was reassigned to the Cars For Kids
6 program.

7 Q. Now --

8 THE COURT: Mr. Wentworth, you're saying you became
9 aware of Kars 4 Kids with a K in 2011; is that right?

00:50 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: Was there anyone else in your
12 organization that knew of Kars 4 Kids with a K before that
13 time?

14 THE WITNESS: Obviously there were people that knew
00:51 15 about them based on the 2003 letter.

16 THE COURT: Why do you say obviously?

17 THE WITNESS: Because we sent a cease and desist
18 letter in 2003.

19 THE COURT: All right.

00:51 20 BY MR. PITTMAN:

21 Q. Now, I believe you testified a moment ago that the people
22 there thought that K4K had --

23 MR. VOGL: Objection, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: What's the objection?

00:51 25 MR. VOGL: As to people there thought. This is

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 going to a speculative answer that he's seeking from this
2 witness.

3 THE COURT: Oh, you think it's speculation?

4 MR. VOGL: Absolutely.

00:51 5 THE COURT: Well, let me hear the whole question and
6 then I'll decide.

7 BY MR. PITTMAN:

8 Q. Sir -- I was going to repeat the testimony you just gave,
9 but I'll ask the question this way. During the course of your
00:51 10 being the chief operating officer and during the course of
11 this entire lawsuit, did you have an occasion to both speak to
12 your employees, and also look at actual documents and records
13 there within the company?

14 A. Yes, sir.

00:52 15 Q. And have you seen any documents, anything there within
16 the company, anything K4K has produced in this lawsuit, did
17 you see anything showing that K4K had specific ads in Texas
18 papers?

19 A. No, sir.

00:52 20 Q. Specific ads in Texas -- on Texas radio?

21 A. No, sir.

22 THE COURT: During what years?

23 THE WITNESS: I started in 2005 through 2011, sir.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

00:52 25 Q. And in terms of the belief that K4K had stopped operating

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 in Texas -- again, we are just talking about Texas here now
2 for purposes of this trial; did the company form a belief that
3 K4K was not advertising in Texas after the 2003 letter?

4 MR. VOGL: Objection, your Honor. He referenced did
5 the company have a belief. If he has an opinion, your Honor,
6 he's certainly -- I have no objection to that. But the
7 company having a belief is very speculative.

8 THE COURT: So, sustained. You can rephrase the
9 question.

10 BY MR. PITTMAN:

11 Q. Sir, who's responsibility was it to find out about
12 whether K4K was advertising in Texas after 2003? As the chief
13 operating officer, were you charged with that responsibility?

14 A. Not in 2003, because I wasn't with the company.

15 Q. No, I'm saying when you became chief operation officer --

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. -- in 2011, were you in charge with the responsibility of
18 trying to find out that information?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. And have you been able to find any advertising in Texas
21 by K4K in 2004?

22 A. No, sir.

23 Q. 2005?

24 A. No, sir.

25 Q. 2006.

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. 2007?

3 A. No, sir.

4 Q. And I believe you testified that you started doing some
5 work in 2005, but you became chief operation officer in 2011?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. And at that time how did you personally become aware of
8 K4K?

9 A. Because we heard them, we saw them on the Internet using
10 Kars 4 Kids with a K.

11 Q. Were you receiving any calls in your call center?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. In that 2011 timeframe?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. Now, once you became aware, personally aware, in the 2011
16 timeframe, what did you do?

17 A. In 2011 we started to evaluate what the issue would be,
18 moving on to 2012.

19 Q. Did you contact lawyers?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And after you became aware in the 2011 timeframe and made
22 contact with lawyers, did there come a time where a second
23 cease and desist letter was sent?

24 A. Yes, sir, 2013 we sent the second cease and desist,
25 because it was everywhere and using --

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 THE COURT: What do you mean everywhere? When you
2 say it, what is it?

3 THE WITNESS: Their advertising.

4 Q. And that was in what timeframe?

10:55 5 A. 2012, end of with 2012, 2013.

6 Q. And take a look at Exhibit 408.

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And after you became aware of K4K in the 2011/2012

9 timeframe, did you direct your attorneys to take legal action?

10:56 10 A. We directed them to file a cease and desist.

11 Q. Or to send one?

12 A. To send one sir, yes, sir.

13 THE COURT: I didn't catch the exhibit number you're
14 looking at.

10:56 15 MR. PITTMAN: It's 408, your Honor.

16 Q. And who is Exhibit 408, the 2013 cease and desist letter,
17 sent to?

18 A. It was sent to Kars 4 Kids, DBA Joy For Our Youth.

19 Q. Now, I want you to read, sir, the second sentence that's
20 on Exhibit 408.

10:57

21 A. As you know Kars 4 Kids has been using its Kars 4
22 Kids --

23 Q. No, second sentence, I'm sorry, in the first --

24 A. Second sentence, first paragraph: It has recently come

10:57

25 to our client's attention that you are blatantly infringing on

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 the Cars For Kids with a C trademark rights through your use
2 of Kars 4 Kids with a K in Houston.

3 Q. Now, this letter says -- what you just read, it has
4 recently come to our attention; do you see that?

10:58 5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. Now, after sending this cease and desist letter on March
7 28, 2013, where you indicate that it has recently come to
8 ACCFK's attention, did K4K stop advertising in Texas after it
9 was sent the March 28th, 2013 cease and desist letter?

10:58 10 A. No, sir.

11 Q. Now, at some point after the March 28th, 2013 cease and
12 desist letter was sent, was contact made with K4K to discuss a
13 resolution of the infringement that is discussed in the March
14 28, 2013 letter?

10:59 15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And was a resolution reached?

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Now, as part of your role in this matter, were you also
19 responsible for assisting in the selection of law firms to
20 assist in defending against K4K's claims?

10:59

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. Let me ask you, the Court had a question about the
23 assignment, and we looked at our notes, it looks like that
24 occurred in August of 2013; does that refresh your

11:00 25 recollection?

Wentworth - Direct - Pittman

1 A. I -- I'd have to look at the actual, but if that's what
2 -- this is an open record from our board meeting, yes.

3 Q. Now, as part of your duties as chief operations officer,
4 are you responsible for paying the lawyers that are handling
5 the defense of K4K's claims against you in the prosecution of
6 the lawsuit?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And what has America Can! and America Can! Cars For Kids
9 paid in reasonable and necessary attorneys fees?

10 A. Through September it was just a little over \$4.1 million.

11 Q. And are you asking the Court to award ACCFK these fees
12 and costs?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. And are you asking the Court to allow their attorneys to
15 submit their invoices in writing to the Court for
16 consideration after this trial?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 MR. PITTMAN: May I have a moment, your Honor?

19 THE COURT: You may.

20 (Brief pause.)

21 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, there was a question
22 regarding the assignment, and I would ask if the Court would
23 take judicial notice of the assignment that's recorded in the
24 United States Patent and Trademark Office, so that the Court
25 would have that date.

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 THE COURT: Mr. Vogl?

2 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, I'm going to ask a couple
3 questions, and I'd ask your Honor to wait until I ask my
4 questions and decide that issue.

01:02 5 THE COURT: All right. You may renew your request
6 later on.

7 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

8 At this time I pass the witness, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Vogl?

01:02 10 (CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. VOGL:)

11 Q. Good morning, Mr. Wentworth.

12 A. Good morning, sir.

13 MR. VOGL: If I could ask you to put up 439, please?

14 Q. Mr. Wentworth, you testified on your direct that this is
01:03 15 an ad that I believe you said appeared on a phone; is that
16 correct?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And I think you referred to it as a pop-up banner ad;
19 right?

01:03 20 A. It's a paid pop-up banner ad, sir.

21 Q. So, in order for -- well, let me ask it this way. Have
22 you ever visited my client's Kars 4 Kids, Kars4Kids.com site?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. And do you know whether any of your colleagues and
01:04 25 employees in your group have visited my client's Kars4Kids.com

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 site?

2 A. I'm sure some of them have, sir.

3 Q. So, how do pop-up ads appear -- if I'm looking up

4 something, if I'm looking up this particular page here, how

01:04 5 does a pop-up ad appear?

6 A. Google Analytics and all the other search engines have

7 different criteria; if you've previously searched on a lawn

8 mower, it will reappear periodically.

9 Q. So if I'm looking for a new car and I go to the Chevy

01:04 10 site and I'm looking at the Chevy site, sometime later if I'm

11 looking at Ford a Chevy ad may appear in that Ford site;

12 correct?

13 A. Possibly. I'm not sure it would be that fast, but they'd

14 probably go with whatever your latest search was.

01:05 15 Q. Right. So in order for this pop-up ad of Kars 4 Kids, my

16 client, to appear here, someone would have had to have gone on

17 to my client's site first to get that pop-up ad to appear;

18 correct?

19 A. No, sir. They may have searched for a Cars For Kids with

01:05 20 a C, and depending on what your paid advertising analytics was

21 that would show up.

22 Q. But in this example, for Kars 4 Kids to appear on this

23 site, somebody in your shop, either you or one of your

24 employees, would have had to gone on to my client's site first

01:05 25 for it to pop-up on this particular site; correct?

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 A. I do not know the answer to that, sir. It's possible,
2 but I do not know.

3 MR. VOGL: Let's go to 440, please?

4 Q. Here's another, and this is also -- this Kars 4 Kids
5 banner is also a pop-up; correct?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. So, is it your testimony you don't know sitting here
8 today, whether you or one of your employees had to go to my
9 client's site for that pop-up ad to appear on this particular
10 page; is that your testimony?

11 A. My testimony is Google Analytics is so sophisticated that
12 they may not have had to have gone to your website at all.
13 They may have done a search for a car donation, donate your
14 car for a tax; it does not necessarily have to be that they
15 went to your website. It means that they searched for
16 something that whoever is doing your Google Analytics as far
17 as your paid search terms, plugged this in, sir.

18 Q. But you don't know specifically in this example -- you
19 can't tell me that I'm wrong, in other words, that this
20 particular site -- this particular banner ad popped up because
21 you or one of your employees already had visited my client's
22 site first before it appeared on this next site; correct?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. Mr. Wentworth, if my client doesn't take donations from
25 Texas donors, then it can't divert donations from America

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 Can!; correct?

2 A. If they don't, that would be correct, yes, sir.

3 MR. VOGL: 502, please?

4 Q. Mr. Wentworth, I believe you testified earlier you've
5 seen this document; correct?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. And you identified it as I guess an advertisement for my
8 client Kars 4 Kids in Texas; correct?

9 A. It's a webpage, I'm not sure you'd say that was an
10 advertisement.

11 Q. Okay. So did you or any of your employees attempt to
12 donate a car off of this site?

13 A. Online, no, sir.

14 Q. Do you have any information as to whether or not if you
15 try to donate a car on this line, whether the car would be
16 accepted if it was coming from Texas?

17 A. I do not know, sir.

18 MR. VOGL: 445, please?

19 Q. All right. Mr. Wentworth, I believe you testified this
20 is the assignment from America Can! to America Can! Cars For
21 Kids; is that correct?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. And I believe this is an assignment of the Cars For Kids,
24 C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s mark; is that correct?

25 A. Yes, sir.

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 Q. Would it surprise you to learn that this document wasn't
2 filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office until this
3 year?

4 A. I do not know, sir.

01:10 5 Q. Do you have any information to doubt that it was filed
6 this year?

7 A. No, sir.

8 Q. Why did it take so long -- if you were claiming rights
9 nationally, and that you, America Can! Cars For Kids owned
01:10 10 those rights, why did it take so long to file this particular
11 document with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office?

12 A. I do not know, sir.

13 Q. Mr. Wentworth, you testified earlier about that my
14 client's use of the name Kars 4 Kids is tarnishing your good
01:11 15 name; is that fair?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. You also testified that there was a letter sent in 2003;
18 correct?

19 A. Yes, sir.

01:11 20 Q. And you weren't really part of the automobile donation
21 business at Cars For Kids at that time; correct?

22 A. I wasn't part of America Can! at that time, sir.

23 Q. So the individuals that were there, do you know who
24 specifically ordered that that letter go out on behalf of
01:11 25 America Can! or Texas Can I believe wrote the letter?

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 A. Who specifically?

2 Q. Yes.

3 A. No, sir.

4 Q. So I think you mentioned that you first heard of my
01:11 5 client in 2011; is that correct?

6 A. I'm sorry; say that again?

7 Q. Sure, sorry. When did you first hear of my client, Kars
8 4 Kids?

9 A. Me personally, when I went to Cars For Kids, which was
01:12 10 2011.

11 Q. Are you testifying here today that no one at America Can!
12 or Texas Can heard of my client before 2011?

13 A. I'm not saying that, sir. You asked me personally, I
14 personally was unaware of it.

01:12 15 Q. And do you know -- my question then as a follow-up and
16 that is, are you aware of anyone at Cars For Kids -- let me
17 strike that.

18 Are you saying that no one at America Can! Texas Can or
19 any of the affiliated Can Academies, ever heard of my client
01:12 20 before 2011; is that your testimony?

21 A. You never asked that question of me, sir, you asked me
22 personally.

23 Q. Okay.

24 THE COURT: Wait a second, Mr. Vogl; just state your
01:12 25 question, we'll have Mr. Wentworth --

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 MR. VOGL: Sure.

2 Q. Mr. Wentworth, I understand I asked you personally. Now
3 what I am saying is, are you telling me -- are you telling the
4 Court more importantly, are you telling the Court that you
5 have -- that no one at Cars For Kids, your client, your
6 organization, or Texas Can, heard of my client before 2011; is
7 that what you're telling us?

8 A. No, sir, because in 2003 somebody at the company sent a
9 cease and desist.

10 Q. Did anyone at your company follow up? Do you have any
11 information on whether anyone followed up after the 2003
12 letter?

13 A. I don't have any -- there wasn't anything about that
14 after.

15 Q. You're saying there's no paper; is that what you're
16 saying?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And you have no information as to whether or not anyone
19 followed up with my client in 2004; correct?

20 A. No, sir.

21 Q. 2005?

22 A. No, sir.

23 Q. 2006 through 2013.

24 A. Through 20 I think '12 I believe, the USPTO is when I
25 think you -- your client challenged it. So I think that's the

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 first time.

2 Q. You're referring to the fact that that's in 2012 is when
3 your organization for the first time claimed national rights
4 by filing a trademark application in the United States Patent
5 and Trademark Office; correct?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. You didn't file it in 2003, did you?

8 A. No, sir.

9 Q. Filed it in 2012; right?

10 A. I'm sorry?

11 Q. You filed the application for Cars For Kids, your
12 spelling, only in 2012; correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Do you have any information as to whether your client's
15 use of Cars For Kids is tarnishing my client's good name?

16 A. Only thing I could go on is speculation, is absolutely
17 no, because I haven't been sued by any state; we haven't been
18 hit by any Better Business Bureau; we have nothing negative on
19 ours. So my -- my general premise would be no, sir, I'm not
20 hurting you.

21 Q. And you're aware, though, that your organization had a
22 school in St. Louis for a time; do you remember that?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. That doesn't exist anymore; right?

25 A. No, sir.

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 Q. You shut the doors.

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And you've had incidents at your Can Academies, haven't
4 you, with students, aggressive students, tardy students,
5 students who skip school, students who have been in trouble;
6 correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. You don't think that could have a negative impact on my
9 client's reputation?

10 A. Any student being absent in Texas affect something for
11 yours? No, sir.

12 Q. You picked the absent part. How about pulling a knife,
13 how about pulling a knife, do you think that might have an
14 effect on my client's reputation?

15 A. No, sir.

16 Q. Why not?

17 A. Because you are not us.

18 Q. Indeed we are not you.

19 You've had a number of different law firms represent
20 you in the course of this litigation; correct?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. First there was Haynes and Boone; correct?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. Then Cindy Dodds?

25 A. Cindy Dodds was just a transition to help us find another

Wentworth - Cross - Vogl

1 attorney, which was Klemchuck.

2 Q. But you paid her; correct?

3 A. Just not for actually the work, but for consulting

4 finding somebody.

01:16 5 Q. But she entered an appearance in this case; correct?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. And then the Klemchuck law firm; do you remember them?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. How long were they a party to this case?

01:16 10 A. Five months.

11 Q. Did you let them go?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. Why did you let them go?

14 A. I think that's probably attorney/client, but I'm not

01:17 15 sure, but I would suspect it is.

16 Q. Okay. But you paid them as well; correct?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And then Mr. Deleon's Law Group, they were also in this

19 case for a time, were they not?

01:17 20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And with Mr. Deleon, Ms. Wilde and Mr. Torres were a part

22 of that organization for a time as well; correct?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. And then after Mr. Deleon withdrew, Mr. Pittman joined

01:17 25 Ms. Wilde and Mr. Torres; correct?

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And of course Fox Rothschild has been your local counsel
3 throughout the litigation; correct?

4 A. Yes, sir.

01:17 5 Q. So Mr. Wentworth, each time you replace counsel new
6 counsel has to learn the case; correct?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. I guess I'm asking you, are you aware over the course of
9 the last several weeks when the expert depositions occurred,
01:18 10 Mr. Pittman had two other attorneys with him for each of those
11 depositions; do you know that?

12 A. I was not privied to the actual depositions sir, so no.

13 Q. So you didn't authorize that.

14 A. I authorized Mr. Pittman to do what he thinks is in my
01:18 15 company's best interest.

16 Q. Including bringing two additional attorneys to each of
17 those two depositions.

18 A. If that's what he feels is in our best interest, yes,
19 sir.

01:18 20 MR. VOGL: No further questions, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

22 Redirect?

23 (REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. PITTMAN:)

24 Q. Sir, would it refresh your recollection if I showed you
01:19 25 the resolution where there was a transfer of the intellectual

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 property of the trademarks from America Can! to America Can!

2 Cars For Kids?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 (Handing to witness.)

01:19 5 Q. What is the document you're looking at?

6 A. This document is from our board of trustees resolution,
7 regarding the transfer of America Can!'s assets to Cars For
8 Kids in this separation.

01:19 9 Q. And does this document now refresh your recollection as
10 to when America Can! transferred the rights to Cars For Kids
11 -- to America Can! Cars For Kids?

12 A. Yes, sir, it's actually 15 days before we started
13 operating separately under a separate 501(c)(3), and a
14 separate EIN, sir.

01:20 15 Q. And what is that date?

16 A. This -- the date of this is August 15th, 2003.

17 Q. 2000 what?

18 A. 2013, I'm sorry.

19 Q. So August 15th, 2013 is --

01:20 20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. -- when it was transferred from America Can! to America
22 Can! Cars For Kids; correct?

23 A. Yes, sir.

01:20 24 Q. Now, just to be clear, America Can! and America Can! Cars
25 For Kids are both parties to this lawsuit.

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. So, regardless of when the transfer happened, the owners
3 of that mark from the 2008 through 2019, they're both parties
4 to this lawsuit.

01:20 5 A. And I'm here representing both, sir.

6 Q. Now, there was a question about the --

7 MR. PITTMAN: Pull up 440?

8 Q. There was a question about the advertising and the
9 advertising analytics. So I just want to make sure that we're
10 on the same page. Now, Exhibit 440 appeared on the computer
11 of someone physically located where?

01:21

12 A. In Texas.

13 Q. In Texas; is that right?

14 A. Yes, sir.

01:21

15 Q. So whether it was someone who had searched K4K's site or
16 someone who was looking for Kars 4 Kids generally, it was
17 still an ad in Texas --

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. Is that your understanding?

01:21

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Now, going back again you were talking about some of the
22 analytics that would lead to some of these advertising for K4K
23 showing up. And you were saying that it could show up if
24 someone just was searching for Cars For Kids with a C?

01:22

25 A. It could show up with whatever -- whoever's doing their

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 Google Analytics and what their key search terms are, it could
2 simply as donate my car.

3 Q. In fact, go back to Exhibit 408. Sir, the charts that
4 are on the cease and desist letter that y'all sent to K4K in
5 2013, what search terms was K4K using?

6 A. "Donate your car today", "full tax deduction" and "hotel
7 voucher".

8 Q. And you see the -- are you looking at the screen?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. What search terms was --

11 A. Oh, this one, Can Academies.

12 Q. What other search terms was K4K using in 2013?

13 A. Our name, Cars For Kids, Can Academies, which has nothing
14 to do with car donation program or our name.

15 Q. And 2013, this was the instance where y'all found out
16 that they were actually using --

17 A. Texans Can Academy.

18 Q. And Cars with a C?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And again this was 2013 when y'all discovered this.

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 THE COURT: Wait; Mr. Pittman, the basis of redirect
23 is to go over points that Mr. Vogl brought up. I'm not
24 opening up new testimony here; you had your chance. And now
25 you're way beyond where we were. So I think you better

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 bring -- you can clarify points that Mr. Vogl had presented.

2 Q. Sir, I was asking you about -- Mr. Vogl had some

3 questions about when y'all discovered things, that's why I was

4 trying to ask you about the dates.

01:24

5 But let me ask you about, Mr. Vogl was talking to you

6 about the Google Analytics, so are these the search terms that

7 you were talking to Mr. Vogl about?

8 THE COURT: Hold on.

9 MR. VOGL: Objection, your Honor. I was talking to

01:24

10 him about the pop-up ad, I wasn't talking about this

11 particular document whatsoever.

12 THE COURT: That's what I don't remember, anything

13 on this document. So I don't know why you're there. Next

14 question.

01:25

15 MR. PITTMAN: I apologize, your Honor.

16 BY MR. PITTMAN:

17 Q. Sir, you were talking to Mr. Vogl about certain search

18 terms, and you were saying that these search terms will lead

19 to those kinds of pop-up ads that we're talking about; is that

01:25

20 what you said?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And so my question to you is, are these the kinds of

23 search terms that would lead to the pop-up ads that we were

24 talking about?

01:25

25 MR. VOGL: Calls for speculation, your Honor, I

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 object to this.

2 THE COURT: Well, calls for speculation; I'll allow
3 that answer.

4 A. They probably have like we do thousands of search terms.

01:25 5 THE COURT: All right. So I'll sustain the
6 objection, now that I heard the answer.

7 Q. Are these examples?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Now, finally, in terms of the actual evidence of when you
01:26 10 found out about K4K -- so again, I was asking you about Texas,
11 and Mr. Vogl had some questions to you about whether other
12 people may have said something or may have known --

13 THE COURT: Would you just state a question? I
14 don't understand why we have these long paragraphs before we
01:26 15 get into the questions.

16 Q. Sir, can you tell the Court whether you saw any evidence
17 in that 2004 to 2010 timeframe of ads that K4K ran in Texas?

18 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, to be clear, does he mean
19 this gentleman, this witness, or the entire organization?
01:26 20 It's unclear as to the nature of the response we're going to
21 get.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Can you clarify the question, Mr.
23 Pittman?

24 Q. Sir, have you searched through all of the records and
01:26 25 talked to all of the people there at your operation about --

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 about information related to K4K?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And did you find any information showing that they were
4 advertising in Texas, specifically in Texas, Texas radio,
5 Texas TV, in between 2003 and the 2011 timeframe that you say
6 you discovered them?

7 A. No, sir.

8 MR. PITTMAN: Pass the witness.

9 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any
10 clarification?

11 MR. VOGL: Two, your Honor.

12 (RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM WENTWORTH BY MR. VOGL:)

13 Q. Mr. Wentworth, you sat through the liability case;
14 correct?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And you heard Rabbi Mintz and Asher Moskovits testify
17 about the mailings and the postcards that all went out and
18 went out to Texas; did you hear that testimony?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. With respect to that assignment document that you
21 referenced, do you have any understanding as to why that
22 document wasn't filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark
23 Office until 2019?

24 A. As I stated earlier when you asked, I do not, sir.

25 MR. VOGL: No further questions, your Honor. Thank

Wentworth - Redirect - Pittman

1 you.

2 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

3 Mr. Wentworth, you may step down. Thank you, sir.

4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

01:28 5 (Witness excused.)

6 THE COURT: Next witness.

7 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, America Can! Cars For Kids

8 calls Bryce Cook.

9 THE COURT: Do you want to take a break now? And

01:28 10 then we'll be back in 10 minutes.

11 MR. VOGL: Thank you.

12 (Recess.)

13 THE COURT: Please be seated.

14 Mr. Pittman, next witness.

01:45 15 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, I would like to reintroduce

16 my colleague, Mr. David Litterine-Kaufman.

17 THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Kaufman.

18 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: So you're going to do the cross?

01:46 20 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: I am, your Honor, yes.

21 MR. PITTMAN: And America Can! Cars For Kids calls

22 Bryce Cook.

23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cook?

24 (BRYCE COOK), sworn.

01:46 25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name for the record.

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 THE WITNESS: Bryce Cook.

2 THE COURT: Just spell your last name for us, Mr.

3 Cook?

4 THE WITNESS: C-o-o-k.

01:46 5 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

6 Mr. Pittman?

7 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

8 (DIRECT EXAMINATION OF BRYCE COOK BY MR. PITTMAN:)

01:46 9 Q. Mr. Cook, can you introduce yourself -- reintroduce
10 yourself to the judge, tell him what you do for a living?

11 A. Yes, my name is Bryce Cook, and I'm a managing
12 director/consultant at Ankura Consulting Group.

13 Q. And what does Ankura Consulting Group do?

01:47 14 A. Ankura is a global consulting firm that consults in the
15 areas of economics, accounting and business management, as
16 well as disputes in litigation.

17 Q. And how many offices and consulting professionals does
18 your company have?

01:47 19 A. I believe we have at last count 29 offices around the
20 world, and approximately a thousand employees.

21 THE COURT: So, Mr. Kaufman, before we go into the
22 substance of Mr. Cook's testimony, do you object or have any
23 grounds that he's not an expert in the field?

01:47 24 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: It would depend on how the
25 field is defined, your Honor.

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to get around a half
2 hour of testimony about what Mr. Cook's done with his life.

3 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Sure, I understand, your
4 Honor. We would have no objection to --

01:47 5 THE COURT: Can I accept his C.V. as his
6 credentials?

7 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Yes, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: So you can skip that, Mr. Pittman, and
9 go to the next --

01:47 10 MR. PITTMAN: Okay, your Honor. We offer into
11 evidence his C.V. which is Exhibit 446.

12 THE COURT: All right. So you don't have any
13 objections; right, Mr. Kaufman?

14 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: No objection, your Honor.

01:48 15 THE COURT: All right. So the C.V. is admitted.

16 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-446 was marked into
17 evidence.)

18 BY MR. PITTMAN:

19 Q. Mr. Cook, let's get to your assignment in this case.

01:48 20 What were you asked to do?

21 A. So, two things primarily: The first was to determine
22 damages, the appropriate damage remedy to use in this matter;
23 as well as to analyze how the two companies in this lawsuit
24 competed against each other, how they performed against each
01:48 25 other; and the conditions of the market in which they

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 operated.

2 Q. And what types of information do you normally review in
3 order to be able to determine damages?

4 A. Well, there's typically voluminous information that both
5 parties produce regarding their financial and business
6 records; there is the record of deposition testimony of each
7 side; there are the pleadings, all the things of that nature.
8 There are public filings that they make in this case, the IRS
9 Form 990 that each party files.

10 Q. And besides looking at the documents you reviewed from
11 both parties and from the previous trial, did you do anything
12 else to understand sort of the landscape to what you were
13 about to do?

14 A. Yes, I did. I had discussions with Mr. Malcolm
15 Wentworth, the chief operating officer of America Can! Cars
16 For Kids; I also had the opportunity to visit their
17 headquarters and basically see the operation from top the
18 bottom, how it functions; how its information and IT system
19 works; how the auction works.

20 Q. And Mr. Wentworth indicated, as I'm about to get into
21 some of your opinions, Mr. Wentworth indicated that he
22 provided you with some information for the purpose of
23 determining whether there was some sort of I guess diversion
24 of donations with respect to a website?

25 A. Yes.

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. Do you recall that? Do you have a summary of your
2 opinions as to whether K4K obtained donations at the expense
3 of America Can! Cars For Kids?

4 A. Yes, I do. That would be my first chart.

01:50 5 Q. Are you taking a look at it?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Can you explain what's shown here?

8 A. Yes. So, based on my market analysis and analysis of the
9 two companies' performance in that market, this basically
01:50 10 summarizes the factors that you would consider in determining
11 whether one company took market share, or in other parlance,
12 diverted donations from the other.

13 So these factors include: First, they were direct
14 competitors in the same geographic market, and in this case
01:50 15 specifically Texas; they competed for a finite number of
16 vehicles, there are only so many people that have cars that
17 they're willing to give up; they use the same or similar
18 advertising methods, radio, some television, billboards and
19 online is the largest; they used of course the same or similar
01:51 20 marks, the Cars For Kids mark; and lastly, they had the same
21 charitable mission that they advertised, which was to benefit
22 kids.

23 So considering all these factors and fact that they
24 competed in the same way and used the same mark, it's
01:51 25 inescapable that one would take donations from the other. And

Cook - Direct - Pittman

01:51 1 that final factor that results in one -- ascending to number
2 one in the market has to do with, as I testified earlier, the
3 advertising that they do; how much they spend, and the people
4 that they're able to reach, whether that's in terms of having
5 their ad first in the Google ads, or how much, you know, on
6 the airwaves the demographic that they reached through numbers
7 of potential donors.

01:52 8 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, just object to
9 his answer. He hasn't yet been qualified as an expert, but
10 he's giving expert opinion testimony.

11 THE COURT: So we've accepted his C.V., but you
12 didn't tell us what field that you're offering Mr. Cook as an
13 expert. Before I can rule on that we need to know same, Mr.
14 Pittman.

01:52 15 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor, thank you.

16 BY MR. PITTMAN:

17 Q. Sir, have you testified as an expert before?

18 A. Yes, I have.

01:52 19 Q. And have you given or lectured as it relates to the
20 subject of economic damages?

21 A. Yes, I have, many occasions.

01:52 22 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, at the previous trial the
23 Court accepted Mr. Cook as an expert in the field of forensic
24 accounting and analysis, market entry dynamics and
25 performance. At this time I'd like to re-offer him as an

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 expert in those categories, as well as to offer him as an
2 expert in the calculation of damages.

3 THE COURT: All right. Any objections to that, Mr.
4 Kaufman?

01:53 5 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: No objections, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Cook is approved as
7 an expert in all those areas, including calculation of
8 damages.

9 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

01:53 10 BY MR. PITTMAN:

11 Q. Mr. Cook, we were discussing chart number 1. Did you
12 look at any hard data to support your conclusion?

13 A. Yes. So, in addition to this market analysis and the
14 conceptual framework that helped me arrive at this opinion,
01:53 15 recently there has been an event that has allowed me to
16 compare hard data and ascertain quantitatively an impact to
17 Cars with a C, basically the donations that it receives.

18 Q. And did you prepare charts that sort of demonstrate this
19 analysis?

01:53 20 A. I did.

21 Q. And what charts are those?

22 A. So the following three charts; if you can bring up chart
23 2.

01:54 24 Q. So let's go through them pretty rapidly -- or succinctly
25 I should say. What's reflected in chart 2?

Cook - Direct - Pittman

01:54 1 A. So this is a comparison of vehicles donated in the last
2 three years, 2016 through 2018; the last I should say full
3 three years, since we don't have all of 2019 yet. First line
4 is America Can! Cars For Kids donations received, the second
5 line is K4K donations.

6 As you can see, America Can! Cars For Kids' donations
7 declined in each of the last two years, at a rate of 10
8 percent. And on an annual basis they were losing on average
9 883 units.

01:54 10 On the other hand, Kars 4 Kids with a K was growing in
11 its donations received in Texas, this is all in Texas, at a
12 rate of 21 percent. And interestingly they took approximately
13 the same number of units on average that America Can! Cars For
14 Kids lost, K4K took [REDACTED].

01:55 15 Q. And what about chart 3?

16 A. Chart 3 then looks at the next year, and this is 2019,
17 through the latest numbers that I had when I put this chart
18 together, which was September of 2019. And this now -- this
19 now relates to what I previously mentioned was an event that
01:55 20 allows us to quantify an impact.

21 Q. What event was that?

22 A. And that event is after the trial in May of 2019, it's my
23 understanding that K4K ceased using the domain
24 CarsForKids.com, and that's Cars with a C dotcom; and
01:55 25 purportedly they stopped taking donations at some point in

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 June. And so that's marked by the red bars in 2019 when this
2 event happened.

3 And as you can see, there's an immediate growth in
4 America Can!'s donations received. So these bars are the
01:56 5 monthly donations received by America Can! Cars For Kids. You
6 look at 2019 versus 2018, the blue bars, consistent with the
7 prior chart you can see that the average is still declining
8 going into 2019, January to May, those blue bars in 2019 are
9 lower on average than in 2018.

01:56 10 But then again with the parking of that domain name and
11 the purported departure from the market of Kars with a K, you
12 can see that America Can! Cars For Kids' donations in
13 September are just under 1,000 compared to the year prior
14 September of just over 500. So they've almost doubled year
01:56 15 over year by September.

16 Q. And finally chart 5?

17 A. Actually chart 4.

18 Q. Oh yes, chart 4.

19 A. Yes. So this then sums up the month. So we can see
01:57 20 rather than a monthly basis, this period of June to September.
21 I wanted to compare that June to September after the parking
22 of the domain name. And you can see that the red bar which is
23 June through September 2019, is 30 percent higher than that
24 same period of time in 2018.

01:57 25 And 2018 was on the decline I showed at the beginning

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 with the chart showing America Can! Cars For Kids had been
2 declining while K4K was increasing; and not until the domain
3 name was parked and K4K purportedly left the market did
4 donations again jump up significantly.

01:57

5 Q. Chart 5?

6 A. So this pertains to advertising that America Can! Cars
7 For Kids did on a monthly basis. Because one question that
8 you might ask is, could America Can! Cars For Kids advertising
9 spend have had the impact on that increase. So I looked at
10 that.

01:58

11 What this shows us is that in 2018 on average America
12 Can! is spending about \$235,000, that's the lighter green
13 bars. But beginning in November of 2018, Cars with a C began
14 increasing its advertising by \$50,000 per month in Sirius
15 radio. So that again started in November 2018.

01:58

16 As you see they continued that amount of advertising,
17 and then it began to decline again just in the last couple
18 months, through July and August. What this shows us -- if
19 you'll go back two charts, to chart 3 please. Can we go back
20 to chart 3 -- there we go.

01:59

21 So, November 2018, America Can! ups its advertising by
22 \$50,000 a month. But when we look at the 2019 chart, those
23 blue bars, there's no change; they actually are lower than
24 2018 year over year. And it's not until again June or July
25 that we see the big increase in donations actually pick up.

01:59

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 And concurrently we saw that advertising spend actually went
2 down in that period.

3 So basically what that tells us is that advertising
4 spend, while it may have some impact, was far overshadowed by
01:59 5 the other main event that occurred in June 2019, which was the
6 parking of the domain name and the purported departure of K4K.
7 Q. Now, let me ask you about your damages calculations
8 opinions.

9 THE COURT: Wait, before you go on; Mr. Cook, you
10 had discussed briefly parking of the domain name?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

12 THE COURT: Can you define what you mean by the
13 parking of the domain name?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. So that just means they stopped
02:00 15 using it. It's still registered to K4K, but they no longer
16 use it. So if you go to the CarsForKids.com website, I
17 believe it still comes up, but says not in use or under
18 construction or something like that.

19 Or comes up as a non -- I can't remember actually
02:00 20 what it is, but it's something that indicates that it's not in
21 use.

22 THE COURT: Okay. So they have some explanation of
23 that, like this website is no longer used or this name is no
24 longer used?

02:01 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it's an explanation or

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 just doesn't come up, but basically they're not -- they're not
2 using it anymore is my understanding, that they've ceased
3 to -- you can't click through to donate or get information
4 anymore.

02:01 5 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

6 BY MR. PITTMAN:

7 Q. Sir, as far as your damages calculation, in your
8 experiences as an expert what damages remedy is used in a case
9 such as this one?

02:01 10 A. So typically what you'll find from the Lanham Act,
11 Section 1117, is the monetary remedy most often used is
12 defendant's profits.

13 Q. And why is that?

14 A. Usually it's because the facts and circumstances of the
02:01 15 case make it much more available, and analytically it's able
16 to be determined with a reasonable degree of certainty,
17 compared to lost profits, which is much more difficult to tie
18 that to use of a trademark.

19 Q. Now, in terms of the damages methodology for experts in
02:02 20 your field, what's required to determine the infringer's
21 revenue?

22 A. Well, again per that monetary remedy section of the
23 Lanham Act, the mark holder's -- the mark holder is required
24 to prove defendant's sales only. And then the defendant has
02:02 25 the burden of setting forth any deductions, which would

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 include costs or any of the deductions that they feel are
2 necessary.

3 Q. Now, as a damages expert, how do you typically apply that
4 methodology to determine the infringer's profits or revenue?

02:02 5 A. Right, so if I'm representing the mark holder who's been
6 infringed, then we'll ask for in discovery production of
7 documents, which in this case Kars 4 Kids produced voluminous
8 documents on what their revenues are in Texas and their
9 donations received. So that's the kind of documents we would

02:03 10 search for and then try to verify it the best we can that they
11 appear accurate and reliable.

12 And then on the defendant's side, we would take a
13 further step, if I represent a defendant, in analyzing the
14 costs that are incurred in generating those revenues.

02:03 15 Q. So, in this case you were asked to determine K4K's
16 revenue earned in Texas from car donations; correct?

17 A. That is correct.

18 Q. And what documents did you review to be able to give his
19 Honor that number?

02:03 20 A. So, as I mentioned, Kars 4 Kids produced a voluminous
21 production in response to the Court's order that it do so with
22 respect to the State of Texas; we received a number of very
23 large Excel spreadsheets that show what appear to be the types
24 of donation records that we have previously seen produced in
02:04 25 this case, but that all relate the donors that had a Texas

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 address. So that's the kind of document we looked at; as well
2 as there were some supporting very low level donor receipts
3 and documents of that nature.

02:04 4 Q. And did you prepare a summary for the Court of the gross
5 revenue and the net revenue and the net profits?

6 A. Yes, I did.

7 Q. Let me ask you to take a look at ACCFK Exhibit 447.

8 A. Are we going to put that on the screen --

9 Q. I just want you to identify it.

02:04 10 A. Oh yes, there we go. So yes, that was -- that's the
11 attachment to my expert report in this matter, my latest
12 report, that shows at the top the Kars 4 Kids with a K vehicle
13 count received in Texas from 2008 through June 30, 2019, as
14 well as the gross and net revenue. And then my identification
02:05 15 and analysis of incremental costs, which get to a bottom line
16 incremental profit number.

17 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, we offer America Can! Cars
18 For Kids Exhibit 447.

19 THE COURT: Any objections?

02:05 20 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: No objection, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

22 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-447 was marked into
23 evidence.)

24 BY MR. PITTMAN:

02:05 25 Q. Sir, on Exhibit 447, you indicate gross revenue of what

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 amount?

2 A. The total amount there is [REDACTED], approximately.

3 Q. And under gross revenue you've got a footnote, footnote
4 number 2; can you just briefly tell the Court what that --
5 what documents that number was derived from?

6 A. Right. So that comes from documents that Kars with a K
7 produced, and the gross revenue indicates the auction sales
8 price that the third-party auction service that Kars with a K
9 uses, charged to Kars with a K.

10 Q. In terms of collection of that revenue, what is your
11 understanding of --

12 THE COURT: Can you just go through that again?

13 Charge to -- what do you mean by that?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes; I'm sorry, I probably didn't say
15 that correctly. So the gross revenue is the revenue that the
16 third-party auction service provider --

17 Q. Take a step back. Explain further for the judge about
18 who takes these cars and who sells them and who reports this
19 information.

20 A. Yes. So just real quickly the process is -- the business
21 model is, there is a call center that generates through -- you
22 know, the company generates through advertising, calls that
23 come in or Internet hits that come in, which they process by
24 contacting a third-party service provider, Copart is the main
25 one in this country, that then provides the towing service to

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 pick up the vehicle, and then the auction service to auction
2 it off and processing the title and paperwork.

3 And then they, that is Copart, the third-party
4 processor, remits the funds to -- back to Kars with a K, net
02:07 5 of what they retain for their costs and their profit. Which
6 would be the cost of towing and, you know, doing the auction
7 service.

8 THE COURT: Okay. So, going back to your chart,
9 447, gross revenue, can you just define what that is and then
02:07 10 what net revenue is?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes. So gross revenue is what the
12 auction price would be that Copart or the third-party provider
13 receives upon auction. Net revenue is what is actually
14 remitted to -- back to Kars 4 Kids, it's what they receive.

02:07 15 And so that is net of what Copart retains, towing and
16 providing that service.

17 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

18 BY MR. PITTMAN:

19 Q. So, the [REDACTED] reflects all the cars that were picked
02:08 20 up and sold in Texas by this third-party administrator?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And then the [REDACTED] is this third-party administrator
23 takes the cars, sells it, auction fees, towing fees, it
24 deducts that before it sends the balance to K4K.

02:08 25 A. That's correct.

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. And can you tell the Court the balance that was sent to
2 K4K for the period 2008 through June 30th, 2019 from Texas
3 donations?

4 A. Yes [REDACTED], approximately.

02:08

5 Q. Now, that [REDACTED] that's reflected here as gross
6 revenue, again that's before deduction of any expenses; do you
7 and K4K's expert agree --

8 THE COURT: Wait a second; I don't think that's
9 correct, Mr. Pittman. You said [REDACTED], he said that was
10 before any deductions.

02:09

11 Is that right?

12 THE WITNESS: You said gross revenue; the [REDACTED] is
13 the net revenue. After Copart, you know, retaining for towing
14 and so forth.

02:09

15 Q. Right. So the [REDACTED] net revenue that you've got here,
16 which reflects the total sales of all the cars in Texas less
17 the amount for towing and other expenses from the third-party,
18 that's the [REDACTED]; correct?

19 A. Yes.

02:09

20 Q. Now, do you and K4K's expert agree on that number being
21 the net revenue?

22 A. Yes, we do. No dispute on that.

23 Q. So the dispute between you and K4K's expert as you
24 understand it is whether -- strike that.

02:09

25 What are the areas of disagreement between you and

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 K4K's expert as it relates to the computation of incremental
2 profit?

3 A. Right.

4 Q. Just categories now.

02:10 5 A. Yes. So I'll just point out that all the expenses that I
6 show here, there is no dispute about. The incremental
7 expenses, we're both in agreement on.

8 Where the dispute arises is in -- the opposing expert
9 makes a deduction for a purported apportionment to infringing
02:10 10 versus non-infringing factors, in which he further reduces
11 that [REDACTED] by another 37 percent down to [REDACTED]. I
12 disagree with his methodology and just the underlying
13 assumption on that.

14 The second big adjustment is he then further reduces by
02:10 15 50 percent that revenue number for a single line item expense,
16 which is the grant money that is funneled over to the sister
17 company, Oorah, he's deducting that as a deductible expense.

18 And then the third area are common expenses that he
19 allocates to the Texas revenue that he assumes contributed to
02:11 20 the car donation revenue in Texas. And I disagree with that.

21 Q. So just so we're clear, the three areas -- and I'll talk
22 to you about each of those. One is the apportionment of
23 revenues; correct?

24 A. Yes.

02:11 25 Q. Then there is the grant money; correct?

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 A. Yes, the grants, yes.

2 Q. And then common expenses.

3 A. And then common expenses.

4 Q. So let me ask you about the profit apportionment that Mr.

02:11 5 Hall is suggesting. Are you aware that he uses what he calls
6 cost-based apportionment?

7 A. Yes, I'm aware of that.

8 Q. And would you agree with that?

9 A. No, I don't -- I'm aware of cost-based apportionment and
02:11 10 how that's used and have used it myself in matters, but I
11 don't believe the way he's done it is consistent with any way
12 that I've ever seen done before.

13 Q. Well, let me ask you, based on your analysis of the
14 donations and the market, do you believe there should be any
02:12 15 apportionment of profit? In other words, this [REDACTED], do
16 you believe that should be reduced under the concept of
17 apportionment?

18 A. Right, and that's -- that's the biggest I think dispute
19 is the underlying assumption for why apportionment is even
02:12 20 necessary in this case. Because this is not a case where
21 there have been different types of advertising, some of which
22 used the infringing mark and some of which don't. My
23 understanding is that all of the advertising used the
24 infringing mark, and I believe that's been testified to by
02:12 25 some of the witnesses, I believe I site that in one of my

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 prior reports.

2 So if you understand the donors donate after they hear
3 advertising and all that advertising used the infringing mark,
4 then the assumption is that all advertising was using the
5 infringing mark, and therefore all donations are based -- all
6 donation revenue is based on use of the infringing mark. And
7 so there would be no reason to apportion anything to
8 non-infringing use of the mark.

9 Q. So, it's your belief that there shouldn't have been any
10 apportionment at all.

11 A. Correct. And unless the other side had evidence that
12 they could have introduced that showed that there were some
13 donors that donated because they heard a different ad that
14 didn't use the mark, which I see no evidence of; or they could
15 have done a survey for instance, they have all the donors'
16 contact information, they could have contacted the donors; I'm
17 aware that a lot of companies when donors call in, they have a
18 script and collect data on how they heard about the company,
19 in which channel, advertising channel they heard to call in,
20 and I used that kind of information on prior cases to be able
21 to apportion and segregate out infringing versus
22 non-infringing advertising, but in this case my understanding
23 is that all the advertising infringed.

24 THE COURT: Can you just explain for me, Mr. Cook,
25 when you say there's this category apportionment, and I know

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 you've been answering questions on it, can you just explain
2 what you mean by apportionment?

3 THE WITNESS: Certainly.

4 THE COURT: And what costs it goes to?

02:14 5 THE WITNESS: Right. So apportionment is not a
6 cost; apportionment is a methodology to segregate the revenue
7 between infringing and non-infringing revenue.

8 So classically, for instance, like in a patent case
9 you might have a device that has a component that is alleged
02:14 10 to infringe a patent. And so using a cost-based approach you
11 would say that component, that that patent and component makes
12 up 20 percent of the device cost, and therefore you apply 20
13 percent to the device revenue.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

02:15 15 THE WITNESS: Right? Or in advertising, I've done
16 cases where -- on a trademark case, where over a period of
17 time the plaintiff has claimed all revenue that the defendant
18 earned while using the mark. But we were able to determine
19 that some of the advertising used the mark and some of it
02:15 20 didn't. Some radio ads used the mark, some didn't. Some of
21 the online advertising went through a certain website that
22 didn't use the mark, or through e-mail blasts that didn't use
23 the mark. And so that's the kind of apportionment analysis
24 that is really based on a proper cost-based method.

02:15 25 BY MR. PITTMAN:

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 Q. Now, in terms of both the [REDACTED] --

2 THE COURT: Wait, hold on. So you're saying in this
3 case, there was no way to determine a factor for apportionment
4 between infringing and non-infringing advertising?

02:16

5 THE WITNESS: Right, based on the understanding that
6 all the advertising used the mark. Had there been advertising
7 channels that didn't use the mark, then they could have done
8 an apportionment analysis that said look, you know, just using
9 an example, 30 percent of this radio ad never used the mark,

02:16

10 and we're going to say 30 percent of our revenue we're going
11 to deny because that doesn't use the mark. That would be one
12 way that I have seen it done before.

02:16

13 THE COURT: So Mr. Wentworth had testified that he
14 didn't know of any advertising in Texas from -- well, he
15 started in 2008; he goes back to 2003, until either 2011 or
16 2012. So could you apportion that out?

02:17

17 THE WITNESS: Well, we have Kars with a K's own
18 advertising records and expenses that show that they did
19 advertise in Texas, and that's what you see here on the
20 screen. If you look about halfway down, and maybe you can
21 adjust --

22 THE COURT: I see it, Texas.

02:17

23 THE WITNESS: Oh yeah, you've got it on your screen.
24 So do you see the Texas Specific Advertising Expense, the
25 biggest one is online advertising using Google. And they know

Cook - Direct - Pittman

02:17 1 how many hits were at Texas addresses, so you can see every
2 year they had Google expense. They also did some Texas radio
3 station down at the bottom, went on and off for some years.
4 And then their national advertising, which is primarily Yahoo,
5 that was also every year. So there was definitely K4K
6 advertising going on in each year 2008.

7 THE COURT: And these numbers that you see in Texas
8 Specific Advertising Expenses, where did you derive those
9 numbers from?

02:17 10 THE WITNESS: Those come directly from the records
11 that Kars 4 Kids produced, and Mr. Hall and I are both in
12 agreement on those numbers. We have no dispute on those
13 numbers.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

02:18 15 BY MR. PITTMAN:

16 Q. And there were some years for Texas specific, for
17 instance, 2010, '11 and '12, there was no money spent on Texas
18 radio stations; correct?

02:18 19 A. Yes, yes, you can see that, yeah, 2010 through 2012. And
20 again, 2016, and it looks like recently they haven't as well.

21 Q. And what you show on Texas radio stations for 2008, 2009,
22 how are those amounts compared to what they are spending in
23 2013 and 2014?

02:18 24 A. Well, as you can see they went from below [REDACTED] a year
25 in 2008/2009, to a [REDACTED] and then [REDACTED] range in 2013 and

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 2014.

2 Q. So from 2008 to 2012, there was very little Texas radio
3 station advertising according to the records that you looked
4 at?

02:19 5 A. Yes, that's correct.

6 Q. Now, on the issue again of apportionment, is it your
7 testimony that all of the -- or is it your testimony that
8 you're not aware of any advertising K4K did that didn't use
9 the infringing mark in Texas?

02:19 10 A. Yes, that's my understanding based on the records I've
11 seen, as well as the testimony in this matter.

12 Q. Now, even assuming apportionment had been proper, did Mr.
13 Hall have the type of information from which he could have
14 done an analysis of donors to see if they didn't hear the
15 infringing mark or if they donated for some other reason?

02:19 16 A. Yes. As I mentioned the donor information that was
17 received has donor contact information, address, phone number,
18 so they could have contacted those donors and done a survey.

19 Q. It's your understanding Mr. Hall did not do that?

02:20 20 A. That's right.

21 Q. The next category you talked about the grants and I
22 believe you said Mr. Hall is also asking that you deduct
23 grants from this incremental profit.

24 A. That's correct --

02:20 25 Q. In order to determine the incremental --

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 A. That's the next big differentiating factor between his
2 and my calculation after apportionment. Now we're talking
3 about expense deductions, and he deducts the grants that are
4 funneled to Oorah.

02:20

5 Q. And how much is Mr. Hall trying to deduct for the grants
6 given to Oorah?

7 A. Over 50 percent of the remaining apportioned revenue that
8 he determines, is -- he's deducting for this grant expense.
9 He's allocating it to Texas, because there's no specific

02:20

10 dollar amount that comes out of Texas, it's just an
11 allocation. But yes, it's over 50 percent.

12 Q. So [REDACTED] is --

13 A. That's correct, [REDACTED] of the approximately [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED] of apportioned revenue that he's using.

02:21

15 THE COURT: And what was the percent on
16 apportionment?

17 THE WITNESS: So apportionment he's using 63
18 percent. He takes the [REDACTED] net and says I'm going to
19 reduce that by 37 percent or multiply by 63 percent to get

02:21

20 what he's calling infringed revenue, and then from that he
21 makes his deductions, and the first big one is the grants of
22 over 50 percent.

23 THE COURT: I see.

24 THE WITNESS: So he accepts all of these incremental
25 advertising expenses, but then he goes beyond that and takes,

02:21

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 you know, another -- whacks off another 50 percent.

2 Q. So is he suggesting that 37 percent is non-infringing
3 revenue?

4 A. That's correct. When we go up to the apportionment part,
5 he's saying that [REDACTED] in revenue, he's attributing 37
6 percent to non-infringing factors.

7 Q. But you didn't see 37 percent of the advertising that
8 didn't use the infringing mark, did you.

9 A. No. His assumption is based on as he explains it a
10 proxy. He's saying that advertising as a percentage of total
11 organizational operating expense, is a proxy for customers who
12 donated because of advertising versus other factors. And I
13 will just say from an accounting and finance standpoint,
14 there's no way to ascertain or assign revenue based on the
15 percentage of advertising as a percentage of total company
16 costs. I've never heard of anything like that.

17 Q. And he called it advertising-to-fund ratio?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Have you as an expert ever heard of such a ratio?

20 A. No, I haven't.

21 Q. Have you ever seen in any expert materials?

22 A. No.

23 THE COURT: Sorry to interrupt you again; when you
24 are looking at this 37 percent non-infringing --

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, 37 percent is what he's

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 attributing to non-infringing factors.

2 THE COURT: So, you multiplied by the 37 percent
3 from the gross amount or from the net --

4 THE WITNESS: The net.

02:23 5 THE COURT: The net?

6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the net of [REDACTED], he's
7 reducing by 37 percent or multiplying by 63, to get [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]. So that becomes -- that becomes his new revenue
9 number.

02:23 10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

12 BY MR. PITTMAN:

13 Q. And then he takes that [REDACTED] and he reduces --

14 A. Another 50 percent -- 51 percent for the grants, yeah,
02:23 15 that's the [REDACTED], as well always the incremental
16 expenses that I have here, and then there's the third item
17 that we disagree with.

18 Q. And Mr. Hall defines this [REDACTED] in grants as an
19 expense?

02:23 20 A. Yes, he does.

21 Q. How is this different from -- well, strike that.

22 Do you consider it a true expense in the accounting
23 sense of the word?

24 A. No, not a business expense because the for-profit and a
02:24 25 not-for-profit operate in different ways, and this is clearly

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 not an expense used to generate revenue. It's not a business
2 expense.

3 Q. And how is it different, this grant, what he calls an
4 expense, different from the other expenses some of which you
5 allow deductions for?

6 A. So, the grants are paid out of what's left over. Unlike
7 a typical business expense that's generally incurred to
8 generate the revenue, whether that's cost of goods sold or
9 salaries or occupancy expense that are needed to run the
10 business, grants are not needed to run the business. That's
11 what's left over.

12 So, when you look at a for-profit business, what's left
13 over, the profit is used as dividends to pay or distributions
14 goes back to the shareholders or owners. In a not-for-profit
15 company what's left over after paying the business expenses is
16 channeled in the form of grants to their charitable mission
17 operation, which in this case is the sister company Oorah.

18 Q. Have you ever seen grants deducted for the purpose of
19 determining incremental profits in a trademark case?

20 A. Well, I haven't had a nonprofit trademark case, so -- the
21 answer would be yes, but I haven't had a nonprofit trademark
22 case before.

23 Q. You've never seen it deducted?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. And the expert material that you looked at -- and you're

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 aware that Mr. Hall also submitted some expert materials?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Is that some of the same stuff you rely on as an expert?

4 A. Yes. And by expert materials are you talking about

02:26 5 reliance on publications and texts?

6 Q. Yes, like the McCarthy, the --

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Have you seen anything in your time as an expert where a

9 grant has been deducted for the purpose of determining

02:26 10 incremental profits in a trademark case?

11 A. No, and I can't say that I've seen nonprofit companies

12 addressed in those -- those treatises. But no, to answer your

13 question, I haven't seen that.

14 Q. Now, the last area where you say you and Mr. Hall have

02:26 15 disagreement is in what he calls common expenses?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Can you tell the judge what Mr. Hall's definition of

18 common expenses are?

19 A. So, Mr. Hall has assumed that all expenses incurred on a

02:26 20 national level of Kars with a K, should be allocated to Texas.

21 And there's a big problem with that because a large number of

22 those expenses are for the charitable mission. It's what the

23 IRS requires them to break out into different categories,

24 expenses that are for the charitable mission called program

02:27 25 services, versus those expenses that are for fundraising,

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 which in this case is for soliciting car donations.

2 So the IRS already requires them to break those out
3 into those separate categories. But Mr. Hall ignored that in
4 his analysis and simply took every single expense that was
5 reported on that Form 990, whether it was program services or
6 any other category, and assumed that it contributed to the car
7 donation revenue.

8 Q. And what was that approach called that Mr. Hall was
9 using?

10 A. So he's using what's called the full absorption approach,
11 in which every expense is assumed to somehow contribute to the
12 infringing revenue.

13 Q. And what approach are you using?

14 A. I'm using what's called the incremental approach, in
15 which you look at only those expenses that would have been
16 avoided or saved if the infringing revenue hadn't been
17 produced.

18 So, in other words, if K4K didn't have a Texas
19 operation which makes up approximately three or four percent
20 of its total revenue, would it still have continued to incur
21 certain expenses, or would some expenses have gone away. The
22 only expenses that would have gone away are these Texas
23 specific advertising expenses. So those are what we call
24 incremental expenses.

25 Q. And what do experts say is the approach that best meets

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 the purposes of the Lanham Act?

2 A. So, from a purely accounting and financial economic
3 perspective, the incremental approach is absolutely the proper
4 method for assessing profit contribution of a segment,
5 division, territory; any piece of an entire company you should
6 never allocate costs. That's sort of a fundamental doctrine
7 so to speak in financial accounting and management accounting.

8 THE COURT: You never allocate costs?

9 THE WITNESS: Right, not if you're trying to
10 ascertain the product contribution or economic benefit of a
11 subsidiary or territory or segment, because that disguises the
12 true contribution of that entity. So you would only look at
13 incremental costs.

14 A. Therefore, to answer your question, Mr. Pittman, the
15 appropriate approach to use in determining economic benefit or
16 a defendant's profits, damages remedy, in my opinion is the
17 incremental approach. Because based on basic finance and
18 accounting that is what best measures the economic benefit
19 contributed by that territory or segment or whatever it is
20 that we're analyzing.

21 THE COURT: So, I'm assuming that Kars 4 Kids has a
22 location where they work out of and they have rent, or some
23 kind of mortgage.

24 THE WITNESS: Right.

25 THE COURT: They have heat and air conditioning, and

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 probably security costs, things like that; do you include that
2 in incremental costs or not?

3 THE WITNESS: So, if you use the incremental
4 approach, you wouldn't. If none of the -- if the heat, the
5 depreciation, the rent, if none of that goes down when that
6 four percent of Texas revenue is lost, because that's all
7 Texas makes up of their total revenue is four percent, and you
8 assume that the headquarter's costs still keep going on, the
9 CEO salary still keeps getting paid the same, then that's a
10 non-incremental expense and should not be deducted. Based
11 again, on basic accounting and finance teaching on how to
12 assess economic benefit for profit contribution.

13 THE COURT: So when you define incremental costs,
14 and you have Texas specific advertising expenses, that's an
15 incremental cost?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes, yes, absolute, we're both in
17 agreement, Mr. Hall and I, that those are the incremental
18 expenses. The only -- the only place we disagree is he
19 includes the allocation of grants as an incremental expense as
20 well. And then there's one smaller item which we'll talk
21 about that's very small that I don't believe he's
22 demonstrated.

23 BY MR. PITTMAN:

24 Q. In your incremental approach looking here at Exhibit 447,
25 you do have some Texas specific advertising expenses, and you

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 have Google, Dallas, Yellow Pages, radio stations, and
2 national advertising. So you also allow some national
3 advertising as an incremental expense?

4 A. Yes, there was Yahoo national advertising, which for
5 whatever reason they weren't able to produce invoices or
6 specific billing information related to Texas; so Mr. Hall
7 just allocated it based on revenue, and we accepted that.

8 I mean I would have preferred to see what -- you know,
9 why Google, the actual website hits within Texas; I think that
10 would have been a more accurate representation. But we
11 accepted his allocation based on revenue for the Yahoo.

12 Q. And what is your understanding as an expert as to who was
13 supposed to -- or who has the burden to establish the
14 deductibility of expenses?

15 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Objection, your Honor; it's
16 a legal question, it's not something that he's qualified to
17 testify on or shouldn't.

18 THE COURT: Frank, can you just read back the
19 question?

20 (Question read back by the reporter.)

21 THE COURT: I'll sustain this one. Because you're
22 asking which party; right?

23 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor. As between him and
24 Mr. Hall, which expert.

25 THE COURT: Oh. I guess you can ask him whether he

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 thought it was his obligation.

2 BY MR. PITTMAN:

3 Q. Sir, as an expert -- and how many years have you been
4 doing this?

02:33 5 A. 30 years, over 30 years now.

6 Q. As an expert what is your understanding as to who had the
7 burden on expenses?

8 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Objection, your Honor; it's
9 the same question.

02:33 10 THE COURT: It is.

11 So, Mr. Cook, did you feel it was your obligation to
12 determine common expenses?

13 THE WITNESS: Right. In my experience working on
14 both sides, plaintiff and defendant, my experience is that the
02:34 15 plaintiff must prove defendant's sales only; and that the
16 defendant has the obligation or the infringer has the
17 obligation and burden to prove the deductions expenses.

18 THE COURT: All right, thank you. You said that
19 earlier. So on common expenses, you had indicated that if you
02:34 20 were going to do it, if you were going to allow an adjustment
21 on that, it would be four percent because that's the
22 percentage of Texas revenues against Kars 4 Kids' entire
23 revenue?

24 THE WITNESS: That would be one step, yes, based on
02:34 25 the revenue, and Mr. Hall and I don't disagree on the

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 percentage of revenue. But the other step is determining if
2 those expenses even related to in any way --

3 THE COURT: That's my question. So, can you point
4 out, if you know, what expenses common, whatever your
02:35 5 adversary --

6 MR. PITTMAN: Hall.

7 THE COURT: Called as common expenses, which ones
8 you wouldn't allow and which ones you would under your
9 analysis.

02:35 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 THE COURT: I'm sorry; it's a weak phrased question,
12 but it's the best I can do.

13 THE WITNESS: No, your Honor, I totally understand
14 where you're getting at. So, this is the benefit of the IRS
02:35 15 Form 990, is that it breaks it into three categories: Program
16 services, which is the charitable mission; the fundraising
17 arm, which is for solicitation of donations and operation of
18 the fundraising arm; and then a third category called
19 management in general, which is sort of the overall catchall.

02:36 20 The instructions in filling out that form the IRS
21 requires the 501(c)(3) to only put in program services those
22 expenses that relate to its charitable mission. So Mr. Hall,
23 for instance, has included salaries that he says benefit and
24 contribute to car donation, but he's including salaries that
02:36 25 are in the program services, and the IRS says you can't do

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 that.

2 And if we assume that Kars 4 Kids reported correctly
3 and accurately, then anything in that program services column,
4 particularly the grants, should not be deducted. Only those
5 expenses in the fundraising column relate to the car donation
6 operation and should be deductible. And even those contain
7 certain expense categories, such as, for instance, office
8 expense, which you think well yeah, every company has office
9 expense, it's legitimate to allocate that, and I would agree
10 if you could prove that it somehow relates to the car donation
11 program.

12 But we know that their office in addition to the car
13 donation program they have real estate that they've taken on
14 and that they're operating that would be part of that
15 fundraising arm. And there is perhaps rabbinical activities
16 going on there and other activities that they have discussed
17 that I've heard in this trial, that are not just part of the
18 car donation program.

19 So, in my experience just to get to -- cut to the
20 chase, if you're going to use common expenses, you need to
21 demonstrate from a general ledger or a good discussion why
22 each of those expense line items actually contribute to or
23 relate to the infringing revenue. And if you don't do that
24 and you have just for instance a line item that says other
25 expenses, which there is one, or bad debt, which Mr. Hall

Cook - Direct - Pittman

1 includes, and there's clearly -- there wouldn't be any bad
2 debt expense related to car donation, that's where the analyst
3 has to exercise some sort of judgment and do some analysis in
4 making the deductions, and not just blanket accept everything
02:38 5 that's reported.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 BY MR. PITTMAN:

8 Q. And Mr. Cook, what is the dollar amount of the common
9 expenses that Mr. Hall applies with which you disagree?
02:38 10 A. Let's see; the dollar amount -- well, I can tell you all
11 combined he's basically deducting 99 percent of that net
12 revenue number, 99 percent goes away under his calculation,
13 and he only leaves one percent, or [REDACTED] left.

14 Which demonstrates really what would be called a
02:39 15 perverse incentive for being able to deduct grants. Because
16 it would make the damage remedy under the Lanham Act have no
17 effect for a nonprofit organization that can simply claim oh
18 well, you know, all of our grant money which is everything
19 left over, you know, after expenses, that's an expense.

02:39 20 And so they basically can infringe without impunity on
21 these kind of cases, where defendant's profits are a monetary
22 remedy, because they would never have to pay any profit other
23 than a, you know, immaterial amount.

24 Q. Finally look at ACCFK Exhibit 448. And what is Exhibit
02:39 25 448?

Cook - Direct - Pittman

02:40

1 A. So this is just a final summary which comes from 447, the
2 total column; it starts with the net revenue of [REDACTED],
3 and shows all of the incremental expense deductions that Mr.
4 Hall and I are in agreement on, with the exception of his
5 grants. And it gets to a bottom line incremental profit
6 number of [REDACTED].

7 MR. PITTMAN: I'm going to offer into evidence, your
8 Honor, Exhibit 448.

9 THE COURT: Any objections?

02:40

10 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: No objection.

11 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

12 (Defense Exhibit ACCFK-448 was marked into
13 evidence.)

14 BY MR. PITTMAN:

02:40

15 Q. Now, sir, can you tell -- you identified about [REDACTED]
16 in grants, [REDACTED] in -- I'm sorry; [REDACTED] or so in
17 apportionment, [REDACTED] in grants, and [REDACTED] or so in
18 common expenses. Can you tell the Court the difference, the
19 dollar difference, in the amount you say represent incremental
20 profit, and what Mr. Hall is representing as profit from
21 Texas?

02:40

22 A. Yes. And just to make clear, when you say I've
23 identified that [REDACTED] in grants, that was -- that Mr. Hall
24 has identified that I have analyzed.

02:41

25 Q. Correct.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes. So as I pointed out he's essentially deducting 99
2 percent of that [REDACTED], which gets to his number of
3 [REDACTED] approximately; versus the [REDACTED] that I show of
4 incremental profit.

02:41

5 Q. Finally, Mr. Cook, the opinions that you provided today,
6 have you provided your opinions to the Court to a reasonable
7 degree of economic certainty?

8 A. Yes, I believe so.

02:41

9 Q. And do all those opinions use universally accepted
10 standard principles of economics and damages calculations for
11 trademark cases?

12 A. Yes, they do.

13 MR. PITTMAN: Pass the witness.

14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kaufman?

02:42

15 MR. WRIGHT: May I approach, your Honor?

16 THE COURT: You may.

17 (Handing to witness and Court.)

18 THE COURT: You may proceed.

19 (CROSS-EXAMINATION OF BRYCE COOK BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:)

02:42

20 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Cook.

21 A. Good afternoon.

22 Q. You testified on direct that you went and visited America
23 Can!; is that correct?

24 A. Yes, that is.

02:42

25 Q. And you made that visit in July, 2019; is that correct?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Now, you prepared reports in this action that were dated
3 January and March 2018 as well; correct?

4 A. That is correct.

02:43 5 Q. And in those 2018 reports you calculated Kars 4 Kids'
6 profits or what you determined to be Kars 4 Kids' profits;
7 correct?

8 A. Yes, at a national level.

02:43 9 Q. And that was for purposes of opining on the amount of any
10 disgorgement remedy; correct?

11 A. Yes, that's correct.

12 Q. You wanted your 2018 reports to be complete; right?

13 A. Yes, at the time based on a national level of defendant's
14 profits, that's correct.

02:43 15 Q. But you didn't do a visit to America Can! in order to
16 calculate Kars 4 Kids' profits for your 2018 reports, did you.

17 A. At that point I hadn't visited, that's correct.

18 Q. Now, the visit to America Can! that occurred in July of
19 '19, you were there for just one day; right?

02:43 20 A. Correct.

21 Q. And it wasn't even the whole day, was it.

22 A. It was about a half day.

23 Q. Would you say it was about a few hours?

24 A. Yes, a few hours, half day, however you want to say it.

02:44 25 Q. So you flew down -- or you flew to Dallas; correct?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And you had lunch on the flight; right?

3 A. I believe so.

4 Q. And you were back at the airport to fly home by dinner
02:44 5 time; correct?

6 A. That is correct.

7 Q. So your entire visit happened between lunch and dinner?

8 A. Yes, it did.

9 Q. You spoke a little bit on direct about an apportionment
02:45 10 that Mr. Hall performed; is that correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And would you agree that the general goal of an
13 apportionment analysis is to apportion revenue between
14 infringing and non-infringing factors?

02:45 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. In your experience courts allow apportionment as a
17 deduction when calculating profits; correct?

18 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object; it
19 calls for a legal conclusion.

02:45 20 THE COURT: Denied.

21 A. I have used apportionment in my own calculations as I
22 testified; I'm not sure how the court ultimately ruled on
23 those. As I think about it I believe that there were summary
24 judgment rulings on the ones that went to trial. So I'm not
02:45 25 sure how the courts have ruled.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. But in your experience courts allow apportionment as a
2 deduction under the Lanham Act --

3 THE COURT: So I'll sustain that.

4 Q. You mentioned that there were at least two cases in which
5 you performed an apportionment analysis in the past?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And apportionment was a big issue in those cases; right?

8 A. Yes, it was.

9 Q. And you were engaged in those cases by the alleged
10 infringer; correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. And just to make clear, in those cases you did do an --
13 you advocated for an apportionment; correct?

14 A. Yes, it was something that could absolutely be done
15 readily in those cases based on the data and facts.

16 Q. In this case you served a report, I believe it was on
17 October 22nd, 2018; does that sound right to you? 2019 I
18 mean.

19 A. Yes, that was my most recent report, that's correct.

20 Q. And in that report you discussed factors that could have
21 contributed to Kars 4 Kids receiving donations other than
22 advertising with the marks; correct?

23 A. Are you referring to where I refer to Mr. Hall's citing
24 of factors?

25 Q. Yes, I am.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. So, I referred to what Mr. Hall said certain factors
2 were.

3 Q. Okay. And one of the factors discussed in your report is
4 people's desire to support Kars 4 Kids' charitable cause;
02:47 5 isn't that right?

6 A. That's one of the factors Mr. Hall cites.

7 Q. And one factor is the tax benefit of making a donation to
8 a charity; correct?

9 A. That's another factor that he cites.

02:47 10 Q. And another is the convenience of donating a vehicle as a
11 way to get it out of your driveway say; correct?

12 A. That's another factor that he cites.

13 Q. Do you agree that those were factors that contributed to
14 Kars 4 Kids receiving donations?

02:47 15 A. Sure, there are many factors that contribute; the
16 question is did the use of the infringing mark concurrently
17 contribute.

18 Q. To be clear, you agree that those factors did contribute
19 to Kars 4 Kids receiving donations.

02:48 20 A. Sure.

21 Q. In this case you did not do an apportionment; correct?

22 A. No. As I've already stated, one, that's not my burden to
23 do; and two, I didn't feel that the underlying facts merited
24 or demonstrated that there would be a way to do that, unless a
02:48 25 survey was done.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. So the profits figure that you put forward, that assumes
2 that every donation Kars 4 Kids received from a donor in
3 Texas, was the result of advertising using the Kars 4 Kids
4 marks; isn't that right?

02:48

5 A. Yes, that's correct.

6 Q. On direct you testified a little bit about the
7 advertising for fundraising ratio, do you recall that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And that's the ratio that Mr. Hall uses to apportion Kars
10 4 Kids' revenue from Texas donors; correct?

02:49

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Now, the advertising for fundraising ratio would only
13 apply when the infringer is a nonprofit; isn't that right?

14 A. The way he's used it based on fundraising and excluding

02:49

15 grants from the denominator of his calculation, that's

16 correct. But just the fundamental assumption that advertising
17 somehow relates to the percentage of customers that donated --

18 or I should say donors that donated based on hearing the ad,

19 that has no basis in accounting or finance or marketing; I've

02:50

20 never heard anything like that proxy that he assumes.

21 Q. That wasn't really my question, though. My question is
22 you can only use the advertising for fundraising ratio in a
23 case where the infringer is a nonprofit; isn't that right?

24 A. Well, I would disagree that you could use that

02:50

25 advertising for fundraising ratio for the very fact -- reasons

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 I just stated. I believe it's an improper application.

2 Q. One of the inputs into the advertising for fundraising
3 ratio is the amount of -- is the amount spent on advertising
4 for the purposes of fundraising; correct?

02:50 5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And only nonprofits spend on advertising for the purposes
7 of fundraising; isn't that right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So there would only be that input in a case where the
02:50 10 alleged infringer was a nonprofit; isn't that correct?

11 A. Yes. If you're asking me about the inputs, the input
12 itself, there's nothing wrong with that number advertising
13 used in fundraising, it's how it's used that's wrong.

14 Q. And Mr. Cook, you testified on direct that you've never
02:51 15 done a case that involved -- excuse me; withdrawn.

16 Mr. Cook, you testified on direct that you've never
17 done a nonprofit trademark case; correct?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Now, I'd like to talk about some of the documents that
02:51 20 you and Mr. Hall used to identify deductible expenses. One
21 such document is the Form 990s that Kars 4 Kids filed with the
22 IRS; isn't that right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And you would agree that a Form 990 is among the best
02:51 25 sources for financial information about a nonprofit; correct?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes, at a high level, but not necessarily at a detailed
2 level for purposes of proving the direct assistance or direct
3 contribution of a common expense to infringing revenue.

4 Q. So I'll just ask the question one more time. You'd agree
5 that a Form 990 is among the best sources for financial
6 information about a nonprofit; isn't that right?

7 A. Yes, at a high general level, that's correct.

8 Q. Do you have in front of you a transcript of your November
9 6th, 2019 deposition?

10 A. Is that in one of the tabs?

11 Q. No, it's a separate bound book.

12 A. I don't have that.

13 Q. We'll circle back to that.

14 In your past work as an expert you've reviewed Form
15 990s to ascertain revenue and expenses; right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And you and Mr. Hall also relied on Kars 4 Kids' general
18 ledger for advertising and marketing accounts; correct?

19 A. Yes, only -- they only produced a general ledger for the
20 advertising and marketing, but for none of the other expenses,
21 none of the other common expenses such as occupancy or salary
22 or office expense. It was only for advertising.

23 Q. But the general ledger for advertising, marketing and
24 accounts was one -- was one of the documents that you and Mr.
25 Hall both relied on; correct?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes. Yeah, and I would have liked to have seen that for
2 all the other accounts, but we only got it for the advertising
3 and marketing.

4 Q. As a general matter you consider a company's general
5 ledger to be a reliable document for proving expenses;
6 correct?

7 A. A qualified yes; I mean unless there's reason to doubt
8 the company's accounting or accuracy in the accounting. But
9 generally, yes, a general ledger will have certainly give you
10 a much more detailed level than just a high level financial
11 report like a Form 990.

12 Q. And you have no reason to dispute the reliability of Kars
13 4 Kids' general ledger; correct?

14 A. Well, I can't verify the voracity of it or dispute the
15 reliability, I simply accepted it, because -- that's the only
16 information that was available, and I accepted it for purposes
17 of my analysis, yes.

18 Q. Just to be clear you have no reason to dispute it, do
19 you?

20 A. Well, we did -- as I mentioned in my deposition, we did
21 find some errors in it, but that's not uncommon. I think for
22 general purposes it was reliable enough to quantify the Texas
23 advertising expenses. And especially when -- when tied back
24 to supporting documents as Mr. Hall attempted to do on certain
25 of those advertising categories, that makes it even more

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 reliable.

2 Q. In your opinion, from an accounting standpoint the level
3 of detail in a general ledger is sufficient to prove
4 deductibility; correct?

02:55

5 A. It would depend on the facts and circumstances of each
6 matter. Because, for instance, in some cases a general ledger
7 might not provide enough detail, it might only have general
8 transactions that don't describe specifically what it was for
9 or how it related to the infringing revenue.

02:55

10 So, as a general proposition I would say typically a
11 general ledger will give you detailed information that may be
12 sufficient to determine whether that expense contributes or it
13 directly assists in the generation of infringing revenue. But
14 again, that can vary case to case.

02:55

15 Q. And the general ledger that you reviewed in this case, it
16 provided sufficient detail to establish deductibility;
17 correct?

18 A. Yes, for the advertising expenses, yes, I would agree to
19 that.

02:56

20 Q. You and Mr. Hall also both relied on a spreadsheet
21 summarizing Kars 4 Kids' Google advertising expenses in Texas;
22 correct?

23 A. Yes.

02:56

24 Q. You tried to tie that spreadsheet back to source
25 documents from Google; right?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes, there were source documents that K4K produced that
2 we tried to tie back but were unable to.

3 Q. And the reason you tried to tie the spreadsheet back to
4 source documents was because you didn't want to rely on a
5 document that was created by Kars 4 Kids; correct?

6 A. Yes, we -- we weren't even sure Kars 4 Kids produced that
7 document, we assumed they did. By produced I mean created.
8 But yeah, we always would like to gain a level of comfort.

9 Just like Mr. Hall did in the advertising expenses that he
10 tied back to invoices and supporting documentation, we would
11 have liked to have done the same thing for Google.

12 Q. You'd consider a document that came from Google to be a
13 reliable source to document those Google expenses, wouldn't
14 you?

15 A. Yes, I would.

16 Q. And you and Mr. Hall also both relied on five
17 spreadsheets summarizing Kars 4 Kids's advertising expenses
18 for Microsoft Bing; correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And similarly, you would consider a document generated by
21 Microsoft to be a reliable source document for those expenses;
22 correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. You're aware and you testified on direct that Mr. Hall
25 deducted a portion of Kars 4 Kids' common expenses under the

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 full absorption approach; correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And common expenses are things like wages for customer
4 service representatives, things of that nature; correct?

02:58 5 A. Yes, as well as for education and summer camps and things
6 of that nature which he deducted which I disagree with.

7 Q. Also rent, heat, mortgage, security costs, those things
8 are all common expenses?

02:58 9 A. Yes, but he also included those common expenses that
10 relate to the program services beyond just the fundraising
11 aspect.

12 Q. Okay. To be clear though, my question wasn't about
13 program services, my question was just those are types of
14 common expenses; correct?

02:58 15 A. Well, again, if you look at a Form 990 which he's relying
16 on, the company does break those out between fundraising and
17 program services. So when you say rent, the company's
18 required to allocate that rent between fundraising and program
19 services, which it did. Mr. Hall accepted the entire line
02:58 20 item in all three categories, and did not exclude the rent
21 that was allocated to program services.

22 Q. Is whether rent a common expense, does that depend on
23 whether it is a program service -- in the program services
24 column or in the fundraising column, or is rent just always a
02:59 25 common expense?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Well, according to the IRS instructions you -- the
2 501(c)(3) is required to allocate common expenses to the
3 specific areas, fundraising or program services. Those that
4 they can't allocate that don't have a direct -- direct
5 approach or direct assistance in producing either one of those
6 areas, are put into a third category called management in
7 general.

8 Q. I'll ask my question again. I feel like --

9 THE COURT: I think he's answering them, so go to
10 your next question.

11 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Yes, your Honor.

12 Q. Kars 4 Kids would not have been able to get donations
13 from Texas donors if it did not have customer service
14 representatives to process those donations; correct?

15 A. I would agree with that.

16 Q. And to be clear, your analysis does not make any
17 deduction for the wages paid to customer service
18 representatives; correct?

19 A. That's correct, because I just looked at the incremental
20 approach, which assumes that those customer service
21 representatives would still receive the same salary if the
22 four percent of Texas donations hadn't been received. So
23 there was no incremental difference.

24 Q. And you did not deduct them in your analysis.

25 A. That is correct.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. Kars 4 Kids wouldn't have been able to get donations from
2 Texas donors if it didn't incur expenses to maintain an
3 office; correct?

03:00 4 A. That could be debatable, but yes, I understand that
5 generally a company will have office and overhead expenses.
6 Again, with the caveat that if you're going to include that,
7 you should exclude that portion that the company allocates to
8 program services as we just discussed.

03:00 9 Q. But you didn't deduct anything for office expenses;
10 correct?

11 A. No, I'm using the incremental approach which just assumes
12 that only the expenses that change or that directly are
13 incurred as a result of the Texas operation would be deducted.

03:01 14 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Could we bring up ACCFK
15 Exhibit 447?

16 Q. Can you just remind us what this document is, Mr. Cook?

03:02 17 A. Yes, this is my summary analysis of K4K's revenue, the
18 incremental expenses that it incurred that Mr. Hall and I are
19 both in agreement on. Which then gets down to the incremental
20 profit that K4K earned in this period of time, which totals
21 [REDACTED].

22 Q. I'd like to focus specifically on the line that says: On
23 line advertising - Google. So, according to this document
24 that you prepared, Kars 4 Kids was advertising with Google in
03:02 25 Texas every year between 2008 and June 30th, 2019; correct?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. That's what the record shows, yes.

2 Q. And I don't know how good you are at mental math, but can
3 you tell how much Kars 4 Kids spent on advertising with Google
4 in Texas between 2008 and 2011?

03:02 5 A. About [REDACTED].

6 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: You can take that down;
7 thank you.

8 Q. You testified on direct that you don't deduct Kars 4
9 Kids' grant expenses in your calculation of profits; correct?

03:03 10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And the effect of not deducting grant expenses is that
12 you treat those expenses as profits; correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So the amount -- the amount that Kars 4 Kids spent on
03:03 15 grant expenses are part of the net profits number that you
16 believe is recoverable in this case.

17 A. That's correct, because that's the surplus that is then
18 discretionary which they funnel over to their sister
19 organization.

03:03 20 Q. And it's your understanding that substantially all of
21 Kars 4 Kids' revenue comes from its vehicle donation program;
22 correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And you'd agree that Kars 4 Kids could not have made any
03:03 25 grants if it was not operating a vehicle donation program?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Would you ask that again, please?

2 Q. Would you agree that Kars 4 Kids could not have made any
3 grants if it was not operating the vehicle donation program,
4 given that it is the source of substantially all of Kars 4
5 Kids' revenue?

03:04

6 A. No, that's obvious. As I testified, whatever surplus is
7 left over after paying the business expenses, that's what's
8 available to be funneled as a grant.

9 Q. So no vehicle donation revenue, no grants.

03:04

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And you'd also agree that it's likely Kars 4 Kids would
12 have spent less on grants if it didn't have the revenue it
13 received from Texas donors; correct?

03:04

14 A. Yes, that's probably true. Again, Texas only makes up
15 about four percent of revenue. But yeah, there would be some
16 amount of grants that might relate to a small decrease or
17 increase of revenue related to Texas. But again, that's just
18 based on -- those grants are not required to generate the
19 revenue, they're just simply what's made out of what's left
20 over.

03:05

21 Q. But if Kars 4 Kids didn't have the revenue from the Texas
22 donors, they likely would have made less grants; correct?

23 A. Yes, you could assume four percent less.

03:05

24 Q. Your opinion assumes that Kars 4 Kids was advertising
25 with the Kars 4 Kids marks in Texas between 2008 and 2019;

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So I'd like you to consider a brief hypothetical. Let's
4 assume Kars 4 Kids did not advertise using the marks in Texas
5 at any point between 2004 and 2013; do you understand my
6 hypothetical?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. If that were the case, then you'd have no opinion about
9 the amount of disgorgeable profits that Kars 4 Kids would have
10 earned from Texas during that period of time; correct?

11 A. Well, then it becomes a liability question and as a
12 damages expert I don't weigh in on that.

13 Q. If that were the case there would be no disgorgement of
14 profits --

15 A. If you're telling me there's no liability, no
16 infringement using the mark, then there's no need for damage
17 testimony.

18 Q. You testified that you've observed an increase in
19 donations to America Can! beginning in June 2019; correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And it's your opinion that taking down the Cars For Kids
22 with a C dotcom website after the trial caused that increase;
23 is that right?

24 A. My testimony was along with the purported withdrawal from
25 the market of Kars with a K.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. So you'd agree there are other factors aside from taking
2 down the website that likely were responsible for any increase
3 in donations to America Can!?

4 A. My testimony is it's those two factors combined that
5 would explain the majority of that increase.

6 Q. And you haven't done anything to quantify how much of the
7 increase is because of the website being taken down versus
8 Kars 4 Kids no longer accepting donations from Texas, have
9 you?

10 A. No, the data doesn't exist to do that. At least it
11 hasn't been produced that it exists to be able to do that.

12 Q. Before the website was taken down, potential donors
13 presumably visited the website; correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And when the website was up the number of donations it
16 caused was, at most, the number of people who visited the
17 website; would you agree with that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. You don't know how many people visited the website before
20 it was taken down, do you?

21 A. I don't believe that data has been produced. If it had I
22 would have analyzed that and I would have factored it into the
23 calculation and the analysis that I did.

24 Q. So you don't know how many donations that website was
25 responsible for before it was taken down; correct?

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. I believe I already answered that question; that data
2 hasn't been produced, so yes, I'm not aware of it.

3 Q. Just to be clear then, you don't know how many donations
4 America Can! gained because the website was no longer active;
5 correct?

6 A. No, not -- I can't disaggregate that from the purported
7 departure of Kars with a K from the Texas market.

8 Q. Now, going back to the reports you prepared in 2018, in
9 those reports you opined on what you consider to be
10 disgorgeable nationwide profits; correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And in those reports you calculated that Kars 4 Kids'
13 disgorgeable profits nationwide were between 161.3 million and
14 \$233.4 million; is that correct?

15 A. Yeah, I believe that's correct. Without checking your
16 numbers, I'll accept that you're reading me the right numbers.

17 Q. It's my understanding from your reports that those are
18 the numbers.

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. And after you made those reports there was a jury trial
21 in this case; right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And the jury returned a verdict of infringement in Texas
24 only; correct?

25 A. Yes, that's my understanding.

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. And then because of that jury verdict you reduced what
2 you believe to be the new amount of Kars 4 Kids' disgorgeable
3 profits to [REDACTED]; correct?

4 A. Yes, based on the State of Texas only, that's correct.

03:09

5 Q. So that's a reduction of approximately 90 percent from
6 the reports you prepared before the jury trial?

7 A. Without doing the math exactly that seems reasonable,
8 because as I've already testified Texas makes up approximately
9 four percent of the nationwide donation revenue that K4K

03:10

10 received.

11 Q. The profits that figure you came up with is what you
12 believed to be Kars 4 Kids' total incremental profits from
13 Texas donors between 2008 and June 30th, 2019; correct?

14 A. Yes.

03:10

15 Q. And you can't say whether that's the same amount by which
16 America Can! was harmed; correct?

17 A. No, I'm not doing an actual damages calculation or lost
18 profits, I'm doing a defendant's profits calculation.

19 Q. So you don't know what America Can!'s actual harm was.

03:10

20 A. No, I never did that calculation.

21 Q. And you can't identify any specific donations to Kars 4
22 Kids that would have gone to America Can! but for Kars 4 Kids'
23 use of the marks?

03:11

24 A. Not specific by donor, but as I think my analysis showed
25 at the beginning of my direct I believe that there is a strong

Cook - Cross - Litterine-Kaufman

1 empirical finding that donations received by K4K once they got
2 out of the market, went back to Cars with a C. And so I think
3 there's a very strong correlation there that that analysis
4 demonstrates.

03:11

5 Q. But you can't identify a specific donor in Texas who
6 donated a car to Kars 4 Kids instead of America Can! because
7 of the use of the marks; correct?

03:11

8 A. Well, that's not what a forensic accountant or economist
9 would do, that would be fact testimony or the findings of
10 perhaps a survey expert. So -- I know there's been evidence
11 introduced on that subject; I was at trial when some of that
12 evidence has been introduced of confusion, so I'm aware that
13 it occurred, but it was not part of my study as an economic
14 and accounting study.

03:11

15 Q. And you can't right now tell me that a particular car or
16 a particular donor was donated -- car was donated or donor
17 made a donation to America Can! because of the use of the
18 marks by Kars 4 Kids; correct? You couldn't identify a donor,
19 you couldn't identify a car.

03:12

20 A. No, that's beyond the scope of what an expert economist
21 or accountant would do.

22 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Pass the witness, your
23 Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. Redirect?

03:12

25

Cook - Redirect - Pittman

1 (REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF BRYCE COOK BY MR. PITTMAN:)

2 Q. Just a couple of questions. One, you were asked about
3 the fundraising to advertising ratio, and whether you had
4 worked on nonprofits as it relates to that. Would you use
5 that ratio that Mr. Hall used, called fundraising to
6 advertising ratio, in any context?

7 A. No, I wouldn't. As I already explained, he takes
8 advertising as a percentage of total costs and assumes that's
9 a proxy for donors who heard the ads. And I think that's a
10 very poor proxy and a misuse or misapplication of that ratio.

11 Q. And this is a nonprofit case?

12 A. Yes, it is.

13 Q. And you thought it was inappropriate for this case?

14 A. I did, yes.

15 Q. Now, you also were asked questions about the grants that
16 were conducted and whether they could have been received. Let
17 me ask you, in a profit company, assuming this were a profit
18 company, the amount that was given as grants, what would that
19 amount be or what would that -- those profits, those excess
20 profits, what would that be called in a profit company?

21 A. Right; the analogous to, what's the analogy from a for
22 profit to a nonprofit. I think the analogy is a for-profit
23 after it pays its business expenses has profit left over,
24 which it distributes as dividends or profits back to the
25 owners, the shareholders; whereas a nonprofit company, such as

Cook - Redirect - Pittman

03:14 1 Kars 4 Kids or America Can!, whatever surplus profit's left
2 over after paying the business expenses, gets distributed in
3 the form of grants through its nonprofit charitable mission.
4 Q. And in a profit based company, the amount that Mr. Hall
5 wants to deduct as what he calls an expense, would it be an
6 expense in a profit company?
7 A. No, because it's that surplus again, it's not -- it's not
8 required -- grant expense is not required to solicit
9 donations. And even the IRS specifically says keep your
03:14 10 grants separate from your fundraising for solicitation of
11 donations in fundraising; they're two separate categories.
12 Q. And did the activities that these two entities are
13 engaged in, do they have some characteristics of a profit
14 company?
03:15 15 A. Yes, they -- they're basically commercial enterprises.
16 They engage in the marketplace using advertising, using a
17 business model, and they both succeed to varying extents as
18 bringing in a large amount of revenue and a large amount of
19 profit surplus.
03:15 20 Q. And finally, you were asked questions about the Google
21 advertising that's on Exhibit 447; did you do any analysis to
22 determine what this Google advertising was, or did you just
23 accept K4K's word?
24 A. We tried to do some analysis; there was no context for
03:15 25 that file, it didn't indicate who it was prepared by, whether

03:16 1 that was Kars 4 Kids or a Google source document. For all we
 2 know it could have been a Google source document. As I
 3 indicated we would have liked to have tried to tie that back
 4 to even lower level source documents, but were unable to do
 5 so. So again, there was just no context provided by Kars 4
 6 Kids with a K in the document production regarding that
 7 document.

 8 MR. PITTMAN: Pass the witness.

03:16 9 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: A couple questions, your
 10 Honor?

 11 THE COURT: No, I think we're finished with Mr.
 12 Cook. I usually only allow direct, cross and then redirect.
 13 I gave Mr. Vogl extra time today only because Mr. Pittman went
 14 beyond his -- his questions went beyond the direct testimony.
03:16 15 So that's why I allowed him to do that.

 16 So, Mr. Cook, thank you for coming. And you may
 17 step down.

 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

 19 (Witness excused.)

03:16 20 THE COURT: All right. So we'll break for 10
 21 minutes or so and then we'll be back out.

 22 (Recess.)

 23 THE COURT: Mr. Pittman, you rest?

 24 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor.

03:34 25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Vogl?

1 MR. HAEFNER: Before we begin though, your Honor,
2 just as a housekeeping matter, it's 2:41, I know your Honor
3 wants to finish at a reasonable time. I've been keeping track
4 of the time, five hours --

03:34

5 THE COURT: I know, but everybody's late. I mean
6 Mr. Pittman went a long time, the cross went a long time.

7 MR. HAEFNER: And I've been keeping track of how
8 much time each party has used, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

03:34

10 MR. HAEFNER: So on that calculation America Can!
11 has 30 minutes left, and we have an hour and 40 minutes. So I
12 want to highlight it now, because I don't want there to be a
13 sudden rush at the end.

03:35

14 THE COURT: Well, what does that mean? How long --
15 why don't you tell me how long it's going to take you to
16 finish your case?

17 MR. VOGL: So I'll need 20 minutes with this first
18 witness, and we will expedite the direct; Mr. Kaufman will be
19 doing that direct of Mr. Hall.

03:35

20 THE COURT: So you have two witnesses?

21 MR. VOGL: Yes; our fact witness in response to
22 their fact witness, and our rebuttal expert.

23 THE COURT: All right. So you have 20 minutes --
24 and how long is the next witness?

03:35

25 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Maybe 40, 45 minutes, your

1 Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. So that's an hour?

3 MR. HAEFNER: Approximately, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: And how much cross do you need, Mr.

03:36 5 Pittman?

6 MR. PITTMAN: I would say 40 minutes total for the
7 two witnesses.

8 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll go to 4:30; it's 2:45.

9 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, we would -- we've talked
03:36 10 in chambers about sort of a wrap-up, because we've got five
11 elements or so, so that if the Court can give me maybe 15
12 minutes to wrap, sort of like a closing argument?

13 THE COURT: I'll let you do a brief.

14 MR. HAEFNER: In lieu of closing arguments, your
03:36 15 Honor?

16 THE COURT: In lieu of closing arguments.

17 MR. VOGL: And the laches argument, your Honor, you
18 want that to be done today?

19 THE COURT: I have that all done I believe, unless
03:36 20 you have new facts you want to bring.

21 MR. VOGL: No, I mean the facts that have come out
22 today have supported what we're saying, but I think you've got
23 everything in the briefs, your Honor, if you prefer that.

24 MR. HAEFNER: We can put the new facts that came out
03:36 25 today in our closing brief to your Honor.

Landau - Direct - Vogl

03:37 1 THE COURT: When we finish up the testimony, if I
2 have time to hear the laches, I'll do that. I know which way
3 I'm leaning. So if you have some facts that you wish to add
4 that came out today, then I'll listen to them now, before I
5 leave today.

6 MR. VOGL: Okay.

7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Vogl?

8 MR. VOGL: May I approach, your Honor?

9 THE COURT: You may.

03:37 10 MR. VOGL: And plaintiff Kars 4 Kids calls Ms.
11 Landau to the stand, please.

12 (ESTI LANDAU), affirmed.

13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name for the record.

14 THE WITNESS: Esti Landau.

03:38 15 THE COURT: Can you just spell your last name?

16 THE WITNESS: L-a-n-d-a-u.

17 MR. VOGL: Thank you, your Honor.

18 (DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ESTI LANDAU BY MR. VOGL:)

03:38 19 Q. Ms. Landau, can you please remind us where you work and
20 what your job title is?

21 A. Yeah, I'm the chief operating officer at Kars 4 Kids,
22 Inc.

23 Q. And what are your responsibilities as chief operating
24 officer for Kars 4 Kids, Inc.?

03:38 25 A. I oversee the advertising and marketing for Kars 4 Kids,

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 as well as I generally oversee the daily business operations
2 with the car donation program.

3 Q. How long have you been in that role?

4 A. That official capacity since January of 2019.

03:38 5 Q. And how long have you been with Kars 4 Kids all together?

6 A. I've been there since 2002 and I've been very involved in
7 all aspects of the car donation program since then.

8 Q. Including advertising and promotion of the car donation
9 business?

03:39 10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Ms. Landau, were you involved in the collection of
12 documents in preparation for this case?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And what role did you serve there?

03:39 15 A. We went through our internal documents to collect
16 expenses and revenue information as it pertains to Texas.

17 Q. And does Kars 4 Kids have a practice of keeping invoices
18 and revenue information in the ordinary course of business?

19 A. Yes, we do.

03:39 20 Q. And did you also collect information from any third-party
21 vendors?

22 A. Yes, we collected information from Copart auctions.

23 Q. Who is Copart, please?

24 A. Copart helps us sell -- pick up and sell donated
03:39 25 vehicles.

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 Q. And do they help you pick up and sell donated vehicles in
2 Texas?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And what did you collect from Copart?

03:39 5 A. We collected all pick-up information related to Texas
6 donors.

7 Q. And what did you do with the information that you
8 collected internally from Copart?

9 A. We handed that over to our counsel.

03:40 10 Q. Did you ever have conversations with Kars 4 Kids' expert
11 David Hall in connection with this case?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Approximately how many such conversations did you have?

14 A. I would say we had about five or six conversations.

03:40 15 Q. And generally what did you discuss?

16 A. So we discussed what we had produced, the expenses and
17 advertising that -- that pertained to Texas.

18 Q. And do you recall discussing with him any other things
19 about Texas with respect to advertising and expenses?

03:40 20 A. Not -- the revenue and the amount of expenses that were
21 specific to Texas and that were nationwide. As well as the
22 number of donations that came in from Texas.

23 Q. You were here this morning; correct?

24 A. Yes.

03:40 25 Q. And you heard Mr. Wentworth's testimony; correct?

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And you recall him testifying about your domain name

3 C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom?

4 A. Yes.

03:41

5 Q. Does the C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom website currently

6 generate donations to Kars 4 Kids from Texas?

7 A. No, shortly after the jury verdict we took down that

8 website.

9 Q. Has the CarsForKids.com, C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom

03:41

10 website, ever generated donations to Kars 4 Kids from Texas?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Approximately how many?

13 A. I would say about 20 per year on average.

14 Q. And how do you know that?

03:41

15 A. So we have access to information from our Google

16 Analytics, as well as our internal record, that's what they

17 indicate.

18 Q. So how significant of a source of donations from Texas

19 donors was the CarsForKids, C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom

03:41

20 website?

21 A. That's a very insignificant source. Our other

22 advertising and our new website is a much bigger source and

23 much more significant for us.

24 Q. Ms. Landau, you also were here this morning to hear Mr.

03:42

25 Wentworth discuss the fact that his company owns the domain

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 name, C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotorg?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Do you know if anyone is using C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s

4 dotnet?

03:42

5 A. That website belongs to the Children's Hospital of

6 Chicago, they're also accepting car donations in Texas.

7 Q. And you know that why?

8 A. Because we knew about them for many years, we coexisted

9 with them.

03:42

10 Q. So you've been coexisting with C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s

11 dotnet?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Ms. Landau, does Kars 4 Kids currently accept donations

14 from Texas donors located in the State of Texas?

03:43

15 A. No, we don't.

16 Q. So if a donor's located in Texas, Kars 4 Kids will not

17 accept their donation.

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Why does Kars 4 Kids not accept donations from donors in

03:43

20 Texas?

21 A. Well, in deference to the jury verdict we decided to take

22 that step not to accept donations.

23 Q. And when did Kars 4 Kids stop accepting donations of car

24 located in Texas from Texas donors?

03:43

25 A. So in June of 2019.

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 Q. What about if a donor is located in Texas is seeking to
2 donate a car outside of Texas; let's say the car's in Colorado
3 but the donor's in Texas, will Kars 4 Kids accept that
4 donation?

03:44 5 A. Not currently, no.

6 Q. And when did Kars 4 Kids stop accepting donations of cars
7 outside of Texas from Texas donors?

8 A. That was in October of 2019.

03:44 9 Q. Why did Kars 4 Kids stop accepting those donations in
10 October 2019?

11 A. As time goes on we, you know, assess and review the
12 protocol that we put in place since the jury verdict, and we
13 decided to take that extra step, extra measure.

03:44 14 Q. So you just used the word protocol; what -- can you
15 please describe what you mean by protocol?

16 A. So in June 2019 we let the customer service
17 representatives know that we're no longer picking up cars in
18 Texas. We put a protocol in place where our system will not
19 allow any donation in Texas to be saved, to go through the
03:44 20 process.

21 Q. Did you hear Mr. Cook testify earlier regarding his
22 projection of donations Kars 4 Kids would receive from Texas
23 donors from June to November 2019?

03:45 24 A. I don't -- you have to remind me of what his number was.
25 I remember him saying it.

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 Q. I guess the question is, do you recall that he did a
2 projection of what he thought your donations were going to be
3 from June to November of 2019?

4 A. Yes.

03:45 5 Q. And do you have any opinion as to whether or not his
6 projections are too high or too low or about right?

7 A. We didn't take donations from Texas since June, so it's
8 much too high. We've taken maybe a handful.

03:45 9 Q. Does Kars 4 Kids notify potential Texas donors that it
10 will not accept their donation?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Can I ask you to turn to K4KX-500. We'll put that up on
13 the screen.

14 Ms. Landau, do you recognize this document?

03:46 15 A. Yes, this is a -- it's a webpage in our Kars 4 Kids
16 website.

17 Q. And is this webpage maintained by Kars 4 Kids in the
18 ordinary course of business?

19 A. Yes.

03:46 20 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, I move to admit K4KX-500 into
21 evidence.

22 THE COURT: Any objections?

23 MR. KINKADE: No objection, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

03:46 25 (Plaintiff Exhibit K4K-500 was marked into

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 evidence.)

2 BY MR. VOGL:

3 Q. Ms. Landau, what is the purpose of this page?

4 A. This page is to inform any potential donor in Texas, any

03:46 5 potential donor in Texas that we're currently not accepting

6 donations there. So if someone fills out the form, we say I'm

7 sorry, we're currently not accepting donations in Texas.

8 Q. So if I'm a potential Texas donor and I put in my address

9 onto your website, will it accept my donation?

03:46 10 A. No.

11 Q. Will that potential Texas donor see this particular

12 exhibit, K4KX-500?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What do Kars 4 Kids call center representatives do when a

03:47 15 Texas donor attempts to donate to Kars 4 Kids?

16 A. They inform the donor that we're not currently accepting

17 donations in Texas.

18 Q. What happens if a Kars 4 Kids representative forgets to

19 follow that protocol?

03:47 20 A. Well, the system is problematically set up that it's -- a

21 message will pop-up and it won't allow it to save. So at that

22 point they'll inform the potential donor that the car cannot

23 be accepted and we're not picking up donations from Texas

24 donors. It's a very full proof system that we sent up.

03:47 25 Q. And since when has that system been in place?

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 A. So we started that system in June 2019.

2 Q. Does Kars 4 Kids do specific Texas advertising through

3 Google?

4 A. No.

03:48

5 Q. Do you know whether any Kars 4 Kids advertisements are

6 accessible in Texas?

7 A. So only our nationwide advertising is currently

8 accessible in Texas, which would include our SiriusXM radio,

9 as well as the banners that, you know, were referred to a lot,

03:48

10 that was part of a national campaign for anyone that comes to

11 visit our website.

12 Q. And we did hear some testimony earlier today about banner

13 adds; you were here for that?

14 A. Yes, I did hear that.

03:48

15 Q. So do you have an understanding how banner ads work?

16 A. Banner ads can work in many different ways, but what we

17 purchase the only -- it's kind of on the category of display

18 advertising. So, as opposed to like a text ad which will show

19 up when someone does a Google search, these are display ads

03:49

20 where you can actually visualize the ad and see something.

21 And the only kind of display ads that we buy is when someone

22 visits our website and then goes to another site on the web

23 and they'll get a banner.

24 Q. So the only way someone could see your ad is if they were

03:49

25 first on your website; correct?

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And you can impose that limitation.

3 A. That's a only thing we buy so that's the only thing
4 there.

03:49 5 Q. Can online pop-up ads be modified in any way to say that
6 Kars 4 Kids does not accept donations from Texas donors?

7 A. Technically you can add text or a banner that says that,
8 yes.

03:50 9 Q. And in fact you've done that with some of your ads, some
10 of those websites in Texas that we saw earlier; correct?

11 A. Right we've added banners to them yes.

12 Q. And what does the banner say?

13 A. We're not currently accepting donations in Texas.

03:50 14 Q. And if you're ordered to do so, can the SiriusXM
15 advertisements be modified in any way to say that Kars 4 Kids
16 does not accept donations from Texas donors?

17 A. We can add a voiceover or something like that to the ad
18 that would say that.

03:50 19 Q. So do you think it's necessary to make those
20 modifications to prevent Texas donors from donating to Kars 4
21 Kids?

22 A. No, I don't think they're necessary modifications that
23 we'd have to make.

24 Q. Why not?

03:50 25 A. We actually -- we put such strict protocols in place,

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 such protocols that there's no way a Texas donor at this point
2 can donate to Kars 4 Kids. So either when they come to our
3 website we'll have a banner, you know, show them that we're
4 not taking, or a customer service representative if they're
03:50 5 calling over the phone will inform them that we're not taking
6 those donations.

7 Q. And again if a customer representative makes a mistake?

8 A. The system's going to spit it out, it's not going to let
9 it go through.

03:51 10 Q. And when you say spit it out --

11 A. Just to cancel it, not proceed to the next step.

12 THE COURT: So is your system set up that way now?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

14 We weren't ordered to do it but we decided to go
03:51 15 ahead and do that.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 MR. VOGL: Can we go back to 500 please?

18 Q. So again, if someone in Texas is trying to donate to Kars
19 4 Kids, your organization, and they happen to be in Texas and
03:51 20 they put in a Texas address or Texas zip code, this is what
21 they see?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. They see this exhibit --

24 A. When they hit submit, this is the page they get.

03:52 25 Q. What effect has stopping taking cars out of Texas had on

Landau - Direct - Vogl

1 your revenues?

2 A. Well, the projection was about -- you know, we take an
3 average of about [REDACTED] cars a month, so we stopped taking those
4 cars; so it's about five or six months I guess since the jury
5 verdict, so it's about close to [REDACTED] cars we haven't taken;
6 as well as -- so the profits would be about [REDACTED] revenue
7 on that.

8 Q. Did you hear Mr. Wentworth testify about a call that he
9 listened to from Kars 4 Kids after contacting Kars 4 Kids to
10 make a donation?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. You saw the document that Mr. Wentworth discussed
13 referencing the call; correct?

14 A. Yes, I did.

15 Q. Have you had a chance to research that call at your call
16 center?

17 A. Yes, I did.

18 Q. What did you find out?

19 A. So, after much research there wasn't that much
20 information on the document, just the time of the call, I was
21 able to trace that call back to the number that they called.
22 The only information we had in our system was that phone
23 number and I think it said it was like a Ford Escort, but it
24 had no information where the donor was located or where the
25 car was located.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

03:53

1 So the person was calling to follow up on that and had
2 they gotten any of that information the system would have
3 cancelled it. So the donor would -- the potential donor would
4 have been informed that we're not accepting donations in
5 Texas.

6 MR. VOGL: No further questions, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Cross.

8 (CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ESTI LANDAU BY MR. KINKADE:)

9 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Landau.

03:54

10 A. We meet again.

11 Q. Yes, we do. You remember I'm Chris Kinkade from Fox
12 Rothschild, yes, we met back in May. I'll try to be brief
13 here as the afternoon wears on.

03:54

14 So you had just testified a few minutes ago about
15 Google Analytics; did you provide any of those Google
16 Analytics data to Mr. Hall?

03:54

17 A. Well, any information of the cars that were received from
18 the Kars 4 Kids website were on the full donation records that
19 we sent over. Everything was in the car donation records, so
20 you should have gotten that information.

21 Q. Did you provide Mr. Hall with any of the website traffic
22 data for Texas?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Did you provide him with any ad-clicks for Texas?

03:54

25 A. How many what?

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. Ad-clicks?

2 A. I think we set a Google -- an extensive Google document
3 from all the advertising that we did in Google, so yes.

4 Q. To Mr. Hall?

03:55 5 A. It was a very extensive document.

6 Q. Okay. Because one of the categories that he says he
7 spoke with you about was specifically identify the portion of
8 K4K's advertising that's national in reach, and provide his
9 percent of revenue approach. Do you remember talking with him

03:55 10 about that?

11 A. I think I'm mixed up. Repeat the question? Go ahead.

12 Q. So he spoke with you --

13 THE COURT: You didn't understand the question?

14 THE WITNESS: I didn't understand the original

03:55 15 question.

16 THE COURT: You better rephrase --

17 Q. You spoke with him about you said with the advertising,
18 both the national advertising and the Texas specific
19 advertising; correct?

03:55 20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. And for a lot of that advertising you didn't have Texas
22 specific data; correct?

23 A. For some of it? Most of it.

24 Q. Right, most of it. And so then he had to apply some
03:55 25 apportionment analysis; right?

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 A. Well, it depends, which -- give me the specifics.

2 Q. So if he was looking at national only data that he

3 provided, he had to apportion that to Texas; correct?

4 A. Depending on which vendor that was.

03:56

5 Q. Right.

6 A. Tell me which vendor and I'll tell you.

7 Q. But you recall that some of the vendors only have -- you

8 only have national data for; right?

9 A. I think he mentioned Yahoo.

03:56

10 Q. Right. And what about Google?

11 A. Google he didn't say that about.

12 Q. What about billboards that you did through CBS?

13 A. Google owned billboards we were able to -- if we did in

14 Texas we were able to determine that.

03:56

15 Q. How many Texas billboards do you have?

16 A. I'm not sure that we did Texas billboards.

17 Q. So, when we're looking at -- when he's trying to figure

18 out what advertising expenses were in Texas and trying to

19 analyze that data and you're talking about having analytics --

03:56

20 right? You were just testifying about Google Analytics that

21 you had for your website; correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And those would show where visitors come from; correct?

24 A. Yes.

03:56

25 Q. And how many visitors you get on a per-day or per-month

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 basis?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. And click-through rates, are you familiar with what

4 click-through rates are?

03:57 5 A. That wouldn't be in Google Analytics --

6 Q. Do track those?

7 A. Google ad words.

8 Q. Do you track those Google ad words?

9 A. I have people that do that for me, yes.

03:57 10 Q. Did you provide Mr. Hall with any of the data of viewers

11 coming through Google ad words from Texas?

12 A. We had specific reports that were from Google that showed

13 expenses just for Texas.

14 Q. Expenses on what you were paying for ad words?

03:57 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. But did you provide him with any of the data of how many

17 viewers were actually coming from Texas?

18 A. I'm not -- I don't remember the document exactly, if you

19 pull it up I think and show the clicks because it all gets

03:57 20 calculated by a click.

21 Q. And when somebody's filling out the form like we just saw

22 in K4K Exhibit 500 -- I'm sorry. We didn't actually see the

23 form, but you testified that when somebody fills out the form

24 they would now presently come to the "we're real sorry about

03:57 25 this" landing page; correct?

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. When they fill out that form there's also a field in that
3 form of where did you hear about us; correct?

4 A. Yes.

03:58 5 Q. And do you track that data?

6 A. We have it in our system.

7 Q. Okay. Did you provide any of that data to Mr. Hall?

8 A. It was probably in the donation records.

03:58 9 Q. So instead of estimating where the different viewers that
10 received your advertising came from -- or where those
11 advertising dollars were going in Texas, you could have
12 provided additional data to Mr. Hall; correct?

13 A. Verify what you mean by viewers.

03:58 14 Q. When somebody visits your website and then click through
15 to the donation page; correct?

16 A. Okay, what? I don't have the question --

17 Q. So the apportionment analysis that you're assisting Mr.
18 Hall with was to evaluate how much of these national scope
19 advertising is attributable to Texas; correct?

03:58 20 A. Which national, which ones?

21 Q. Like SiriusXM radio, that is a national advertising that
22 K4K uses; correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Is there any different advertising that it runs in Texas?

03:58 25 A. No.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. Okay. So, in order to apportion the advertising expenses
2 for SiriusXM radio, Mr. Hall had to do an analysis, an
3 apportionment analysis, to estimate the amount of expenses
4 that were attributable to Texas; correct?

03:59

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Sirius, is that a field that somebody can fill in on the
7 form if asked where did they hear about you?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. So K4K actually possesses data that would tell Mr.

03:59

10 Hall how many people came to its site and attempted to donate
11 after hearing SiriusXM; correct?

12 A. Not the ones that actually went through the process, not
13 -- if you'd like, you know, to trust the accuracy of that.

14 Q. But that would be actual data instead of an estimate;

03:59

15 correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And you just testified a few minutes ago that you've
18 recently in October stopped accepting donations from residents
19 outside of Texas where the vehicle is located inside of Texas;

04:00

20 correct?

21 A. No.

22 Q. I'm sorry. What did you -- when did you stop that?

23 A. That was initially in June. Then we haven't picked up a
24 car that's located in Texas and have them send a truck to pick
25 up those cars, we stopped that in June already.

04:00

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. So I got that backwards. And October was when you
2 stopped accepting cars outside of Texas from people within
3 Texas.

4 A. That's right.

04:00

5 Q. Okay. But Mr. Hall said that you did not provide him
6 with data for donations for cars in Texas from residents
7 outside of Texas; is that accurate?

8 A. We provided information just for the donors that were in
9 Texas.

04:00

10 Q. And did you provide Mr. Hall with the website statistics
11 for C-a-r-s-F-o-r-K-i-d-s dotcom?

12 A. No.

13 Q. In his report Mr. Hall also said that he spoke with you
14 and Mr. Moskovits, and found out that as you just testified

04:01

15 K4K did not run any new advertising in Texas after the jury's
16 verdict; is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Okay. But K4K has continued advertising in Texas;
19 correct?

04:01

20 A. Nothing specific to Texas.

21 Q. But the national ads are still viewable in Texas;
22 correct?

23 A. The national ads.

24 Q. And the website is still accessible in Texas.

04:01

25 A. Yes.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. And the phones are still answered when the caller calls
2 from Texas.

3 A. That's like I said, someone tries to donate we let them
4 know we don't take that donation.

04:01 5 Q. And you can chat with people on line from Texas?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Have you tried to block any phone calls from Texas?

8 A. Tried to block?

9 Q. Yes.

04:01 10 A. Well, this way we can let the donor know why they're
11 being blocked which is why we don't take donations. We
12 haven't blocked the calls, no.

13 Q. Have tried to block any IP addresses, computer IP
14 addresses from --

04:02 15 A. From the website, no.

16 Q. Has K4K implemented any geographic exclusions for
17 national advertising on Google?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So you're saying you wouldn't see a K4K ad in Texas?

04:02 20 A. No, not when you do a Google search.

21 Q. What about Bing?

22 A. No, we excluded it as well.

23 Q. And Yahoo?

24 A. Yeah.

04:02 25 Q. Sirius?

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 A. Yahoo's not separate from Bing anymore so that's one, but
2 Sirius can't be excluded so when we could we did.

3 Q. Sorry; say that again?

4 A. Sirius can't be excluded.

04:02 5 Q. But you could put in a disclaimer --

6 A. As I mentioned -- or testified earlier that it is a
7 possibility to do that. But waiting further direction from
8 the Court we didn't do anything to national advertising.

9 Q. What about radio?

04:02 10 A. We're not advertising in Texas radio currently.

11 Q. And TV?

12 A. Not either.

13 Q. So what national TV broadcasts?

14 A. We don't do any national TV currently.

04:03 15 Q. You're off all those late night shows?

16 A. Which late night shows?

17 Q. All the --

18 A. Those were -- I mean if someone mentions me I can't tell
19 them to exclude us; if Jimmie Fallon wants to make a joke

04:03 20 about the commercial, that I can't exclude.

21 MR. KINKADE: Can we please have K4K Exhibit 500
22 again?

23 Q. Ms. Landau, so this is the page a prospective donor would
24 now see; right?

04:03 25 A. Yes.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. This is still advertising the Kars 4 Kids with a K mark;
2 correct?

3 A. The Kars 4 Kids mark is on there.

4 Q. And there's a click here link to go to the main page?

04:04 5 A. Well, they can start over again, so if they do that again
6 it kind of repeats the cycle.

7 Q. Right.

8 A. As long as it's really a Texas donation we're giving them
9 the option -- maybe they put the wrong zip code in they can
04:04 10 try again, otherwise if it is Texas it will continue to give
11 them this page.

12 Q. Right. And so this page, if there were no injunction,
13 what would it look like?

14 A. There is no injunction.

04:04 15 Q. Right. So if I were a donor outside of Texas, what would
16 I see when I try to fill out this form?

17 A. If you're a donor outside of Texas and donating a car,
18 where?

19 Q. New Jersey.

04:04 20 A. We go thank you, thanks for your donation.

21 Q. Okay, great. So assuming there's no injunction entered
22 by this Court, this page could just pop back up as a thank you
23 for your donation?

04:04 24 A. Well we did this without the injunction, so -- depending
25 what the Court rules I guess that's what we'll do.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. So potential Texas donors of cars is still seeing this
2 page when searching for Kars 4 Kids with a K?

3 THE COURT: When you say this page, you mean 500?

4 MR. KINKADE: Yes.

04:05 5 A. No, this is not -- this page only displays to people who
6 actually try to attempt to -- that's when -- that's when this
7 page will come up.

8 Q. So if someone in Texas were searching for my client,
9 America Can! Cars For Kids, and went -- landed on your page
04:05 10 and attempted to donate a car because they weren't sure who
11 they were donating to, they would land on this page; correct?

12 A. Potentially. We're not bidding on the Google ad words
13 though, I doubt they would get to us.

14 Q. That wasn't my question. My question is if they were in
04:05 15 Texas and tried to donate, they would land on this page;
16 correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 MR. KINKADE: Could we please have ACCFK-440?

19 A. Do I have that?

04:05 20 Q. No, you don't have a copy of that right now, it will be
21 on the screen and I can hand you a copy if you would like.

22 THE COURT: Can you read that?

23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm good.

24 Q. Can you see this, Ms. Landau?

04:06 25 A. Yes.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. Okay. And do you recall the testimony this morning about
2 this exhibit from Mr. Wentworth?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So, people in Texas can still see Kars 4 Kids
5 advertising; correct?

6 A. If they came to our website.

7 Q. Okay. If they came to your website when?

8 A. Probably within the last 30 days.

9 Q. Are you sure about that?

10 A. That's the way retargeting works.

11 Q. You said it's stored on the -- where is the information
12 stored?

13 A. Which information?

14 Q. Well, let me --

15 THE COURT: Mr. Kinkade, why don't you ask one more
16 question and Ms. Landau will answer that.

17 MR. KINKADE: I'm going to switch to a --

18 THE COURT: You're crossing over each other and it's
19 hard to transcribe.

20 MR. KINKADE: I apologize.

21 Q. Did Kars 4 Kids implement any advertising restrictions to
22 block pop-ups to Texas users?

23 A. Actually we'd like to submit a list of zip codes in Texas
24 and ask them to restrict it. Apparently they didn't do such a
25 good job.

Landau - Cross - Kinkade

1 Q. So Kars 4 Kids ads are still -- with a K, I'm sorry; are
2 still blocking potential donors from reaching America Can!
3 Cars For Kids; correct?

04:07 4 A. No, I don't know how you got to that. That line of
5 reasoning I'm not sure.

6 Q. On this page there's like most of the sites there's a
7 limited number of advertising blocks; correct?

8 A. Does America Can -- would they otherwise show up here? I
9 can't like answer to that that it would be otherwise an

04:07 10 America Can! ad here.

11 Q. My question was there's a limited number of advertising
12 spaces on this website; correct?

13 A. Okay, yes.

14 Q. Similar to the limited number of billboards; correct?

04:08 15 A. Limited number of billboards where?

16 Q. Along the road.

17 A. I guess so, yes.

18 Q. So if you're buying up the advertising space someone else
19 cannot; correct?

04:08 20 A. We're not buying specific advertising on this website.

21 Q. If your ads are in a space can someone else occupy that
22 space?

23 A. Not at the same exact minute, no.

04:08 24 Q. If you recall back in May we went over all those 990s and
25 I think over the last decade Kars 4 Kids with a K had expended

Landau - Redirect - Vogl

1 over [REDACTED] on advertising; does that sound accurate?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Did you hear Mr. Cook's cross-examination a short while
4 ago when he testified that the Texas specific Google ads from
5 about 2008 to 2011 were about [REDACTED]?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Do you know what percentage of a [REDACTED] dollars
8 [REDACTED] is?

9 A. Point three percent.

10 Q. Three?

11 A. Point three.

12 Q. Point three?

13 MR. KINKADE: Nothing further, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. Redirect?

15 (REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF ESTI LANDAU BY MR. VOGL:)

16 Q. You saw the document that Mr. Cook was referring to that
17 showed the donations -- excuse me -- the advertising that was
18 done on Google; correct?

19 A. Yes, it was a little hard to see back there I have to be
20 honest though.

21 MR. VOGL: Can we bring that one up? I think it
22 is...

23 THE COURT: I think it's 447.

24 MR. VOGL: 447 I believe, yes.

25 Q. This is the -- do you recall seeing that document?

Landau - Redirect - Vogl

1 A. From far away, yeah.

2 THE COURT: You can read it, Ms. Landau?

3 THE WITNESS: Um-hmm, thanks.

4 Q. And you notice that this document starts in 2008, do you
04:10 5 see that?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. You've been with the company since 2002; correct?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Were Kars 4 Kids ads accessible in Texas between 2002 and
04:10 10 2008?

11 A. Yes.

12 MR. KINKADE: Objection; beyond the scope.

13 MR. VOGL: We're talking about this document.

14 THE COURT: He didn't refer to it.

04:10 15 MR. VOGL: But he was talking about the online
16 advertising for Google on this document.

17 THE COURT: All right. So you can refer to Google
18 numbers --

19 MR. KINKADE: Your Honor, I also didn't go into
04:10 20 historical advertising before 2008.

21 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

22 MR. KINKADE: I also did not examine the witness on
23 advertising prior to 2008.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

04:11 25 BY MR. VOGL:

Landau - Redirect - Vogl

1 Q. So you're familiar with the fact that online advertising
2 Google in Texas took place between 2008 and 2018; correct?

3 A. Yes. 2019.

4 Q. 2019 as well. And you've had responsibility for that.

04:11 5 A. Yes.

6 Q. So you have reason to know.

7 A. Yes, we do.

8 MR. VOGL: No further questions, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

04:11 10 You may step down, Ms. Landau.

11 (Witness excused.)

12 THE COURT: I've been keeping track of the time:

13 Mr. Vogl went 17 minutes plus one minute, 18 minutes; and
14 cross was from 3:02 to 3:18, so you went 16 minutes.

04:11 15 All right. Next witness?

16 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Kars 4 Kids calls David
17 Hall, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: You may take the stand.

19 (DAVID HALL), sworn.

04:12 20 THE COURT: Mr. Hall, can you just spell your last
21 name?

22 THE WITNESS: David Hall, H-a-l-l.

23 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hall.

24 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

04:12 25

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 (DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DAVID HALL BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:)

2 Q. Mr. Hall, can you please introduce yourself to the Court?

3 A. Yes, as I said I'm David Hall, I'm a managing director at
4 Alvarez and Marsal, and a consultant for that firm at Alvarez
5 and Marsal.

6 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Can we please bring up K4K
7 Exhibit 111, which was entered into evidence during the
8 liability phase of trial?

9 Q. Do you recognize this Mr. Hall?

10 A. Yes, I do.

11 Q. And what is it?

12 A. It is my C.V. or resume.

13 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, as we did with
14 Mr. Cook, we would offer Exhibit 111 as Mr. Hall's
15 credentials.

16 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Pittman?

17 MR. PITTMAN: No objection, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: All right. So it was already admitted,
19 I'll admit it again just in case.

20 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

21 (Plaintiff Exhibit k4k-111 was marked into
22 evidence.)

23 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: And we would offer Mr. Hall
24 as an expert in forensic accounting and market analysis, which
25 is the topics he addressed during the liability phase, and

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 also damages calculations.

2 THE COURT: All right. Any objections, Mr. Pittman?

3 MR. PITTMAN: No objection, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: So Mr. Hall is accepted as an expert in
04:13 5 those fields mentioned by Mr. Kaufman.

6 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

7 Q. What was your assignment for this hearing today?

8 A. My assignment for 2019 post verdict was to review,
9 analyze and provide my opinions on Mr. Cook's opinions and his
04:13 10 expert reports; as well as my other assignment was to
11 independently calculate profits subject to disgorgement for
12 Kars 4 Kids.

13 Q. And what materials did you consider in doing that?

14 A. I considered materials that I've received over time from
04:14 15 2018 through 2019 that include legal filings; Form 990s, which
16 are IRS financial documents for nonprofits; I also reviewed
17 specific donor records for both parties, America Can! and Kars
18 4 Kids with a K; also general ledger extracts for Kars 4 Kids;
19 various number of invoices and other documents that I've
04:14 20 listed in my report that I considered.

21 Q. One of the categories of documents you mentioned was
22 invoices; based on your experience as a damages expert, are
23 invoices a reliable source to determine a company's
24 expenditures?

04:15 25 A. Yes, unless there's some -- one off reason that an

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 invoice has an issue, yes, they are as they are a
2 contemporaneous record of a transaction a company has with a
3 third-party.

04:15

4 Q. Another one of the materials you mentioned was Kars 4
5 Kids' general ledger; can you briefly explain what a general
6 ledger is?

04:15

7 A. Sure, it's an entity's kind of base level accounting
8 record of its transactions that really form the basis for any
9 of its financial statements or summary statements about its
10 expenses and revenues and any transaction it has.

11 Q. And based on your experience as a damages expert, is a
12 company's general ledger a reliable source to determine
13 expenditures?

14 A. Yes, it is.

04:15

15 Q. Why is that?

16 A. Because as I mentioned it's contemporaneously kept in the
17 normal course of business, and it is the source ultimately of
18 a company's financial records and financial statements. And
19 so I've seen it many times used as the source, an acceptable
20 source for experts in many different cases and environments.

04:16

21 Q. Another category was Kars 4 Kids' IRS Form 990s; based on
22 your experience as a damages expert, is a Form 990 a reliable
23 source to determine expenditures?

24 A. Yes, it is.

04:16

25 Q. Why?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. It is a IRS document that is required for nonprofits.

2 It's really the tax return for a nonprofit, even though they
3 don't have profits subject to taxation the way for-profit or
4 individuals have with the IRS; but it reflects the underlying
5 financial records, and particularly when it's done by a
6 third-party like an accounting firm, that review the entity's
7 accounting records and reflect it in the 990.

8 Q. In performing your assignment for this hearing, did you
9 request any documents that were not provided to you?

10 A. No. Everything I requested was provided.

11 Q. Did you do an on-site visit of Kars 4 Kids offices?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Why not?

14 A. I didn't think I needed to given my access by telephone
15 to the president and chief operating officer, as well as
16 access to the financial records I requested through counsel,
17 to perform my assignments.

18 Q. And who selected the methodologies that you used in
19 performing your assignment for today?

20 A. I did. Once the Court had ordered a defendant's profits
21 measure, I decided of the methodologies that I pursued and
22 executed.

23 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Can we bring up K4KX-510
24 please?

25 Q. And this is in your binder as well, Mr. Hall.

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Thank you.

2 Q. Do you recognize this document?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What is it?

04:18 5 A. It is my expert report, dated October 18th, 2019.

6 Q. Does it set forth your opinions about disgorgement of

7 Kars 4 Kids' profits from Texas donors?

8 A. Yes, it does.

9 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, Kars 4 Kids

04:18 10 moves the admission into evidence of K4KX-510.

11 THE COURT: Any objections?

12 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor. This is -- there's

13 so much hearsay in this document, it's 59 pages; Mr. Hall has

14 assumption after assumption, legal exclusions, hearsay of his

04:18 15 own, and typically --

16 THE COURT: So Mr. Pittman's objection is sustained.

17 I'll listen to Mr. Hall's testimony. And I don't believe I

18 accepted the expert report from Mr. Pittman's expert either.

19 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: I don't believe it was

04:19 20 offered, your Honor.

21 Can we bring up then K4KDX-505, which is a

22 demonstrative?

23 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

24 Q. Mr. Hall, do you recognize this document?

04:19 25 A. Yes.

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. What is it?

2 A. It is a table from my expert report, page 4.

3 Q. And what was Kars 4 Kids' net revenue from vehicle

4 donations from Texas donors for the period 2008 through June

5 30, 2019?

6 A. The net revenue figure is on the top far right column of

7 [REDACTED] -- basically [REDACTED].

8 Q. And that was the same figure that Mr. Cook gave earlier;

9 correct?

10 A. It is, yes.

11 Q. What is the bottom row of this chart?

12 A. The bottom row is a different time period with the exact

13 same information reflected from the source records, and the

14 bottom row time period is 2013 through June 30th, 2019.

15 Q. Why did you also calculate net revenues for 2013 through

16 2019?

17 A. Kars 4 Kids' counsel requested that I do that, based on a

18 legal issue in the case.

19 Q. Do you have an opinion about which of those time periods

20 is the correct one to use here?

21 A. I do not.

22 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: We can take that down,

23 please.

24 Q. Did you perform an apportionment analysis as part of your

25 profits calculation?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Why did you do that?

3 A. Both from my experience on other intellectual property

4 matters, and review of damages treatises or publications

04:21 5 related to the subject matter, I understood that and

6 understand that that's necessary if there's an assessment that

7 not one hundred percent of the revenue, in this case in the

8 vehicle donation revenue in Texas, was attributable to the

9 subject trademark or subject intellectual property.

04:21 10 Q. Why do you think that not all of the revenue in this case

11 is attributable to the subject trademark?

12 A. For several reasons. First, with my work both in 2018

13 and 2019 I gained an understanding of the vehicle donation

14 market with other entities as well as Kars 4 Kids, and gained

04:21 15 an understanding through independent research on what drives

16 vehicle donations.

17 Also coupled with that, my own personal experience as

18 far as donating, I understand that there's other factors

19 involved other than the subject -- the subject trademarks.

04:22 20 Q. And in your opinion how do people typically choose their

21 charity to donate to?

22 A. Typically I believe the number one factor is the

23 charitable mission, that donors look for ways to donate to

24 entities that are pursuing a mission that they agree with or

04:22 25 like a great deal.

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. What other factors aside from Kars 4 Kids advertising
2 could have caused donors in Texas to donate to Kars 4 Kids?
3 You mentioned the charitable mission; are there others?

04:22 4 A. Yes, there are. Another one that comes to mind that's
5 reflected in the GAO, Government Accounting Office records on
6 the study of vehicle donations, is the tax deductibility.
7 That appeals to donors being able to get documentation for a
8 donated vehicle that allows them a financial benefit in the
9 form of a tax deduction.

04:23 10 Q. Any other factors?

11 A. Yes. Convenience factor; is it easy to do, does the
12 charity make it easy, as opposed to a more difficult process.
13 I saw that in the information as well that I reviewed related
14 to the industry, the vehicle donation industry, and I think
04:23 15 reasonably that's a factor that drives donations as well.

16 Q. In your opinion, are there likely to be a multitude of
17 other factors too that would cause people to donate to Kars 4
18 Kids?

04:23 19 A. I think there likely is, and some of them could be
20 individual per person on what they're deciding to donate to,
21 but the three identified I think captures a lot of it, but
22 certainly there's likely more.

23 THE COURT: What are your three? Tax deductibility,
24 convenience and donating vehicles; what's your third?

04:23 25 THE WITNESS: The third I think was the primary one,

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 and that's the mission of the charity that people decide on.

2 But you listed the other two, sir.

3 Q. Those three factors you mentioned, aren't they true of
4 other vehicle donation charities as well?

04:24 5 A. Yes, they are from my review of the market, yes.

6 Q. So if they're true of other vehicle donation charities as
7 well, why do they support an apportionment of Kars 4 Kids'
8 revenue?

9 A. That fact that they're common to other donations is
04:24 10 really irrelevant as far as assessing apportionment or not,
11 based on the trademark or other factors. In fact, if anything
12 I think it supports the notion that other charities recognize
13 the importance of those factors and spend money to be able to
14 provide those factors, as far as their mission, tax
04:24 15 deductibility and convenience.

16 Q. In your opinion should Kars 4 Kids' revenue be
17 apportioned here?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Why is that?

04:25 20 A. Because I don't think it's reasonable to conclude that a
21 hundred percent of the Texas vehicle donation revenue is
22 attributable to the trademarks at issue, given the facts and
23 circumstances as we've described as far as other factors. And
24 not apportioning it assumes every penny of every dollar of the
04:25 25 [REDACTED] revenue for Texas was attributable to the

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 trademarks.

2 Q. How did you calculate the portion of revenues related to
3 trademark versus other factors?

4 A. I used a ratio of costs that I describe in my report as
5 advertising for fundraising ratio.

6 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Can we bring up please
7 K4KDX-535, which is another demonstrative?

8 Q. Does this demonstrative accurately depict the formula you
9 used for the advertising for fundraising ratio?

10 A. Yes, it does.

11 Q. Can you just walk us through it?

12 A. Sure. I know there were some discussion earlier about it
13 I heard today too, but the top amount on the left, the
14 numerator, is the dollars spent on advertising for
15 fundraising. And I did this annually, so for each year. And
16 I got that figure off of Kars 4 Kids' 990 forms. So that's
17 the numerator, trying to isolate in the numerator the dollars
18 spent for advertising with the trademark.

19 Q. And what about the denominator?

20 A. The denominator is all expenses less grants, and all
21 expenses includes of course the numerator, but it also
22 includes other expenses; that the biggest single one is labor,
23 both management and wages, and that's in the denominator, and
24 then I subtracted grants to calculate a ratio.

25 Q. So that's how you did the calculation. What does that

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 ratio show or what does it capture?

2 A. It's an estimating tool I've used and employed here to
3 try to reasonably assess the portion of the Texas vehicle
4 revenue that is based on the numerator, the dollars spent on
5 advertising, compared to all other costs other than grants,
6 which those costs are incurred to provide those factors I
7 talked about and other factors as far as the mission of the
8 charity, the management and labor to do that of course, that
9 cost. And you wouldn't want to just do labor or management

10 compensation in isolation, they have, you know, buildings
11 associated with it; I heard heat and HVAC and other efforts.
12 So all of the expenses other than grants captures -- is
13 attempting to capture both the trademark aspect of it, and the
14 other efforts that represent kind of the other factors
15 individuals might assess when donating vehicles.

16 Q. Based on your experience as a damages expert, why is it
17 reasonable to use a ratio like this that is based on expenses
18 to apportion revenue?

19 A. Both a treatise that I reviewed that discusses
20 apportionment and the cost-based apportionment, had examples
21 that were similar; not exactly like this, as this is a
22 not-for-profit, so fundraising is unique for trademark for
23 this for my experience, and I heard from Mr. Cook as well and
24 understand that.

25 But also the notion -- and I've used it before in other

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 matters -- where you're isolating the effort with the
2 trademark, or building the brand or the trademark in the
3 numerator compared to all operating costs, which I've done
4 before, but not in a not-for-profit kind of case.

04:29

5 Q. So have you used ratios similar to the advertising for
6 fundraising ratio to apportion revenue in the past?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Based on your experience as a damages expert, do you
9 think it would be reasonable not to do an apportionment here?

04:29

10 A. I do not. Again that would be assuming a hundred percent
11 of every dollar of vehicle donations was attributable to its
12 trademark, when we know there are other factors that donors
13 are considering in deciding to donate to Kars 4 Kids, their
14 vehicle.

04:29

15 THE COURT: So, what was your ratio?

16 THE WITNESS: The amount for each year?

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 THE WITNESS: It was -- overall for the all years it
19 was 63 percent. So most of it I concluded was for the

04:29

20 trademark, the numerator being greater than -- or greater, you
21 know, 63 percent of the denominator, but I did it for each
22 year. And so the calculation is specific to each year because
23 Kars 4 Kids had that data for each year.

24 THE COURT: All right. So if I understood Mr...

04:30

25 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Mr. Cook, your Honor?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 THE COURT: Mr. Cook, correct; he said that you
2 apportioned 63 percent of the revenues to infringing profits,
3 and 37 non-infringing; is that right?

4 THE WITNESS: That's correct. He reflected my ratio
5 accurately, 63 percent infringing, and 37 percent due to other
6 factors.

7 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Could we just bring up
8 K4KDX-507, which is a demonstrative?

9 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

10 Q. So Mr. Hall, can you just explain what this is?

11 A. Yes. It's a table from my report from page 7 of my
12 report.

13 Q. And what does it show?

14 A. It summarizes by the two time periods we discussed
15 earlier the effect on the revenue of the advertising for
16 fundraising ratio that I applied. And the top line is for the
17 full-time period of 2008 to 2019, and it shows the impact of
18 applying 63 percent or almost two-thirds attributable to the
19 trademark to the [REDACTED] yielding a [REDACTED] on the
20 far right column at the top row.

21 Q. So just to be clear, in your expert opinion how much of
22 Kars 4 Kids' net revenues for 2013 to June 30, 2019 were from
23 use of the mark?

24 MR. PITTMAN: I'm going to object. This is outside
25 the scope of what the Court ordered the parties to do. The

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Court ordered the parties to calculate the incremental profits
2 from 2008 to June 30th, 2019. So any other opinion is outside
3 the Court's order.

4 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.

04:32

5 Q. I'll just ask the question again for the record. How
6 much of Kars 4 Kids' net revenue in your opinion is from use
7 of the Kars 4 Kids' marks in Texas between 2013 and June 30,
8 2019?

9 A. [REDACTED] reflected on the far right column.

04:32

10 Q. And how much for 2008 through June 30, 2019?

11 A. [REDACTED].

12 Q. And you mentioned that you excluded in your advertising
13 for fundraising ratio, you excluded grants from the
14 denominator; why was that?

04:32

15 A. I did because the mission of course is central and
16 important to Kars 4 Kids and it is the single largest expense,
17 but by including it, it would drive the ratio down well under
18 50 percent. And I thought to be conservative and reasonable
19 to not include it, and that all the other costs reflected Kars
20 4 Kids' management and labor and efforts to have the --
21 execute on its mission. And so not including the grants made
22 the advertising ratio higher, and I felt that was more
23 reasonable.

04:33

24 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, just for the
25 benefit of the record, Kars 4 Kids would move into evidence

04:33

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 K4KDX-507.

2 THE COURT: Any objections?

3 MR. PITTMAN: Your Honor, objection for the reasons
4 stated, that it puts something into evidence that the witness
04:33 5 wasn't offered to form an opinion about. It's outside the
6 scope of the order of the Court.

7 THE COURT: All right. So I'll admit same.

8 (Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-507 was marked into
9 evidence.)

04:34 10 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: We can take that down now.
11 Thank you.

12 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

13 Q. After you apportioned Kars 4 Kids' revenue --

14 THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt you, Mr. Kaufman,
04:34 15 but you're not asserting that this is still a demonstrative,
16 are you?

17 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: No, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: This supports his -- it's more like a
19 summary of his testimony.

04:34 20 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Correct, yes, your Honor. I
21 would call it a summary, that's a good way to word it. Thank
22 you.

23 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Kaufman.

24 Q. After you apportioned Kars 4 Kids' revenue from Texas
04:34 25 donors, what was the next step you took?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. The next step was to evaluate what methodology to use to
2 calculate profits, and once you have a revenue figure to
3 calculate profits it's -- the deduction of costs becomes
4 central to that.

04:35 5 And so based on my -- the facts and circumstances here
6 and how Kars 4 Kids operated, I decided to use a full
7 absorption accounting method, which is one of the generally
8 accepted methods for calculating defendant's profits, and of
9 course commonly used for company's financial statements,
04:35 10 general accepted accounting principles, the full absorption
11 method.

12 Q. Did you hear Mr. Cook testify earlier that he used the
13 incremental approach?

14 A. Yes, I did.

04:35 15 Q. And under the incremental approach, what is the test for
16 whether an expense should be deducted?

17 A. It's called -- sometimes termed a but-for test, and that
18 is but for the revenue in question would the cost have been
19 incurred. And if so that's an incremental cost and would be
04:36 20 deducted under an incremental method.

21 Q. Based on your experience as a damages expert, is the
22 incremental approach appropriate in this case?

23 A. Not in this case, no, I don't believe it is.

24 Q. Why not?

04:36 25 A. Because Kars 4 Kids is an entity that basically has a

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

04:36 1 single source revenue, which is its vehicle donation revenue;
 2 and it is operated nationally without, you know, business
 3 segments or business divisions, it basically operates
 4 nationally with a single source revenue and incurs costs to
 5 support that effort. And unlike many for-profit companies
 6 I've worked with in analyzing this, there's not several
 7 divisions or even many divisions or profit centers.

 8 And so I believe that the proper way to reflect an
 9 accounting for any subpart of the Kars 4 Kids would be to
04:37 10 fully allocate the costs, because those costs benefit the
 11 national operation on that single source revenue.

 12 Q. In your opinion, when is the incremental approach
 13 appropriate?

 14 A. As I mentioned when there's a particular product or
04:37 15 division or part of a company with several or many revenue
 16 sources, where that's in question, I think the incremental
 17 approach is proper in those for -- obviously for lost profits,
 18 but also for disgorgement of profits. And that's distinct
 19 from this situation in my view.

04:37 20 Q. Did you hear Mr. Cook testify earlier that the
 21 incremental approach is appropriate because Texas should be
 22 treated as a separate business segment? Did you hear
 23 testimony along those lines from him?

 24 A. Yes, I did.

04:37 25 Q. Do you agree with that?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. No.

2 Q. Why not?

3 A. Texas is in question here because of a court ruling, not
4 because a particular business segment or geography for Kars 4
5 Kids. It's operated nationally and not as a business segment
6 for Texas.

7 Q. Do you know if Kars 4 Kids has any employees in Texas?

8 A. I don't believe they do.

9 Q. Do you know if Kars 4 Kids has any offices in Texas?

10 A. I'm not aware they do.

11 Q. At any point during this litigation, did Mr. Cook use
12 aspects of the full absorption approach to calculate Kars 4
13 Kids' profits?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. When did he do that?

16 A. His second report in 2018 and his first report in 2019 in
17 July, he allocated some of the common costs that he discussed,
18 which is an element of full absorption accounting allocating
19 common costs. So in part he did.

20 Q. And is he doing that in the opinion he gave today?

21 A. No, he isn't.

22 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Please bring up K4KDX-508.

23 Q. So, one of the categories of expenses you deducted was
24 Texas specific advertising; correct?

25 A. Yes.

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Q. Can you describe what this chart is?

2 A. It's a table from page 8 of my report, that begins with
3 the revenue figures, apportioned revenue figures we just
4 summarized and I justified discussed. And then below that is
5 a deduction for Texas specific advertising based on the
6 analysis I performed.

7 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Kars 4 Kids would move into
8 evidence K4KDX-508 as another summary exhibit.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Pittman?

10 MR. PITTMAN: No objection.

11 THE COURT: No objection? All right, admitted.

12 (Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-508 was marked into
13 evidence.)

14 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

15 Q. What types of advertising did Kars 4 Kids direct
16 specifically to Texas?

17 A. Primarily online, and there's radio advertising.

18 Q. In your opinion, how much should be deducted for Texas
19 specific advertising between 2013 and June 30, 2019?

20 A. [REDACTED].

21 Q. And what about the period 2008 through June 30, 2019?

22 A. [REDACTED], and that's a figure Mr. Cook and I agree on
23 that I heard earlier today.

24 Q. Is one of the Texas specific advertising expenses you
25 deducted advertising with Google?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What documents did you use to calculate the amount of

3 Kars 4 Kids advertising expense with Google?

4 A. Spreadsheets provided by Kars 4 Kids that was accessed

04:41 5 from Google.

6 Q. And so you sort of said this, but just to make clear do

7 you know where those spreadsheets originated?

8 A. Oh yes, I'm sorry; Google -- yes, through a portal that

9 Kars 4 Kids and its customers can access to obtain summaries

04:41 10 by year and month of their spend, advertising spend with

11 Google.

12 Q. And based on your experience as a damages expert, is a

13 report like that a reliable source to determine advertising

14 spend with Google?

04:41 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you also deduct Texas specific advertising with

17 Microsoft Bing?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And what documents did you use to calculate the amount of

04:41 20 Kars 4 Kids advertising spend with Bing in Texas?

21 A. Spreadsheets by time period that Kars 4 Kids provided to

22 counsel and then to us.

23 Q. And do you know where those spreadsheets originated?

24 A. Also from Microsoft -- well, as with Google, from the

04:42 25 vendor in this case, Microsoft Bing, has those records for its

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 customers to access.

2 Q. And do you consider them a reliable source to determine
3 deductible spending with Bing?

4 A. Yes.

04:42 5 Q. Why is that?

6 A. Both Google and Bing are third-parties and accessing the
7 records that they billed to Kars 4 Kids and Kars 4 Kids
8 incurred with them, in my experience is a reasonable support
9 for a cost, and other matters would be relied upon and

04:42 10 certainly was by this -- by both parties in this matter.

11 Q. And did you also deduct advertising expenses for radio
12 advertising in Texas?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And what documents did you use to determine the amount of
04:43 15 that expense?

16 A. Two sources, both from Kars 4 Kids: Their general ledger
17 extract for national advertising -- I'm sorry -- for Texas
18 specific advertising; as well as invoices we requested to
19 review related to those general ledger entries in the extract
04:43 20 we received.

21 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: We can take down that
22 exhibit.

23 Q. Now, I'd like to talk about national advertising
24 expenses. What type of national advertising expenses did you
04:43 25 deduct?

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 A. Online and radio primarily; there was some smaller
2 amounts related to print media, but online and radio were the
3 two biggest dollar amounts.

04:43 4 Q. And did you deduct the entirety of national advertising
5 expenditures?

6 A. No. Their national advertising spend is their second
7 largest expense category after grants, nowhere near the total,
8 just a portion that I estimated for Texas.

04:44 9 Q. And did you deduct them under the full absorption or the
10 incremental approach?

11 A. The full absorption and the incremental approach, both
12 depending on the vendor.

13 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Please bring up K4KDX-509.

14 Q. Do you recognize this?

04:44 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What is it?

17 A. It's another table from my report, page 10 of my report.

18 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, we'd offer into
19 evidence K4KDX-509 as a summary exhibit.

04:44 20 MR. PITTMAN: No objection.

21 THE COURT: All right, it's admitted.

22 (Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-509 was marked into
23 evidence.)

24 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

04:44 25 Q. In your opinion, how much have you deducted for

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 nationwide advertising between 2013 and June 2019 under the
2 full absorption approach?

3 A. [REDACTED]

4 Q. And what about for the period 2008 through 2019?

04:45 5 A. [REDACTED], and that's a figure Mr. Cook and I agree upon.

6 Q. What documents did you rely on to determine Kars 4 Kids'
7 national advertising expenditures?

8 A. I just want to clarify; we agree on the incremental
9 portion of that [REDACTED], this is presenting my full absorption.

04:45 10 So, he has a [REDACTED] figure for his incremental, this is my
11 full absorption. So he agrees with most of it.

12 Q. And what accounts for the difference between your full
13 absorption figure and Mr. Cook's incremental figure for
14 national advertising?

04:45 15 A. Some national radio that I believe benefits Texas, and
16 from a full absorption perspective should be allocated to
17 Texas as I've done, and that makes up the [REDACTED] difference.

18 Q. Now, what documents did you rely on to determine Kars 4
19 Kids' nationwide advertising expenses?

04:46 20 A. General ledger information extract from Kars 4 Kids, as
21 well as invoices.

22 Q. Did you do anything to confirm the accuracy of the
23 general ledger information?

04:46 24 A. Yes, we checked over 200 invoices to the general ledger,
25 and other than some very small issues, \$9 one place, \$29

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 another, they matched. So over 200 of the invoices matched to
2 the general ledger which gave me a strong indication that
3 relying on the general ledger dollars for this category was
4 reasonable to do.

04:46

5 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Can we bring up please
6 K4KDX-513?

7 Q. Do you recognize this document?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. What is it?

04:47

10 A. It's a summary from my report that adds on to what we've
11 been looking at and what I've been testifying to, it adds on
12 Texas portion of grant expenses.

13 Q. Does it also add in Texas portion of common expenses?

04:47

14 A. It does, yes. Above that row it's got common expenses
15 for the two time periods indicated, but again it's the Texas
16 portion based on an allocation.

17 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Kars 4 Kids office into
18 evidence K4KDX-513 as a summary exhibit.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Pittman?

04:47

20 MR. PITTMAN: No objection.

21 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

22 (Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-513 was marked into
23 evidence.)

24 BY MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN:

04:47

25 Q. Can you explain briefly, Mr. Hall, how you calculated the

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 Texas portion of common expenses?

2 A. Yes. After accounting for grants and the advertising
3 separately, I reviewed the 990s for all years to identify the
4 common expenses that Kars 4 Kids incurred to both support its
04:48 5 vehicle donation efforts, as well as its charitable mission,
6 to execute its charitable mission. And I established a cost
7 estimating relationship percent of revenue calculation that
8 was then able to able to allocate to Texas based on the Texas
9 revenue, a portion of the common expenses that supported and
04:48 10 in my view a full absorption accounting should be deducted to
11 measure profits for Texas.

12 Q. And in your opinion, how much have you deducted to
13 account for the Texas portion of Kars 4 Kids' common expenses
14 between 2013 and June 30, 2019?

04:48 15 A. [REDACTED]

16 Q. And what about for 2008 through June 30, 2019?

17 A. Just over [REDACTED], [REDACTED]

18 Q. And can you tell us what the Texas portion of grants line
19 refers to?

04:49 20 THE COURT: Wait, before you get there; can you tell
21 me all the different categories of common expenses that you
22 included?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. It's on attachment 5 of my
24 report and I can summarize the largest dollar ones without
04:49 25 looking at that, but that would be where the attachment 5

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 contains the summary of the 990s. The largest expense is
2 labor, management compensation and wages, and then office
3 expenses. And in fact the labor -- the labor related payroll
4 tax is about two-thirds of [REDACTED].

04:49

5 The office expenses take that amount to about 80
6 percent of the [REDACTED]. And then I thought it was
7 reasonable because of the single source of revenue and its
8 central mission that the revenue funds to all other
9 categories, I included and allocated as well given at how it
10 operates nationally as opposed to a region or division.

04:50

11 THE COURT: So you had two major ones, labor and
12 office expenses, and the third is everything else?

13 THE WITNESS: Well, there's about 20 -- more than a
14 dozen listed; they're from the 990s and I summarized them in
15 attachment 4, and I can certainly review those.

04:50

16 THE COURT: So Mr. Cook, he indicated that you
17 included as expenses that we're looking at here, expenses that
18 were used to support the program; did you do that?

19 THE WITNESS: I did, yes, and I understand his
20 categorization of those, but given how it's a single source
21 revenue and then the revenue's used to fund the programs, and
22 the fund programs are part of the basis for donors deciding to
23 donate their vehicles, I thought it was reasonable and most
24 accurately reflects Texas profits to include the benefit, that
25 cost which benefited Texas in the profit calculations. That's

04:51

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 what the full absorption accounting method does.

2 THE COURT: Could you just re-explain that to me?

3 THE WITNESS: Sure. The costs incurred for programs
4 is part of what the expenditures Kars 4 Kids does to execute
04:51 5 its programs in addition to grants.

6 THE COURT: I see. So those programs are related to
7 the grants, and you found the grants to be a cost.

8 THE WITNESS: The grants to be a cost, they're part
9 of fulfilling their mission. Most of the way Kars 4 Kids

04:51 10 fulfills its mission is through grants to its sister
11 organization, but it also does a small amount relative to the
12 grants of its own programs to fulfill its mission. And that
13 of course is part of fulfilling the missions, helps it in
14 obtaining donor vehicles, given what people like to donate
04:52 15 vehicles for as far as its mission.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

18 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Can we bring up please
19 K4KX-24, which was admitted into evidence during the liability
04:52 20 phase? And could we go to the page labeled 6 of 48?

21 Q. What's this document, Mr. Hall?

22 A. This is a summary page of Kars 4 Kids' 990 for the year
23 2016. And as you can see from the entries of the
24 organization, name of the organization, it's an IRS return for
04:52 25 Kars 4 Kids.

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Can we go please to page 15
2 out of 48?

3 Q. And what is this page?

4 A. This page is a detail, several pages into the return that
5 Kars 4 Kids provides on an annual basis of its functional
6 expenses. You see at the top it says: Part IX, Roman Numeral
7 IX, Statement of Functional Expenses.

8 Q. So what does this summarize?

9 A. This summarizes by category the expenses Kars 4 Kids
10 incurs. And as Mr. Cook indicated they are divided into
11 categories, and I know from being a treasurer of a nonprofit
12 that -- how this form works and the categories. And column A
13 is the total, total expenses; and the other three columns,
14 program service expenses, management and general expenses, and
15 fundraising make up that total.

16 Q. And what is the first line in this statement of
17 functional expenses?

18 A. The grant expenses for Kars 4 Kids for that year.

19 Q. And is it this line on the 990 that you used to calculate
20 Kars 4 Kids' grant expenses?

21 A. Yes. And it's also reflected on the summary page we
22 looked at as the first category of expenses for Kars 4 Kids.

23 Q. So how does the IRS categorize a nonprofit's grant
24 expenditures?

25 A. As an expense. And if we can go to that first page it

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 shows by category how they do it; it does it on this page as
2 well, but in summary I think it's of note to see. I forget
3 the page number.

04:54 4 On the right-hand column under current year, you see
5 line 13, is grants and similar amounts paid, it's 18,462,000,
6 and you can see on the left-hand column that the IRS
7 categorizes that as expense.

8 Q. Do you agree with Mr. Cook that grants are similar to
9 profits in a for-profit company?

04:55 10 A. No, I don't.

11 Q. Why not?

12 A. It's just very different. It's the essence of a profit
13 versus a not-for-profit, and to me is a -- really a not
14 relevant comparison given that grants are the central function
04:55 15 of a not-for-profit or their charitable mission, and this
16 grant expense reflects that. It's their single largest
17 expense, and without it they could not be a not-for-profit,
18 nor could they get vehicle donation revenue.

19 So to me it's the most essential expense it has, and
04:55 20 it's reflected on the IRS forms that way as an expense, and in
21 no way in my view is a profit, and calling an expense a
22 profit, because in a for-profit situation that would be the
23 case; to me it's not persuasive or reasonable.

24 THE COURT: You're at 4:05, so you're testimony has
04:56 25 gone 43 minutes right now. So you're over your hour now,

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 which is what you asked for.

2 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Yes, your Honor, okay. Then
3 can I put up one demonstrative and sit down?

4 THE COURT: I didn't tell you you were over yet,
5 so --

6 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

7 Can we bring up K4KDX-523?

8 Q. What is this, Mr. Hall?

9 A. It's a summary from my report on my two approaches. I
10 mentioned my full absorption approach throughout, but I also
11 did to evaluate Mr. Cook's approach did an incremental measure
12 of Kars 4 Kids profits as well.

13 Q. And which of these do you believe to be the appropriate
14 method?

15 A. The full absorption approach.

16 Q. And can you just describe for us your conclusion for each
17 of these periods of time and methods?

18 A. Yes. For the top row, the 2013 to 2019 period, full
19 absorption conclusion would be [REDACTED]; the incremental for
20 that same time period method would be [REDACTED]. The next row
21 is the longer time period from 2008 to 2019, the full
22 absorption approach yields [REDACTED]; and the incremental
23 [REDACTED].

24 And of note the differential between my full absorption
25 conclusion and incremental for the longer time period is

Hall - Direct - Litterine-Kaufman

1 basically the difference between the common costs being
2 allocated or not. They are allocated in the full absorption;
3 in the incremental they are not. And so that's the primary
4 reason for the difference.

04:58 5 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Pass the witness, your
6 Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pittman?

8 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry; if I
9 could just move into evidence, K4KDX-523.

04:58 10 THE COURT: Do you object to that, Mr. Pittman?

11 MR. PITTMAN: No objection.

12 THE COURT: All right, admitted.

13 (Plaintiff Exhibit K4KDX-523 was marked into
14 evidence.)

04:58 15 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: And now I pass the witness.

16 MR. PITTMAN: May I approach the witness, your
17 Honor?

18 THE COURT: You may.

19 (Handing to witness.)

04:59 20 THE COURT: So before you begin, Mr. Pittman; Mr.
21 Kaufman, you admitted a number of documents, but we don't have
22 them as documents, right? They were just slides that you put
23 up there. So, I need a copy of K4KDX-507, 508, 509, 513; 24
24 was previously admitted; and K4KDX-523.

05:00 25 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Your Honor, we'll provide

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 copies of those to the Court.

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

3 All right. Mr. Pittman.

4 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

04:58 5 (CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID HALL BY MR. PITTMAN:)

6 Q. Mr. Hall, according to your assessment, K4K's gross
7 revenue from Texas donations from 2008 to 2019 is [REDACTED];
8 correct?

9 A. That sounds right, yes.

05:00 10 Q. And based on your analysis of your client's documents,
11 K4K's net revenue from Texas donations from 2008 through June
12 30th, 2019 is 1 [REDACTED]; correct?

13 A. Correct.

05:00 14 Q. Now, K4K did provide you with information or calculations
15 of the donation received in Texas by donors living outside of
16 Texas; correct?

17 A. That's correct. They just received data for Texas
18 addresses for donors.

05:01 19 Q. So other than this [REDACTED] that we are aware of,
20 there were other donations that were received in Texas by
21 donors living outside of Texas; correct?

22 A. I don't know that to be the case.

23 Q. Well, did you hear Ms. Landau testify that they were?

24 A. Yes, but I haven't seen data on that.

05:01 25 Q. Did you ask for data so that you could give the Court an

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 accurate assessment of the calculations of donations received
2 in Texas by donors living outside of Texas, did you ask for
3 that?

05:01

4 A. I requested data for Texas donors because I believe that
5 most reasonably reflects the advertising related to Texas, and
6 could perform my calculations based on that.

7 Q. So you can't provide the Court with the additional
8 revenue that K4K received from pickups in Texas; is that
9 right?

05:01

10 A. I don't have that information.

11 Q. Now, let me -- so sir, what I'd like to do is I'd like
12 for you to tell the Court before we get going to some of your
13 reasoning, I'd like you to tell the Court the differences
14 between what you're doing and what Mr. Hall testified about.

05:02

15 Are you with me?

16 A. Yes, I think you mean Mr. Cook.

17 Q. Mr. Hall and Mr. Cook; you're Mr. Hall --

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Mr. Cook testified; correct?

05:03

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. So, in terms of what you call apportioning, the
22 advertising and fundraising apportioning, what is that dollar
23 number, sir?

05:03

24 A. Approximately [REDACTED]; I don't have the figure right
25 in front of me.

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 Q. You've got it on K4K Exhibit 528; correct? If you need a
2 calculator I can give you a calculator.

3 A. I don't need a calculator, I just need to turn to that.
4 528?

05:03 5 Q. Yes, sir.

6 A. Oh, yes. Yes, it's the difference -- it's not calculated
7 on here, but I think [REDACTED] is close. It's --

8 Q. If I calculate it and tell you it's [REDACTED], does that
9 sound about right?

05:04 10 A. It does.

11 Q. Now, in terms of the grant deduction that you're asking
12 the Court to allow from the incremental profits, what is the
13 amount of that?

14 A. [REDACTED].

05:04 15 Q. Now, in terms of what you call the common expense
16 deduction that Mr. Cook disagrees with you on, what's the
17 total of that?

18 A. [REDACTED].

05:04 19 Q. Would you trust my calculation if I told you that the
20 total is [REDACTED]? Does that sound about right?

21 A. You're looking at it, I can't tell, but if you ran the
22 calculation for purposes of your question I won't dispute that
23 number.

05:05 24 Q. And just so we're clear, you're asking the Court to allow
25 K4K to deduct from the revenue in Texas, to deduct all of

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 these items in calculating the incremental profits; is that
2 right, sir?

3 A. No, that's not correct. The end of your question you
4 said incremental profits, and this would be the full
5 absorption of the common expense deduction, relates to the
6 full absorption. So I don't agree with your question.

7 Q. So I'll use that term not as the incremental approach,
8 but I understand your hesitation. So, in terms of the profits
9 that would be disgorged or awarded to America Can! Cars For
10 Kids, you're asking the Court to deduct [REDACTED] from the
11 gross revenue; correct?

12 A. Yes, from the [REDACTED] of gross revenue, which is 16
13 million of net revenue, yes. For the full absorption.

14 Q. And despite that [REDACTED] was earned from Texas
15 donations, you're telling the Court that America Can! Cars For
16 Kids should only receive [REDACTED]; is that right?

17 A. No. [REDACTED] was not earned by Kars 4 Kids as your
18 question asked.

19 Q. Well, [REDACTED] was earned from revenue from cars sold
20 that were donated to K4K; correct?

21 A. That's correct, and Kars 4 Kids netted out of that [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED], not [REDACTED].

23 Q. So, [REDACTED] that was received by K4K, you're telling
24 the Court that you believe that America Can! should only get
25 [REDACTED]; is that right?

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Now, let me first ask you about your -- what you call
3 apportionment. Now, you agreed with me at your deposition,
4 didn't you, that it's your burden as K4K's expert to
5 demonstrate whether apportionment is appropriate or accurate;
6 correct?

7 A. Not from a legal prospective, I think I made that clear
8 in my deposition, but my understanding of which party is -- in
9 a non-legal way from a damage prospective, yes, the burden
10 would be on the infringer.

11 Q. Well, actually you told me at your deposition that it was
12 your burden, Mr. Hall's burden as K4K's expert to demonstrate
13 it; right?

14 A. We had a number of questions on that, I don't think --
15 I've been hired as an expert, so I've certainly taken on the
16 role of deducting costs. But from -- what the treatises say
17 they identify parties, not experts, but I understand what
18 you're asking.

19 Q. Can you take a look at your deposition, page 157, line 11
20 through 23?

21 A. Yes, one moment. Page 57?

22 Q. Yes, sir. And my question to you was: "Well, as between
23 you and Mr. Cook, who do you believe has the burden as the
24 expert to prove the proportion of profit which may or may not
25 be due to the use of the infringing mark?"

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 What's your answer?

2 A. I'm on page 57; what line?

3 Q. 157, sir.

4 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

05:08 5 Yes. As I said from a damages expert, not a legal, is
6 my understanding from the treatises. And the treatises
7 identify parties, but certainly as I said, I have taken on the
8 analysis of the deductible costs.

9 Q. Now, in trying to satisfy your burden, you identified
05:09 10 several factors that you say contribute to donations to K4K;
11 correct?

12 A. I did identify factors that -- yes, that caused vehicle
13 donations, reasonably caused them, yes.

14 Q. Let me put up those features that you identified.

05:09 15 THE COURT: Wait, before you take this down; Mr.
16 Hall, Mr. Pittman put up this little chart --

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Do you agree with that way he put this
19 chart together?

05:09 20 THE WITNESS: If those are the differences between
21 Mr. Cook's incremental measure of profits and the difference
22 between my full absorption, yes.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 THE WITNESS: I do agree with those dollar amounts.

05:10 25 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 BY MR. PITTMAN:

2 Q. Now, in your report and I believe you also testified
3 about it, you identified three factors or examples which you
4 say contribute to donations for K4K; correct?

05:10 5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And these factors are directly from your expert report;
7 correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Now, isn't it true that you can't show the judge whether
05:10 10 donors donated vehicles to K4K specifically because of these
11 three factors?

12 A. I don't have the records to specifically identify donor
13 by donor by those factors.

14 Q. And in fact sir, you did not contact a single donor to
05:10 15 determine whether they were donating for factors other than
16 the infringing mark, did you.

17 A. I did not.

18 Q. And K4K gave you the names, e-mail addresses, phone
19 numbers of the donors; correct?

05:11 20 A. That was part of the records of the vehicle donation
21 revenue information, correct.

22 Q. And did hear Mr. Cook say even when experts do an
23 apportionment in cases where it might be applicable, that they
24 do some kind of survey or analysis; did you hear Mr. Cook say
05:11 25 that?

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 A. Yes, but not in all cases, that's not the basis of
2 survey. It is in some, and others there's cost-based
3 apportionment that I cited from the treatise that is the basis
4 and not a survey.

05:11 5 Q. So just to be clear, you didn't do any survey or analysis
6 of the donors whose information you had to determine whether
7 you could tell the Court that any donor donated for one of
8 these reasons, instead of the infringement of the mark; is
9 that true, sir?

05:11 10 A. That is true, I did a cost-based apportionment versus any
11 survey.

12 Q. And in fact, you told me at your deposition, didn't you,
13 that you did not even attempt to isolate and find out from
14 donors whether they were donating because of one of these
05:12 15 factors.

16 A. I testified at my deposition and here I've not contacted
17 donors.

18 Q. That wasn't my question. My question was you testified
19 that you didn't even try to; do you recall that testimony,
05:12 20 sir?

21 A. Yes, it wasn't part of my scope, I didn't attempt to
22 contact any donors.

23 Q. Now, instead of trying to attempt to contact donors where
24 you can tell the Court what a donor actually may have thought,
05:12 25 you used what you call advertising-to-fundraising ratio;

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, as an apportionment methodology.

3 Q. Now, as far as this ratio, this is the same ratio that
4 you used in your other report when you were doing it for 50
5 states; correct?

6 A. Yes, I think you're referring to the 2018 report of mine,
7 yes.

8 Q. And that was a report for 50 states; correct?

9 A. Yes, covered national.

10 Q. Now, isn't it true, sir, that you have never used a
11 specific "advertising-to-fundraising ratio" before as an
12 expert?

13 A. I have not, because I've not had a trademark case or
14 profit -- disgorgement of profits case that involved a
15 nonprofit.

16 Q. So the answer is you've never used it before.

17 A. I have not. I've used similar ratio on other trademark
18 case, but it wasn't a nonprofit and it wasn't fundraising
19 involved.

20 Q. In fact, didn't you also tell me at your deposition that
21 you're not aware of any expert who has ever used a specific
22 advertising-to-fundraising ratio; do you recall telling me
23 that, sir?

24 A. I do, because I'm not aware of a case like this for
25 fundraising, but I did testify other advertising ratios have

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 been used for for-profit matters.

2 Q. But you said you're not aware of any other experts who
3 use what you're asking the Court to use here in
4 advertising-to-fundraising ratio; is that right?

05:13 5 A. Not specifically, because I don't know of a case like
6 this.

7 Q. And I also asked you at your deposition to bring some
8 expert materials that experts such as yourself rely on in
9 calculating damages; do you recall that?

05:14 10 A. Yes, I cite those treatises in my reports.

11 Q. And then we asked you to look at those information -- the
12 treatises from all over the country that experts use, we asked
13 you to look at those materials and identify in any of those
14 materials where any experts have referenced the specific
05:14 15 advertising-to-fundraising ratio; do you recall that?

16 A. I do.

17 Q. And you weren't able to find that, were you, sir.

18 A. Correct, there were no nonprofit cases I saw in any of
19 those materials referenced, so I did not see this specific
05:14 20 method. But I saw cost-based apportionment method.

21 Q. Now, again you're asking the Court to deduct 37 percent,
22 because you consider that to be "non-infringing" use; correct?

23 A. I do, based on my methodology.

24 Q. Now, let me ask you, can you tell the Court of the

05:14 25 donations received in Texas, can you tell the Court whether

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 any of these donors did not see the infringing mark as their
2 first contact with K4K?

3 A. I don't have that information.

4 Q. And in fact, you didn't do any analysis to determine
5 whether -- whether the donors, again, saw the infringing ad as
6 their first contact with K4K; is that right?

7 A. I did not do an analysis like that, that wasn't part of
8 my scope.

9 Q. Now, let's move to the next category, of the common
10 expenses. You also told me at your deposition that it's your
11 burden as K4K's expert to prove the expenses; correct?

12 A. From a non-legal prospective and understanding that the
13 treatises cite the parties' burden, either defendant or
14 plaintiff, but I understood your question as far as my role
15 here, yes.

16 Q. Now, just to be clear, you just testified -- well, let me
17 ask you the question. You believe that every single expense
18 reported by K4K in the Form 990 should be allocated to Texas
19 and deducted as an expense; is that right?

20 A. I do, because of the way Kars 4 Kids operates, yes.

21 Q. And in fact you assume that a portion of every expense,
22 whether it was program services, management or general, you
23 assume that every expense should be deducted; correct?

24 A. Yes, based on again how Kars 4 Kids operates.

25 Q. Take a look at K4K Exhibit 510. That's your report I

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 believe?

2 A. Yes, I'm there.

3 Q. And attachment 5 of your report; can you go to that?

4 A. Yes, I'm there.

05:16 5 Q. In attachment 5 let's look at some of these expenses,
6 specific expenses. Look at Licenses and Permits, do you see
7 that, [REDACTED]; do you see that?

8 A. Yes, I do.

05:17 9 Q. Can you tell the Court what type of licenses and permits
10 that relate to?

11 A. Not specifically, and a small portion of this would have
12 been -- about two and a half percent of this would have been
13 allocated to Texas in my calculation.

14 MR. PITTMAN: Objection, your Honor; nonresponsive.

05:17 15 THE COURT: You made an objection?

16 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: You want me to respond?

18 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, sir.

05:17 19 THE COURT: I couldn't hear you. Could you just
20 tell me what your objection is?

21 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor. I can rephrase the
22 question.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. PITTMAN: Or repeat it rather.

05:17 25 BY MR. PITTMAN:

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 Q. Sir, in looking at attachment 5 of your report, you show
2 an amount of [REDACTED] for Licenses and Permits; my question was
3 can you tell the Court what that's for?

4 A. Not specifically, no.

05:18 5 Q. Can you tell the Court whether K4K paid for any licenses
6 and permits in the State of Texas?

7 A. No, I can't. I don't know that.

8 Q. Let's look at another item. All Other Expenses is an
9 amount [REDACTED]; do you see that?

05:18 10 A. I do.

11 Q. Can you tell the Court what All Other means?

12 A. Yes, it's all the other business expenses they have to
13 raise vehicle donation revenue and execute its mission, and
14 record it on an annual basis on their 990s.

05:18 15 Q. You can't tell the Court what specifically that includes,
16 can you, sir?

17 A. I did not look beyond the 990s as to what's included
18 there, but it's a common line item for businesses to have All
19 Other category of expenses.

05:18 20 Q. And can you tell the Court whether All Other expenses
21 were incurred in Texas?

22 A. They were incurred nationally as I indicated how they
23 operated and it benefited everywhere, but I don't know the
24 location that any of them were specific to Texas.

05:19 25 Q. There's an item, Bad Debt Expense; do you see that?

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Do you know if K4K had any bad debts in Texas?

3 A. As far as vendors not paying in Texas?

4 Q. Yes, sir.

05:19 5 A. I don't know that.

6 Q. There's another amount for Repairs and Maintenance of a

7 [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Can you tell the Court what items were repaired or

05:19 10 maintained in that amount?

11 A. I don't have that information.

12 Q. Can you tell the Court whether K4K repaired anything in

13 Texas?

14 A. No, I can't.

05:19 15 Q. In fact, you testified that K4K didn't have any offices

16 in Texas; correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. No employees in Texas?

19 A. I'm not aware of any.

05:19 20 Q. You also testified or told me at your deposition that K4K

21 didn't need any extra help in New Jersey as a result of the

22 Texas donations; correct? Didn't need any extra employees,

23 extra assistance?

24 A. Not that I'm aware of, but I also testified that if the

05:20 25 revenue volume increased over time, they would certainly need

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 that.

2 Q. Now, in allocating what you call common expenses, you
3 didn't do an analysis to determine how much time K4K spent on
4 real estate or the rabbinical training or educational programs
5 or camps or anything; is that right?

6 A. That's correct.

7 THE COURT: Is there an objection?

8 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: There is, your Honor; it
9 assumes facts not in evidence. I don't it's been established
10 that Kars 4 Kids spent anything on any of those things.

11 THE COURT: Overruled. Next question.

12 BY MR. PITTMAN:

13 Q. Sir, you're aware that Kars 4 Kids had -- some of the
14 money that they raised from donations they purchased real
15 estate with; you're aware of that, aren't you?

16 A. I believe I've seen information on that, but -- you're
17 referring to donations of real estate to them or -- I'm not
18 aware of purchases, I'm aware of donations. So I'm not sure
19 what you're referring to.

20 Q. You recall some testimony about some high rises that K4K
21 purchased? Do you recall that from the previous trial?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Now, at the time of your report you stated that you
24 hadn't been to their New Jersey offices to observe how they
25 operate or how the expenses relate to the operation; correct?

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. Now, here there's also an expense for legal expenses.

3 You're asking the Court to allow a deduction for legal

4 expenses that K4K incurred in suing America Can!, aren't you?

05:21

5 A. I've allocated all of these expenses for the reason I

6 stated, the way Kars 4 Kids operated, and that does include

7 legal expenses of two and a half percent of any of these

8 categories has been allocated to Texas, yes.

9 Q. Now, you worked on another case with Mr. Vogl's firm

05:22

10 where you were representing the holder of a mark; correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And there you used what's called the incremental or the

13 hybrid approach; right?

14 A. I described it as a hybrid approach, which is part

05:22

15 incremental, part allocation of cost, which is a full

16 absorption. So it's a combination.

17 Q. Now, speaking of the full absorption, you didn't use the

18 full absorption in that case that you worked on with Mr.

19 Vogl's firm where you were representing the trademark holder;

05:22

20 is that right?

21 A. No, the facts and circumstances were different as far as

22 the sources of revenue compared to here.

23 Q. Did not use full absorption.

24 A. Not the full absorption aspects of it; it did as far as

05:22

25 allocating some common covers.

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 Q. In fact sir, didn't you tell me that you have never used
2 the full absorption methodology, as that term is used in the
3 expert materials?

4 A. That is true, I've never had a case like this where it's
5 essentially a single revenue source entity that has one
6 charitable application. So I've had not the facts and
7 circumstances to apply the full absorption that are evident
8 here.

9 Q. Now, you agree with me that experts all across the
10 country do use the incremental approach that Mr. Cook used.

11 A. Yes, I've seen that approach used, yes.

12 Q. And you also told me that that the expert material that
13 you rely on suggests that many courts also accept the
14 incremental method; do you recall telling me that?

15 A. Yes. Courts have accepted the full absorption method as
16 well, and incremental, yes.

17 Q. And in fact, you also told me that in expert materials
18 that you have seen, that you use, that this area, the New
19 Jersey area, uses the incremental approach; do you recall
20 that?

21 A. I don't recall New Jersey specific --

22 Q. Third Circuit.

23 A. I do recall you referencing a circuit, yes.

24 Q. And it's your understanding from your expert materials
25 that the Third Circuit experts use the incremental approach?

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 A. I don't know that I said experts use; I think it
2 referenced the circuit having accepted the incremental
3 approach, yes.

4 Q. Now, you've asked for a deduction for all the
5 advertising; correct?

6 A. No.

7 Q. For all of the advertising, the Google Texas specific,
8 you take deductions for all of that, don't you?

9 A. Yes. It's a small fraction of the [REDACTED] of
10 advertising, I thought you were referring to on this.

11 Q. Well, no, I'm actually referring to your report. You
12 deduct the advertising.

13 A. Yes, Texas specific, and then a Texas portion of national
14 advertising that reaches Texas, yes.

15 Q. But you told me in your deposition that you read in your
16 expert materials that some courts don't allow deductions for
17 advertising an infringing mark; do you recall telling me that?

18 A. I recall you identifying in a treatise that and having me
19 read that is what I recall.

20 Q. You say you heard that --

21 THE COURT: So Mr. Pittman, you're over your 40
22 minutes. So I added your 16 from your prior individual, plus
23 the time now. You can keep going for a few minutes --

24 MR. PITTMAN: I'm near done, your Honor, I'm close.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 BY MR. PITTMAN:

2 Q. Now, let's talk about the grants that you are also asking
3 for a deduction; I think we said that was [REDACTED]. Are you
4 aware -- well, strike that.

05:25 5 On your attachment 5 you show [REDACTED] in revenue
6 and [REDACTED] in grants; do you see that?

7 A. Yes. Combining the two top line items for expenses,
8 grants is the first one and then scholarships is the second
9 one, yes.

05:25 10 Q. Are you aware of any of this grant money that would have
11 been given to a Texas resident or to benefit a Texas resident?

12 A. I don't have geography information on grants.

13 Q. You didn't ask K4K if any of the grant money benefited
14 Texas residents?

05:26 15 A. I did not ask that.

16 Q. Now, you didn't see anything in which you were reviewing
17 that said that the grants had to be in a certain amount;
18 correct?

05:26 19 A. No, I've not seen any -- I'm sorry; can you repeat that
20 question? I'm not sure I understand.

21 Q. That the grants -- these [REDACTED] in grants or the [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED] that you're trying to deduct, you didn't see anything
23 that said that the grants had to be in a certain amount.

24 A. No, I don't recall seeing that.

05:26 25 Q. Now, so something like rent expense would be in a certain

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 amount based on a lease; correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And you also found out, didn't you, that the amount of
4 the grants is discretionary.

05:26 5 A. I've seen information from the May trial about that, but
6 obviously it's central. The fact of them I don't believe is
7 discretionary, the amount depends on the vehicle donation
8 revenue each year.

05:27 9 Q. So they didn't have to give [REDACTED] of grants, it
10 was discretionary; is that right?

11 A. I believe they to maintain their nonprofit status and to
12 track vehicle donations they have to do a substantial amount
13 of grants, but I don't know of a specific number. But I know
14 what they actually did do as far as grants, and that's over
05:27 15 [REDACTED].

16 Q. Okay. A couple more questions. You've never worked on
17 a nonprofit case where you were trying to deduct grants in
18 calculating a defendant's profits; is that right?

05:27 19 A. I have not. I've worked on a couple of nonprofit
20 matters, but they didn't involve fundraising, just happened to
21 involve nonprofit entities in a dispute.

22 Q. And how long have you been an expert?

23 A. 31 years.

05:27 24 Q. So in 31 years this is the first time you've ever come to
25 court and asked a court to deduct grants in calculating the

Hall - Cross - Pittman

1 defendant's profits in a trademark case; is that right?

2 A. It's the only case I've had like this, and I certainly
3 have asked courts to deduct expenses, and this is an expense
4 that I do believe should be deducted.

05:28 5 Q. My question is this is the first time you've ever
6 requested that; correct?

7 A. Yes, because it's the first case I've had like this.

8 MR. PITTMAN: Pass the witness.

9 THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?

05:28 10 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: No redirect, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

12 You may step down, Mr. Hall.

13 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

14 THE COURT: Thanks for coming in.

05:28 15 (Witness excused.)

16 THE COURT: So, Mr. Kaufman, you rest?

17 MR. LITTERINE-KAUFMAN: Yes, Kars 4 Kids rests, your
18 Honor.

19 THE COURT: So, do you wish to be heard on laches?

05:28 20 MR. VOGL: Well, your Honor, if I understood you
21 correctly, you have our briefs clearly, and we put most of the
22 evidence in through those briefs, but if there's maybe one or
23 two points I could raise based on today's testimony?

24 THE COURT: You may.

05:28 25 MR. VOGL: Two points, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: You may.

2 MR. VOGL: So just to set the stage here, your
3 Honor, under Third Circuit law the doctrine of laches as you
4 know bars a claim when there's inexcusable delay in bringing
05:29 5 the suit, and prejudice to the defendant as a result of the
6 delay.

7 Your Honor, I think what we heard today supports
8 what we heard at the liability phase of the trial, is that
9 America Can! has known about my client's use of Kars 4 Kids,
05:29 10 and has known about my client's use of Kars 4 Kids in Texas
11 all the way back to 2003. They sent the letter, my client had
12 been in the market; mailers, actual types of other promotional
13 materials. Publications had been used, Readers Digest, the
14 Jewish Press had been sent nationally, so our client's use has
05:29 15 been open and notorious throughout that time.

16 What you heard today is that Exhibit 447, this is
17 ACCFX-447 --

18 THE COURT: I know that one.

19 MR. VOGL: Okay. That particular document, your
05:30 20 Honor, evidences specific Texas related advertising. This one
21 starts with 2008. If you couple this document with the
22 testimony that your Honor heard during the trial, supporting
23 the fact that my client's use went all the way back to the
24 1990s, at least as early as 2003, your Honor, when they wrote
05:30 25 the letter.

1 The fact that they allowed my client to continue to
2 operate in Texas, throughout the United States, open and
3 notoriously using Kars 4 Kids, suggests two things, your
4 Honor: Number one, they weren't being damaged and they're not
5 being damaged now; and moreover, your Honor, they are not
6 entitled to disgorgement. Six years is the statute of
7 limitations here in New Jersey; 12 years is how long they've
8 waited before they put my client on notice that they all of a
9 sudden had an issue with my client using Kars 4 Kids.

10 You also heard, your Honor, that both sides are
11 coexisting with the Cars For Kids, their spelling, dotnet
12 site, that also takes car donations. And that site allows you
13 to take car donations out of Texas, your Honor. So, we're all
14 coexisting, whether we like it or not. We all picked let's
15 say a bad name, Kars 4 Kids; it's very descriptive. No one
16 gets the single use of that phrase; whether it's -- and
17 particularly the proper spelling of that word.

18 And so the fact that they didn't go after us until
19 we went after them, that they countersued, and the fact that
20 they waited until 2013 to do this assignment between
21 organizations -- and I can represent to you, your Honor, that
22 they did not file that assignment until 2019.

23 There's absolutely no injury that they've suffered,
24 absolutely no injunction that's necessary, and absolutely no
25 disgorgement of my client's profits; let alone any other type

1 of enhanced damages, et cetera, et cetera.

2 This case is a case where they waited, they sat on
3 their hands, and allowed my client to of course inject its
4 mark into the market; creating losses that my client now will
05:32 5 incur if any damages are assessed in this case. And the
6 losses would be incurred because they didn't do anything, they
7 sat on their hands. That's laches; it's textbook laches, your
8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

05:32 10 MR. VOGL: Thank you, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Pittman, do you wish to be heard on
12 that?

13 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor. Your Honor, the
14 claim of laches is one that actually can easily be dispensed
05:32 15 with. K4K, they've got the burden to show that they were, as
16 Mr. Vogl put it, open and notorious.

17 The evidence that we have -- you know, setting aside
18 the hurdle that they've got to go over that they waived their
19 right for laches, that they've got to overcome the hurdle that
05:33 20 if laches were really applicable, then they perpetrated a
21 fraud on the Court by them filing their suit when they also
22 would have waited.

23 But besides that, in looking at the actual evidence,
24 they have zero evidence that they were advertising
05:33 25 specifically in Texas after the 2003 cease and desist letter

1 was sent. That letter was sent, guess what happened; they
2 stopped. Zero evidence, during the --

3 THE COURT: Well, if you look at 447, it has Google
4 advertising or something on there, for all those years; so how
5 do you deal with that?

6 MR. PITTMAN: Well, first they started in 2008. So,
7 if they started in 2008 as that represents, laches doesn't
8 apply, because the lawsuit was filed six years from that date.
9 So it doesn't apply. Period, end of story on the 2008.

10 Mr. Vogl has to show that it was something before
11 2008. He's got to show that it was 2004, '5, '6, '7, that's
12 what he's got to show. Because again the statute of
13 limitations as he pointed out is six years. So we go back six
14 years from the 2014 filing; that's why we did a calculation of
15 damages from 2008. So that is within the statute of
16 limitations of the six years. So laches wouldn't apply based
17 on that chart.

18 But even if we were discussing that chart, the
19 Google Analytics, as Ms. Landau testified, that was national
20 advertising, that was not public advertising.

21 So for it to be open and notorious as Mr. Vogl
22 pointed out, plenty of cases say this, if they were
23 advertising in the Dallas Morning News, they'd have an
24 argument; Houston Chronicle, they'd have an argument. They
25 showed zero advertising in Texas for 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006,

1 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010.

2 Even their own document from Mr. Hall says that he
3 was unable to identify specific advertising in Texas for most
4 of that time, most of those earlier periods in 2008, '9, '10,
5 '11 and '12. But they've got to show prior to that time. Mr.
6 Vogl, again, at the previous trial didn't introduce any
7 evidence whatsoever showing specific advertising in Texas.

8 Mr. Wentworth testified that he became aware in
9 2011. What did he do when he became aware? He hired lawyers.
10 What did the lawyers do? They sent a cease and desist letter.
11 Mr. Vogl cannot be -- the infringement that Mr. Wentworth
12 testified that he found out about in 2011, 2012, Mr. Vogl is
13 unable to show that that infringement even occurred in Texas.
14 Again, we're talking about Texas; he can't show that it
15 happened in Texas prior to the time Mr. Wentworth found out
16 about it.

17 K4K knew of our marks in 2003. Again, they
18 disappeared; that's willful infringement. The courts also say
19 as another reason for denying it, the courts say that willful
20 infringement is an element that helps defeat a laches claim.
21 They willfully infringed.

22 Mr. Vogl has time and time again said that they
23 received the 2003 cease and desist letter, and willfully used
24 Cars For Kids mark, willfully used the URL of CarsForKids.com;
25 that's willful infringement, the jury found willful

1 infringement, that's an element that allows this Court to
2 exclude laches even if it applied.

3 So, your Honor, we believe that they knew the facts
4 of their infringement; they willfully continued to infringe,
05:37 5 but they hid it from America Can! Cars For Kids because they
6 left Texas. Mr. Wentworth testified that he saw nothing in
7 his records, nothing from his people showing that they did
8 anything in 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007.

9 So again, it's their burden; they've got to show
05:37 10 that we knew about their open -- again, it can't be private
11 use. This Google Analytics -- if they're sending stuff to one
12 or two people or 10 subscribers on the Jewish Press, that's
13 not open and obvious use for which America Can! should have
14 known.

05:37 15 So again, it's an equitable defense. The Court can
16 look at their willful infringement; the Court can look at the
17 facts showing that they are unable to show direct advertising
18 in Texas that America Can! Cars For Kids should have known
19 about.

05:37 20 And then, your Honor, finally there are distinctive
21 acts of infringement. Mr. Wentworth testified that they found
22 out in 2011 about certain acts of infringement. Mr. Vogl
23 hasn't argued that they were doing that infringement later.
24 The use of the website, CarsForKids.com, they found out about
05:38 25 that in 2012; so the statute wouldn't have started until 2012

1 for that.

2 And your Honor, we briefed all the cases that
3 discuss when --

4 THE COURT: I read them.

05:38 5 MR. PITTMAN: There are situations where a cease and
6 desist letter can eliminate any claim. If you look at the VOX
7 *Amplification* case, it's an Eastern District of New York 2014
8 case; you can look at the *Fendi* case, Southern District of New
9 York case; but they all say that if you send a cease and
05:38 10 desist letter, and someone -- the infringer ceases the
11 infringement or hides the infringement, you can't assert
12 laches later. And that's what happened here in 2003, and
13 that's what led to the filing of the lawsuit in 2014.

14 So your Honor, we believe that laches is
05:39 15 inappropriate. But even if it is considered on its merits, we
16 believe that it fails on the merits.

17 THE COURT: Okay, got it. Thank you.

18 Do you wish to reply?

19 MR. VOGL: Just two seconds, your Honor.

05:39 20 THE COURT: You may.

21 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, Mr. Wentworth testified that
22 his people hadn't seen anything in 2010 -- 2009, 2010, 2011.
23 Their own document 447 shows that there's been Google
24 advertising in Texas, Yellow Page advertising, radio stations
05:39 25 in Texas using our client's Kars 4 Kids ad. It can't get more

1 obvious that our client was using the name Kars 4 Kids in
2 Texas.

3 There's a 2005 Readers Digest that we talked about
4 in the liability phase --

05:40 5 THE COURT: You see, the best argument Mr. Pittman
6 has, is that unclean hands can be used to prevent the use of
7 laches as a defense. And he's indicated, and the jury did
8 find, that there was willful infringement.

9 MR. VOGL: Yes.

05:40 10 THE COURT: Which could show that; right? So what
11 do you say about that?

12 MR. VOGL: Sure. Well, willful infringement doesn't
13 mean the type of -- it is not bad faith, your Honor; bad faith
14 is necessary. The cases that they cite are out of circuit
05:40 15 cases. The case that your Honor should look at is *Carnegie*
16 *Mellon University v. Marvell Tech. Group*, it's 20'4 WL 183212,
17 it's a Western District of Pennsylvania case that was affirmed
18 by the Federal Circuit.

05:41 19 And in that case, that America Can! -- the law
20 within the Third Circuit is otherwise. There's no -- willful
21 infringement does not defeat laches in this circuit, your
22 Honor. Because willful infringement means that we went in
23 there knowing -- and we had -- this is not a counterfeiting
24 case where we were intentionally copying them; the logos are
05:41 25 different; the names are different; the jingle that everybody

1 talks about is different. So we weren't literally copying
2 them.

3 That type of egregious behavior, vexatious activity
4 certainly has an effect on whether laches can be applied. But
05:41 5 in this situation it is -- the determination of the jury is
6 that the marks were too close. This is an infringement case,
7 your Honor, not a counterfeiting case.

8 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

9 MR. VOGL: Thank you, your Honor.

05:41 10 THE COURT: I'll read that case.

11 Before we leave for the day, let me just go over the
12 exhibits that I have admitted into evidence. Can I just read
13 them down? ACCFK-438; ACCFK-439; ACCFK-440; 441; 442; 449;
14 443; 444; 445. Then Mr. Cook's testimony, Kars 4 Kids with a
05:43 15 K, 446, that's his C.V.; ACCFK-447; 448; K4K-500; I believe
16 K4K-111; K4KDX-507 -- this is what I need documents on --
17 K4KDX-508; K4K-DX-509; 513; there was K4K-24, but that had
18 been previously admitted; K4KDX-523. And that was it.

19 There was a letter, the second letter from the
05:44 20 attorneys, which was ACCFK-408, I don't have that as being
21 admitted. That's what I have. Any others?

22 MR. VOGL: I believe that's it, your Honor, on our
23 side.

24 THE COURT: Any other documents?

05:44 25 MR. PITTMAN: No, your Honor. Exhibit 408 was

1 admitted at the previous trial.

2 THE COURT: Oh, so we did that in May?

3 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: So 408 was previously admitted, okay.

05:45 5 Thank you. I was going allow both parties to do
6 briefs on the damages; how much time do you need?

7 MR. VOGL: Your Honor, just to be clear, would you
8 like finding of facts and conclusions of law, or brief, or
9 both?

05:45 10 THE COURT: Well, I'd like finding of facts and
11 conclusions of law just on this portion.

12 MR. VOGL: Understood.

13 THE COURT: Not on the whole trial.

14 MR. VOGL: Understood.

05:45 15 THE COURT: So that would be great.

16 MR. VOGL: With a brief as well?

17 THE COURT: I could use a brief. So you had the
18 burden of proof, so do you want to submit yours first? I
19 think you do.

05:45 20 MR. VOGL: The burden of proof on the --

21 THE COURT: Profits, and what the expenses are and
22 things of that nature. I wasn't sure how to do that. I know
23 we let Mr. Pittman go first.

05:46 24 MR. VOGL: They had the burden of proof to prove
25 damages.

1 THE COURT: To show damages.

2 MR. VOGL: Yes.

3 THE COURT: So Mr. Pittman, can you hand in your
4 brief within two weeks?

05:46 5 MR. PITTMAN: We can, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Then you'll have two weeks after that.
7 So, today is the 21st; December 5th. So yours is due December
8 5th; and you're 14 days after that, December 19th.

9 MR. VOGL: That's fine, yes, your Honor.

05:46 10 THE COURT: So if you could in those also just
11 include a few definitions; one on incremental and full
12 absorption, and that parking term has me a little bit
13 perplexed. So if you can put in -- I understand what they
14 mean by it, we're not using it anymore, but that's kind of a
05:47 15 loosey-goosey definition, so I could use something better than
16 that.

17 I think that's it.

18 MR. VOGL: Very good.

19 THE COURT: So thank you for coming in.

05:47 20 MR. HAEFNER: Your Honor, I have your documents,
21 your set of documents, 507, 508, 509, 513 and 523.

22 THE COURT: Are they marked?

23 MR. HAEFNER: They're labeled on the side. They
24 don't have official exhibit sticker; would you like them --

05:47 25 THE COURT: Dolores will put stickers on them.

1 Mr. Pittman, do you want to review these documents
2 before I accept them?

3 (Counsel reviewing.)

4 THE COURT: You don't have any objections to me
5 accepting those; right?

6 MR. PITTMAN: No objection.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Have a good day.

8 (Counsel say thank you.)

9 (Matter concluded.)

10 - - -

11

12

13 "I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
14 record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter."

15

16 /S/ Francis J. Gable, C.C.R., C.R.R. November 26, 2019

17

18

19 _____
Signature of Court Reporter

Date

20

21

22

23

24

25

United States District Court
Trenton, New Jersey

35 [1] - 4:10
362 [1] - 177:9
37 [13] - 4:11, 80:11,
 87:19, 88:2, 88:5,
 88:7, 88:24, 88:25,
 89:2, 89:7, 167:3,
 167:5, 195:21

██████ ■ ██████

399 [1] - 9:23
3:02 [1] - 154:14
3:18 [1] - 154:14
3:30 [1] - 20:23
3d [1] - 9:24

4

4 [215] - 1:4, 5:10,
 10:22, 13:5, 14:15,
 14:16, 16:1, 16:18,
 18:8, 21:18, 21:21,
 22:15, 24:20, 26:12,
 27:13, 28:15, 29:1,
 29:4, 29:17, 31:8,
 33:13, 38:6, 38:12,
 39:9, 39:12, 42:10,
 43:18, 43:21, 44:2,
 46:22, 47:15, 47:22,
 48:4, 49:8, 50:14,
 51:8, 58:16, 70:10,
 71:17, 71:18, 75:7,
 75:20, 76:12, 78:14,
 85:11, 93:21, 96:22,
 98:2, 102:5, 102:6,
 102:16, 104:21,
 105:4, 105:14,
 105:19, 106:2,
 106:3, 106:10,
 107:21, 108:17,
 109:13, 110:21,
 111:5, 111:6,
 111:17, 111:25,
 113:12, 114:1,
 114:24, 115:3,
 115:8, 115:14,
 115:21, 115:24,
 116:2, 116:4,
 116:11, 116:21,
 116:24, 116:25,
 117:4, 117:9, 118:8,
 119:12, 120:2,
 120:12, 120:21,
 120:22, 121:6,
 121:18, 123:1,
 124:1, 124:5,
 127:10, 127:21,
 127:24, 127:25,
 128:5, 128:17,
 129:10, 130:6,
 130:10, 131:13,
 131:16, 131:19,

131:23, 132:3,
 132:6, 132:9,
 132:22, 133:9,
 133:15, 133:17,
 134:14, 134:15,
 134:18, 135:2,
 135:5, 136:6,
 136:15, 136:20,
 137:2, 137:19,
 138:9, 139:18,
 148:1, 148:3, 149:2,
 150:4, 150:21,
 151:1, 151:25,
 153:9, 154:16,
 156:12, 156:18,
 157:4, 157:21,
 158:11, 159:7,
 159:9, 160:2, 160:3,
 160:17, 161:14,
 162:1, 162:2,
 162:17, 163:7,
 163:16, 164:16,
 166:13, 166:23,
 167:22, 168:6,
 168:7, 168:16,
 168:20, 168:25,
 169:13, 169:24,
 170:6, 170:25,
 171:9, 172:4, 172:7,
 172:9, 172:12,
 173:7, 173:15,
 174:3, 174:4, 174:9,
 174:20, 174:21,
 175:7, 175:16,
 177:6, 177:18,
 177:20, 178:17,
 179:4, 179:13,
 180:15, 181:4,
 181:9, 181:22,
 181:25, 182:5,
 182:9, 182:18,
 182:20, 182:22,
 184:12, 189:17,
 189:21, 196:20,
 196:24, 200:10,
 200:13, 201:6,
 206:17, 207:9,
 207:10, 208:3,
 208:9, 208:15,
 213:25, 214:1,
 215:14

4.1 [1] - 45:10

40 [6] - 32:17, 32:20,
 125:11, 125:25,
 126:6, 203:21

400 [1] - 138:3

402 [1] - 1:11

408 [7] - 43:6, 43:15,
 43:16, 43:20, 59:3,
 215:25, 216:4

421 [4] - 29:14, 29:15,
 29:16, 29:17
43 [1] - 183:25
438 [7] - 13:8, 13:17,
 13:21, 14:14, 14:23,
 15:3, 15:15
439 [1] - 46:13
440 [8] - 16:15, 16:17,
 17:14, 17:24, 18:7,
 48:3, 58:7, 58:10
441 [5] - 18:13, 18:17,
 18:19, 18:23, 215:13
442 [6] - 21:6, 21:8,
 21:13, 23:7, 23:11,
 215:13
443 [6] - 31:13, 31:17,
 32:3, 32:6, 215:14
444 [5] - 35:2, 35:4,
 35:5, 36:6, 215:14
445 [4] - 18:12, 36:16,
 49:18, 215:14
446 [2] - 65:11, 215:15
447 [13] - 76:7, 76:18,
 76:25, 78:9, 94:24,
 100:1, 114:15,
 123:21, 152:23,
 152:24, 207:16,
 210:3, 213:23
448 [4] - 99:24, 99:25,
 100:8, 215:15
449 [10] - 18:11, 18:12,
 18:14, 18:15, 23:18,
 24:2, 24:3, 24:6,
 24:10, 215:13
45 [1] - 125:25
46 [1] - 3:4
48 [2] - 181:20, 182:2
4:05 [1] - 183:24
4:30 [1] - 126:8

5

5 [15] - 71:16, 72:5,
 89:18, 100:16,
 100:17, 100:23,
 179:23, 179:25,
 197:3, 197:5, 198:1,
 204:3, 204:5,
 204:21, 210:11

██████ ■ ██████
 ██████ ■ ██████

5.9 [2] - 187:24, 188:7

50 [10] - 14:20, 80:15,
 87:7, 87:11, 87:22,
 88:1, 89:14, 168:18,
 194:4, 194:8
500 [5] - 71:14,

137:17, 142:22,
 147:21, 149:3
501(c)(3) [3] - 57:13,
 97:21, 113:2
502 [5] - 27:10, 27:18,
 28:6, 28:17, 49:3
507 [1] - 217:21
508 [2] - 185:23,
 217:21
509 [2] - 185:23,
 217:21
51 [1] - 89:14
510 [1] - 196:25
513 [3] - 185:23,
 215:17, 217:21
523 [1] - 217:21
528 [2] - 188:1, 188:4
56 [1] - 3:5
57 [2] - 190:21, 191:2
59 [1] - 159:13
5th [4] - 10:4, 10:9,
 217:7, 217:8

6

6 [4] - 100:15, 100:16,
 181:20, 210:11

██████ ■ ██████

62 [1] - 3:6

63 [9] - 3:7, 87:17,
 87:19, 89:7, 166:19,
 166:21, 167:2,
 167:5, 167:18
64 [1] - 3:7
65 [1] - 4:12
6th [1] - 108:9

7

7 [2] - 167:11, 210:11

██████ ■ ██████

732 [1] - 23:8

76 [1] - 4:13

8

8 [1] - 173:2
80 [1] - 180:5
856 [1] - 1:24
877 [1] - 14:16
883 [1] - 70:9
 ██████ ■ ██████
889-4761 [1] - 1:24

9

9 [2] - 177:25, 211:4
90 [1] - 120:5
99 [3] - 99:11, 99:12,
 101:1
990 [13] - 66:9, 92:5,
 97:15, 107:24,
 108:5, 109:11,
 112:15, 157:22,
 158:7, 164:16,
 181:22, 182:19,
 196:18
990s [10] - 107:21,
 108:15, 151:24,
 156:15, 157:21,
 179:3, 180:1,
 180:14, 198:14,
 198:17
9th [1] - 10:16

A

Abilene [1] - 28:2
ability [1] - 26:9
able [22] - 31:7, 38:19,
 41:20, 66:3, 68:4,
 74:15, 75:18, 82:20,
 83:18, 95:5, 99:15,
 113:12, 114:1,
 118:11, 138:21,
 141:13, 141:14,
 162:7, 163:13,
 179:8, 195:17
Abloy [1] - 28:2
above-entitled [1] -
 218:14
absent [2] - 54:10,
 54:12
absolute [1] - 94:16
absolutely [6] - 53:16,
 93:3, 104:14,
 208:23, 208:24
Absolutely [1] - 40:4
absorption [34] -
 92:10, 112:1, 170:7,
 170:10, 172:12,
 172:18, 176:9,
 176:11, 177:2,
 177:9, 177:11,
 177:13, 177:16,
 179:10, 181:1,
 184:10, 184:15,
 184:19, 184:22,
 184:24, 185:2,
 189:5, 189:6,
 189:13, 191:22,
 201:16, 201:17,
 201:18, 201:23,

201:24, 202:2,
202:7, 202:15,
217:12
Academies [4] -
51:19, 54:3, 59:11,
59:13
Academy [1] - 59:17
accept [15] - 65:5,
99:4, 119:16,
123:23, 131:13,
131:17, 131:19,
131:22, 132:3,
133:10, 134:9,
136:6, 136:16,
202:13, 218:2
acceptable [1] -
157:19
accepted [16] - 49:16,
68:11, 68:23, 95:7,
95:11, 101:9,
109:15, 109:16,
112:19, 134:23,
156:4, 159:18,
170:8, 170:10,
202:15, 203:2
accepting [16] - 9:14,
18:8, 28:13, 118:8,
131:6, 131:23,
132:6, 132:9, 134:5,
134:7, 134:16,
136:13, 139:4,
144:18, 145:2, 218:5
accepts [1] - 87:24
access [5] - 130:15,
158:14, 158:16,
174:9, 175:1
accessed [1] - 174:4
accessible [4] - 135:6,
135:8, 145:24, 153:9
accessing [1] - 175:6
ACCFK [12] - 13:14,
13:21, 16:14, 18:10,
21:5, 32:6, 35:2,
36:15, 45:11, 76:7,
99:24, 114:14
ACCFK's [1] - 44:8
ACCFK-408 [1] -
215:20
ACCFK-438 [3] - 4:3,
15:18, 215:13
ACCFK-439 [6] - 4:4,
15:23, 15:25, 16:8,
16:12, 215:13
ACCFK-440 [5] - 4:5,
17:4, 17:21, 149:18,
215:13
ACCFK-441 [3] - 4:6,
19:14, 19:18
ACCFK-442 [3] - 4:7,
21:11, 23:15
ACCFK-443 [2] - 4:9,
32:9
ACCFK-444 [3] - 4:10,
35:22, 35:25
ACCFK-445 [3] - 4:11,
36:23, 37:1
ACCFK-446 [2] - 4:12,
65:16
ACCFK-447 [3] - 4:13,
76:22, 215:15
ACCFK-448 [2] - 4:14,
100:12
ACCFK-449 [2] - 4:8,
24:13
ACCFK-447 [1] -
207:17
accidentally [1] - 28:21
accolades [1] - 26:3
according [4] - 86:3,
113:1, 114:23, 186:6
account [1] - 179:13
accountant [2] -
121:8, 121:21
accounting [28] - 9:4,
9:20, 9:22, 64:15,
68:24, 88:13, 89:22,
93:2, 93:7, 93:18,
94:11, 106:19,
109:8, 110:2,
121:14, 155:24,
157:7, 158:6, 158:7,
170:7, 170:10,
171:9, 172:18,
179:2, 179:10, 181:1
Accounting [1] -
162:5
accounts [4] - 108:18,
108:24, 109:2,
177:12
accuracy [3] - 109:8,
144:13, 177:22
accurate [6] - 75:11,
95:10, 145:7, 152:1,
187:1, 190:5
accurately [4] - 98:3,
164:8, 167:5, 180:24
acknowledged [2] -
38:6, 38:7
Act [5] - 74:10, 74:23,
93:1, 99:16, 104:2
action [3] - 7:25, 43:9,
102:2
active [2] - 30:6, 119:4
activities [3] - 98:15,
98:16, 123:12
activity [1] - 215:3
acts [4] - 12:23, 13:2,
212:21, 212:22
actual [12] - 10:8,
12:20, 40:12, 45:1,
56:12, 61:9, 95:9,
120:17, 120:19,
144:14, 207:12,
209:23
Ad [1] - 140:1
ad [38] - 16:24, 17:24,
18:24, 19:11, 24:20,
25:3, 25:4, 27:17,
28:24, 46:15, 46:18,
46:20, 47:5, 47:11,
47:15, 47:17, 48:9,
48:20, 58:17, 60:10,
68:5, 82:13, 84:9,
106:18, 135:18,
135:20, 135:24,
136:17, 139:24,
142:7, 142:8,
142:11, 142:14,
146:19, 149:12,
151:10, 196:5,
213:25
ad-clicks [1] - 139:24
Ad-clicks [1] - 140:1
add [5] - 16:3, 127:3,
136:7, 136:17,
178:13
added [2] - 136:11,
203:22
addition [8] - 5:23,
7:21, 8:1, 8:6, 8:7,
69:13, 98:12, 181:5
additional [3] - 56:16,
143:12, 187:7
address [4] - 76:1,
86:17, 134:8, 137:20
addressed [2] - 91:12,
155:25
addresses [5] - 85:1,
146:13, 146:14,
186:18, 192:18
adds [3] - 135:13,
178:10, 178:11
adjust [1] - 84:21
adjustment [2] -
80:14, 96:20
administrator [2] -
78:20, 78:22
admission [12] -
15:14, 16:7, 17:3,
17:13, 19:14, 20:10,
21:10, 21:25, 22:2,
23:11, 24:9, 159:10
admit [3] - 133:20,
155:19, 169:7
admitted [26] - 15:17,
16:11, 17:17, 17:20,
19:17, 23:14, 24:12,
32:8, 35:24, 65:15,
76:21, 100:11,
133:24, 155:18,
173:11, 176:21,
178:21, 181:19,
185:12, 185:21,
185:24, 215:12,
215:18, 215:21,
216:1, 216:4
Admitted [1] - 36:25
ads [27] - 15:21, 16:1,
16:19, 18:1, 25:14,
25:17, 40:17, 40:20,
47:3, 60:19, 60:23,
61:17, 68:5, 83:20,
122:9, 135:15,
135:16, 135:19,
135:21, 136:5,
136:9, 145:21,
145:23, 151:1,
151:21, 152:4, 153:9
adversary [3] - 23:21,
27:2, 97:5
advertise [5] - 28:16,
28:18, 29:7, 84:19,
117:4
advertised [1] - 67:21
advertisement [3] -
28:21, 49:7, 49:10
advertisements [3] -
28:17, 135:5, 136:15
advertisers [1] - 35:6
Advertising [2] -
84:24, 85:8
advertising [198] - 6:1,
6:6, 6:17, 6:25, 7:22,
8:4, 8:7, 9:11, 29:5,
31:5, 34:10, 38:6,
38:8, 41:3, 41:12,
41:20, 43:3, 44:8,
47:20, 58:8, 58:9,
58:22, 62:4, 67:18,
68:3, 72:6, 72:8,
72:14, 72:16, 72:21,
73:1, 73:3, 77:22,
81:21, 81:23, 82:3,
82:4, 82:19, 82:22,
82:23, 83:15, 83:19,
83:21, 84:4, 84:6,
84:14, 84:18, 84:25,
85:4, 85:6, 86:3,
86:8, 87:25, 88:7,
88:10, 88:12, 88:15,
88:17, 92:23, 94:14,
94:25, 95:2, 95:3,
95:4, 104:22, 106:3,
106:7, 106:12,
106:16, 106:22,
106:25, 107:2,
107:3, 107:6,
107:12, 108:18,
108:20, 108:22,
108:23, 109:2,
109:23, 109:25,
110:18, 110:21,
111:9, 111:17,
114:23, 114:24,
115:3, 116:24,
122:3, 122:6, 122:8,
123:16, 123:21,
123:22, 127:25,
128:8, 129:17,
129:19, 130:22,
135:2, 135:7,
135:18, 140:3,
140:8, 140:17,
140:18, 140:19,
140:21, 141:18,
143:10, 143:11,
143:19, 143:21,
143:24, 144:1,
145:15, 145:18,
146:17, 147:8,
147:10, 148:1,
150:5, 150:21,
151:7, 151:11,
151:18, 151:20,
152:1, 152:17,
153:16, 153:20,
153:23, 154:1,
162:1, 164:5, 164:9,
164:14, 164:18,
165:5, 166:5,
167:15, 168:12,
168:22, 172:24,
173:5, 173:15,
173:17, 173:19,
173:24, 173:25,
174:3, 174:10,
174:13, 174:16,
174:20, 175:11,
175:12, 175:17,
175:18, 175:23,
175:24, 176:4,
176:6, 177:1, 177:7,
177:14, 177:19,
179:2, 187:5,
187:22, 193:25,
194:11, 194:22,
194:25, 195:4,
195:15, 203:5,
203:7, 203:10,
203:12, 203:14,
203:17, 207:20,
209:24, 210:4,
210:20, 210:23,
210:25, 211:3,
211:7, 212:17,
213:24
advertising-to-fund
[1] - 88:17
advertising-to-
fundraising [5] -
193:25, 194:11,

194:22, 195:4,
195:15
advertisings [1] - 13:5
advocated [1] -
104:13
affect [1] - 54:10
affects [1] - 29:5
affiliated [1] - 51:19
affirmed [2] - 127:12,
214:17
afternoon [5] - 63:18,
101:20, 101:21,
139:9, 139:13
afterwards [1] - 34:24
aggressive [1] - 54:4
ago [8] - 14:21, 17:1,
33:2, 34:22, 39:21,
139:14, 144:17,
152:4
agree [27] - 5:11, 79:7,
79:20, 81:8, 98:9,
103:12, 105:13,
105:18, 107:24,
108:4, 110:18,
113:15, 115:24,
116:2, 116:11,
118:1, 118:17,
161:24, 171:25,
173:22, 177:5,
177:8, 183:8, 189:6,
191:18, 191:24,
202:9
agreed [2] - 5:8, 190:3
agreement [5] - 80:7,
85:12, 94:17, 100:4,
114:19
agrees [1] - 177:11
ahead [2] - 137:15,
140:11
air [1] - 93:25
airport [1] - 103:4
airwaves [1] - 68:6
alleged [3] - 83:9,
104:9, 107:10
allegedly [1] - 22:12
ALLISON [1] - 2:9
allocate [8] - 93:6,
93:8, 98:9, 112:18,
113:2, 113:4,
171:10, 179:8
allocated [12] - 91:20,
95:7, 112:21,
172:17, 177:16,
180:9, 185:2,
196:18, 197:13,
201:5, 201:8
allocates [2] - 80:19,
114:7
allocating [4] - 87:9,
172:18, 200:2,
201:25
allocation [5] - 87:11,
94:19, 95:11,
178:16, 201:15
allow [19] - 6:16,
30:17, 30:20, 45:14,
61:2, 90:5, 95:2,
96:20, 97:8, 103:16,
104:1, 124:12,
132:19, 134:21,
188:12, 188:24,
201:3, 203:16, 216:5
allowed [4] - 69:15,
124:15, 208:1, 209:3
allows [7] - 7:21, 7:22,
7:24, 70:20, 162:8,
208:12, 212:1
almost [2] - 71:14,
167:18
alone [1] - 208:25
alternative [1] - 8:23
Alvarez [2] - 155:4
AMERICA [1] - 1:6
America [98] - 5:21,
8:4, 10:25, 11:19,
11:21, 12:3, 12:7,
12:12, 13:1, 13:4,
13:7, 13:20, 20:16,
25:15, 25:21, 26:9,
28:19, 29:13, 30:8,
30:24, 31:2, 31:12,
31:19, 32:14, 33:4,
33:20, 34:25, 36:9,
36:10, 36:12, 36:20,
36:21, 37:11, 38:15,
45:8, 48:25, 49:20,
50:9, 50:22, 50:25,
51:11, 51:18, 57:1,
57:7, 57:10, 57:11,
57:21, 57:24, 63:7,
63:21, 66:15, 67:3,
70:4, 70:6, 70:13,
71:4, 71:5, 71:12,
72:1, 72:6, 72:8,
72:11, 72:21, 76:17,
101:22, 102:15,
102:18, 117:19,
118:3, 119:4,
120:16, 120:19,
120:22, 121:6,
121:17, 123:1,
125:10, 149:9,
151:2, 151:8,
151:10, 156:17,
189:9, 189:15,
189:24, 201:4,
207:9, 212:5,
212:13, 212:18,
214:19
amount [44] - 72:16,
77:1, 77:2, 79:17,
87:10, 89:3, 99:8,
99:10, 99:23,
100:19, 102:9,
107:3, 115:14,
116:16, 117:9,
120:2, 120:15,
122:18, 122:19,
123:4, 123:18,
129:20, 144:3,
164:13, 166:16,
174:2, 174:19,
175:14, 180:5,
181:11, 188:13,
198:2, 198:9, 199:6,
199:10, 204:17,
204:23, 205:1,
205:3, 205:7, 205:12
amounts [5] - 85:22,
176:2, 176:3, 183:5,
191:24
Amplification [1] -
213:7
analogous [1] -
122:21
analogy [2] - 122:21,
122:22
analysis [39] - 31:10,
67:8, 68:24, 69:13,
69:19, 76:15, 81:13,
83:23, 84:8, 86:14,
92:4, 97:9, 99:3,
103:13, 104:5,
109:17, 113:16,
113:24, 114:17,
118:23, 120:24,
121:3, 123:21,
123:24, 140:25,
143:17, 144:2,
144:3, 155:24,
160:24, 173:6,
186:10, 191:8,
192:24, 193:5,
196:4, 196:7, 200:3
analyst [1] - 99:2
analytically [1] - 74:15
Analytics [12] - 47:6,
48:11, 48:16, 59:1,
60:6, 130:16,
139:15, 139:16,
141:20, 142:5,
210:19, 212:11
analytics [4] - 47:20,
58:9, 58:22, 141:19
analyze [3] - 65:23,
141:19, 156:9
analyzed [2] - 100:24,
118:22
analyzing [3] - 75:13,
93:20, 171:6
Ankura [3] - 64:12,
64:13, 64:14
annual [3] - 70:8,
182:5, 198:14
annually [1] - 164:15
answer [15] - 17:15,
20:3, 21:2, 40:1,
48:1, 61:3, 61:6,
68:9, 90:21, 91:12,
93:14, 150:16,
151:9, 191:1, 194:16
Answer [1] - 20:4
answered [2] - 119:1,
146:1
answering [3] - 37:24,
83:1, 113:9
anyway [1] - 9:19
apologize [2] - 60:15,
150:20
appeals [1] - 162:7
appear [9] - 47:3,
47:5, 47:11, 47:16,
47:17, 47:22, 48:9,
75:11, 75:23
appearance [1] - 55:5
appeared [9] - 16:24,
17:25, 18:24, 19:11,
24:20, 24:25, 46:15,
48:22, 58:10
applicable [2] -
192:23, 209:20
application [4] - 53:4,
53:11, 107:1, 202:6
applied [3] - 167:16,
212:2, 215:4
applies [1] - 99:9
apply [8] - 75:3, 83:12,
106:13, 140:24,
202:7, 210:8, 210:9,
210:16
applying [1] - 167:18
apportion [9] - 82:7,
82:21, 84:16,
103:13, 106:9,
141:3, 144:1,
165:18, 166:6
apportioned [7] -
87:7, 87:14, 163:17,
167:2, 169:13,
169:24, 173:3
apportioning [3] -
163:24, 187:21,
187:22
apportionment [48] -
80:9, 80:22, 81:4,
81:6, 81:9, 81:15,
81:17, 81:19, 82:10,
82:25, 83:2, 83:5,
83:6, 83:23, 84:3,
84:8, 86:6, 86:12,
87:2, 87:16, 87:17,
88:4, 100:17, 103:9,
103:13, 103:16,
103:21, 104:1,
104:5, 104:7,
104:13, 105:21,
140:25, 143:17,
144:3, 160:24,
163:7, 163:10,
165:20, 166:9,
190:3, 190:5,
192:23, 193:3,
193:10, 194:2,
195:20
approach [44] - 11:11,
23:19, 26:22, 83:10,
92:8, 92:10, 92:13,
92:14, 92:25, 93:3,
93:15, 93:17, 94:4,
94:24, 101:15,
112:1, 113:5,
113:20, 114:11,
127:8, 140:9,
170:13, 170:15,
170:22, 171:12,
171:17, 171:21,
172:12, 176:10,
176:11, 177:2,
184:10, 184:11,
184:15, 184:22,
185:16, 189:7,
201:13, 201:14,
202:10, 202:11,
202:19, 202:25,
203:3
approaches [1] -
184:9
appropriate [8] - 10:6,
65:22, 93:15,
170:22, 171:13,
171:21, 184:13,
190:5
approved [1] - 69:6
area [6] - 16:21, 16:22,
80:18, 91:14,
202:18, 202:19
areas [7] - 31:16,
64:15, 69:7, 79:25,
80:21, 113:3, 113:6
areas' [1] - 24:17
argued [1] - 212:23
argument [6] - 12:19,
126:12, 126:17,
210:24, 214:5
arguments [2] -
126:14, 126:16
arises [1] - 80:8
Arlington [2] - 16:22,
17:25
arm [3] - 97:17, 97:18,

98:15
arrive [1] - 69:14
articles [1] - 24:22
ascending [1] - 68:1
ascertain [4] - 69:16,
88:14, 93:10, 108:15
Asher [1] - 62:16
aside [3] - 118:1,
162:1, 209:17
aspect [2] - 112:11,
165:13
aspects [3] - 128:7,
172:12, 201:24
assert [1] - 213:11
asserting [1] - 169:15
assess [4] - 94:12,
132:11, 165:3,
165:15
assessed [1] - 209:5
assessing [2] - 93:4,
163:10
assessment [4] - 8:12,
161:6, 186:6, 187:1
assets [1] - 57:7
assign [1] - 88:14
assignment [14] -
44:23, 45:22, 45:23,
49:20, 49:23, 62:20,
65:19, 156:7, 156:8,
156:10, 158:8,
158:19, 208:20,
208:22
assignments [1] -
158:17
**assignor/president/
CEO** [1] - 36:19
assist [1] - 44:20
assistance [3] - 108:2,
113:5, 199:23
assisting [2] - 44:19,
143:17
assists [1] - 110:13
associated [1] -
165:11
assume [8] - 28:14,
94:8, 98:2, 116:23,
117:4, 196:21,
196:23
assumed [5] - 38:5,
91:19, 92:6, 92:11,
111:7
assumes [9] - 80:19,
106:1, 106:20,
113:20, 114:11,
116:24, 122:8,
163:24, 200:9
assuming [5] - 86:12,
93:21, 122:17,
148:21, 166:10
assumption [7] -
80:13, 81:19, 82:4,
88:9, 106:16, 159:14
attachment [8] -
76:11, 179:23,
179:25, 180:15,
197:3, 197:5, 198:1,
204:5
attempt [7] - 7:1, 10:7,
49:11, 149:6,
193:13, 193:21,
193:23
attempted [3] -
109:24, 144:10,
149:10
attempting [1] -
165:13
attempts [1] - 134:15
attention [3] - 43:25,
44:4, 44:8
attorney [3] - 20:20,
26:17, 55:1
attorney/client [2] -
23:3, 55:14
attorneys [6] - 43:9,
45:9, 45:14, 56:10,
56:16, 215:20
attributable [8] -
143:19, 144:4,
161:8, 161:11,
163:22, 163:25,
166:11, 167:18
attributing [2] - 88:5,
89:1
AUBREY [1] - 2:12
auction [10] - 66:19,
77:7, 77:8, 77:16,
78:1, 78:6, 78:12,
78:13, 78:23
auctions [1] - 128:22
August [4] - 44:24,
57:16, 57:19, 72:18
authorize [1] - 56:13
authorized [1] - 56:14
automobile [1] - 50:20
automobiles [1] - 18:2
Automotive [1] -
26:19
available [4] - 9:21,
74:15, 109:16, 116:8
average [7] - 70:8,
70:13, 71:7, 71:9,
72:11, 130:13, 138:3
avoided [1] - 92:16
award [3] - 9:25, 10:6,
45:11
awarded [3] - 10:10,
26:4, 189:9
aware [37] - 37:11,
38:2, 39:1, 39:4,
39:9, 42:7, 42:15,
42:21, 43:8, 51:16,
53:21, 56:8, 81:5,
81:7, 81:9, 82:17,
86:8, 91:1, 111:24,
119:2, 121:12,
172:10, 186:19,
194:21, 194:24,
195:2, 199:19,
199:24, 200:13,
200:15, 200:18,
204:4, 204:10,
211:8, 211:9
awareness [1] - 37:9

B

backwards [1] - 145:1
bad [7] - 33:15, 98:25,
99:1, 199:2, 208:15,
214:13
Bad [1] - 198:25
badge [1] - 26:19
balance [2] - 78:24,
79:1
Banjo [1] - 9:23
banner [14] - 16:1,
16:3, 16:18, 25:4,
46:18, 46:20, 48:5,
48:20, 135:12,
135:15, 135:23,
136:7, 136:12, 137:3
Banner [1] - 135:16
banners [3] - 24:23,
135:9, 136:11
bar [1] - 71:22
bars [7] - 71:1, 71:4,
71:6, 71:8, 72:13,
72:23, 207:4
base [1] - 157:7
based [49] - 10:20,
39:15, 67:8, 81:6,
81:9, 81:13, 82:5,
82:6, 83:10, 83:24,
84:5, 86:10, 88:9,
88:14, 93:17, 95:7,
95:11, 96:24,
102:13, 104:15,
106:14, 106:18,
116:18, 120:4,
123:4, 156:22,
157:11, 157:21,
160:17, 163:11,
165:4, 165:17,
165:20, 170:5,
173:5, 174:12,
178:16, 179:8,
186:10, 187:6,
193:2, 193:10,
195:20, 195:23,
196:24, 205:1,
206:23, 210:16
Based [4] - 94:10,
165:16, 166:8,
170:21
basic [2] - 93:17,
94:11
basis [12] - 59:22,
70:8, 71:20, 72:7,
106:19, 142:1,
157:8, 180:22,
182:5, 193:1, 193:3,
198:14
became [9] - 37:11,
39:8, 41:15, 42:5,
42:15, 42:21, 43:8,
211:8, 211:9
become [3] - 39:1,
39:4, 42:7
becomes [4] - 89:8,
117:11, 170:3
began [3] - 10:21,
72:13, 72:17
begin [2] - 125:1,
185:20
beginning [4] - 71:25,
72:13, 117:19,
120:25
begins [1] - 173:2
behalf [1] - 50:24
behavior [1] - 215:3
belief [5] - 40:25, 41:2,
41:5, 41:7, 82:9
believes [1] - 8:20
belongs [1] - 131:5
below [2] - 85:24,
173:4
benefit [13] - 67:21,
93:10, 93:15, 93:18,
94:12, 97:14, 97:23,
105:7, 162:8,
168:25, 171:10,
180:24, 204:11
benefited [3] - 180:25,
198:23, 204:13
benefits [1] - 177:15
best [9] - 56:15, 56:18,
75:10, 92:25, 93:18,
97:12, 107:24,
108:5, 214:5
Better [1] - 53:18
better [3] - 59:25,
140:16, 217:15
between [31] - 62:5,
79:23, 79:25, 83:7,
84:4, 87:1, 95:23,
103:7, 103:13,
112:16, 112:18,
114:25, 115:4,
116:25, 117:5,
119:13, 120:13,
153:9, 154:2, 168:7,
173:19, 177:1,
177:12, 179:14,
184:24, 185:1,
187:14, 190:22,
191:20, 191:22,
208:20
beyond [8] - 59:25,
87:25, 112:10,
121:20, 124:14,
153:12, 198:17
bidding [1] - 149:12
Big [1] - 28:2
big [7] - 29:9, 72:25,
80:14, 87:1, 87:21,
91:21, 104:7
bigger [1] - 130:22
biggest [4] - 81:18,
84:25, 164:22, 176:3
Bill [1] - 26:13
billboards [7] - 67:18,
141:12, 141:13,
141:15, 141:16,
151:14, 151:15
billed [1] - 175:7
billing [1] - 95:6
binder [1] - 158:25
Bing [8] - 111:18,
146:21, 147:1,
174:17, 174:20,
174:25, 175:3, 175:6
bit [4] - 33:24, 103:9,
106:6, 217:12
blanket [1] - 99:4
blasts [1] - 83:22
blatantly [1] - 43:25
block [4] - 146:7,
146:8, 146:13,
150:22
blocked [2] - 146:11,
146:12
blocking [1] - 151:2
blocks [1] - 151:7
blue [3] - 71:6, 71:8,
72:23
board [4] - 37:5, 37:8,
45:2, 57:6
book [1] - 108:11
Boone [1] - 54:22
Bornstein [1] - 6:12
bottom [8] - 14:22,
66:18, 76:15, 85:3,
100:5, 160:11,
160:12, 160:14
bound [1] - 108:11
brand [1] - 166:2
break [5] - 63:9,
91:23, 92:2, 112:16,
124:20
breakdown [2] - 35:5

breaks [1] - 97:15
brief [9] - 5:6, 117:3, 126:13, 126:25, 139:12, 216:8, 216:16, 216:17, 217:4
Brief [1] - 45:20
briefed [1] - 213:2
briefly [4] - 73:10, 77:4, 157:5, 178:25
briefs [4] - 126:23, 206:21, 206:22, 216:6
BRIGGS [1] - 2:6
bring [16] - 60:1, 69:22, 114:14, 126:20, 152:21, 155:6, 158:23, 159:21, 164:6, 167:7, 172:22, 176:13, 178:5, 181:18, 184:7, 195:7
bringing [3] - 56:16, 123:18, 207:4
broadcasts [1] - 147:13
brought [1] - 59:23
BRYCE [8] - 3:7, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 63:24, 64:8, 101:19, 122:1
Bryce [4] - 63:8, 63:22, 64:1, 64:11
Buddies [1] - 9:23
budget [2] - 35:6, 35:7
building [1] - 166:2
buildings [1] - 165:10
burden [18] - 74:25, 95:13, 96:7, 96:17, 105:22, 190:4, 190:9, 190:12, 190:23, 191:9, 196:11, 196:13, 209:15, 212:9, 216:18, 216:20, 216:24
Bureau [1] - 53:18
Business [2] - 26:3, 53:18
business [30] - 12:3, 31:18, 35:10, 35:12, 50:21, 64:15, 66:5, 77:20, 89:24, 90:1, 90:7, 90:10, 90:12, 90:15, 116:7, 122:23, 123:2, 123:17, 128:1, 128:9, 128:18, 133:18, 157:17, 171:2, 171:3, 171:22, 172:4, 172:5, 198:12
businesses [1] - 198:18
but-for [1] - 170:17
buttons [1] - 19:1
buy [2] - 135:21, 136:3
buying [2] - 151:18, 151:20
BY [75] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 3:10, 3:10, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 11:15, 14:5, 15:11, 15:20, 17:8, 17:23, 19:20, 20:14, 22:23, 23:17, 24:1, 24:15, 30:23, 32:11, 34:21, 36:2, 37:4, 39:20, 40:7, 41:10, 46:10, 56:23, 60:16, 62:12, 64:8, 65:18, 68:16, 69:10, 74:6, 76:24, 78:18, 83:25, 85:15, 89:12, 94:23, 96:2, 99:7, 100:14, 101:19, 122:1, 127:18, 134:2, 139:8, 152:15, 153:25, 155:1, 156:6, 159:23, 167:9, 169:12, 173:14, 176:24, 178:24, 186:5, 192:1, 197:25, 200:12, 204:1

C

c-o-m [1] - 34:4
C-o-o-k [1] - 64:4
C.C.R [1] - 218:16
C.C.R.,C.R.R [1] - 1:23
C.R.R [1] - 218:16
C.V [6] - 65:5, 65:11, 65:15, 68:11, 155:12, 215:15
calculate [13] - 102:16, 156:11, 160:15, 164:2, 164:24, 168:1, 170:2, 170:3, 172:12, 174:2, 174:19, 182:19, 188:8
calculated [5] - 102:5, 119:12, 142:20, 178:25, 188:6
calculates [1] - 8:19
calculating [7] - 10:22, 103:17, 170:8, 189:1, 195:9, 205:18, 205:25
calculation [20] - 69:2, 69:7, 74:7, 87:2, 99:12, 106:15, 115:9, 118:23, 120:17, 120:18, 120:20, 125:10, 160:25, 164:25, 166:22, 179:7, 188:19, 188:22, 197:13, 210:14
calculations [8] - 73:7, 101:10, 103:21, 156:1, 180:25, 186:14, 187:1, 187:6
calculator [3] - 188:2, 188:3
calculus [1] - 6:10
caller [1] - 146:1
campaign [1] - 135:10
camp [2] - 112:5, 200:5
CAN [1] - 1:6
Can!'s [3] - 57:7, 71:4, 120:19
cancel [1] - 137:11
cancelled [1] - 139:3
cannot [4] - 10:10, 134:22, 151:19, 211:11
capacity [1] - 128:4
capture [2] - 165:1, 165:13
captures [2] - 162:21, 165:12
car [40] - 29:18, 31:1, 32:24, 47:9, 48:13, 48:14, 49:12, 49:15, 59:2, 59:6, 59:14, 75:16, 80:20, 92:1, 92:6, 97:24, 98:5, 98:10, 98:12, 98:18, 99:2, 121:6, 121:15, 121:16, 121:19, 128:2, 128:7, 128:8, 131:6, 131:23, 132:2, 134:22, 138:25, 139:19, 144:24, 148:17, 149:10, 208:12, 208:13
car's [1] - 132:2
CARFORKIDS [1] - 34:19
Carnegie [1] - 214:15
cars [21] - 25:1, 27:24, 28:15, 28:18, 67:16, 77:18, 78:19, 78:23, 79:16, 132:6, 132:17, 137:25, 138:3, 138:4, 138:5, 139:17, 144:25, 145:2, 145:6, 149:1, 189:19
Cars [90] - 5:8, 8:4, 10:19, 10:25, 11:19, 11:21, 12:3, 12:7, 12:12, 13:1, 13:4, 13:8, 13:20, 20:16, 25:15, 25:21, 25:22, 26:9, 26:20, 28:19, 29:12, 29:13, 29:24, 30:8, 30:21, 30:24, 31:2, 31:6, 31:13, 31:19, 32:15, 33:4, 33:20, 34:6, 34:25, 36:8, 36:9, 36:13, 36:21, 37:11, 38:16, 39:5, 44:1, 45:8, 47:19, 49:20, 49:23, 50:9, 50:21, 51:9, 51:16, 52:5, 53:11, 53:15, 57:2, 57:7, 57:10, 57:11, 57:22, 57:24, 58:24, 59:13, 59:18, 63:7, 63:21, 66:15, 67:3, 67:20, 69:17, 70:4, 70:6, 70:13, 70:24, 71:5, 71:12, 72:1, 72:6, 72:8, 72:13, 76:17, 117:21, 121:2, 149:9, 151:3, 189:9, 189:15, 208:11, 211:24, 212:5, 212:18
CARSFORKIDS [11] - 33:8, 34:4, 49:24, 130:3, 130:5, 130:9, 130:19, 131:1, 131:3, 131:10, 145:11
CarsForKids [1] - 130:19
CarsForKids.com [9] - 33:3, 33:9, 33:21, 34:2, 70:24, 73:16, 130:9, 211:24, 212:24
case [84] - 5:16, 6:12, 6:14, 8:3, 9:2, 55:5, 55:9, 55:19, 56:6, 62:13, 65:19, 66:8, 67:14, 74:8, 74:15, 75:7, 75:15, 75:25, 81:20, 82:22, 83:8, 83:16, 84:3, 90:17, 90:19, 90:20, 90:22, 91:10, 92:1, 104:16, 105:21, 106:23, 107:9, 107:15, 107:17, 110:14, 110:15, 115:16, 117:8, 117:13, 119:21, 122:11, 122:13, 125:16, 128:12, 129:11, 155:19, 160:18, 161:7, 161:10, 166:4, 170:22, 170:23, 174:25, 183:23, 186:22, 194:13, 194:14, 194:18, 194:24, 195:5, 201:9, 201:18, 202:4, 205:17, 206:1, 206:2, 206:7, 209:2, 209:5, 213:7, 213:8, 213:9, 214:15, 214:17, 214:19, 214:24, 215:6, 215:7, 215:10
cases [18] - 82:20, 83:16, 99:21, 101:11, 104:4, 104:7, 104:9, 104:12, 104:15, 110:6, 157:20, 192:23, 193:1, 195:18, 210:22, 213:2, 214:14, 214:15
catch [1] - 43:13
catchall [1] - 97:19
categories [18] - 5:24, 69:1, 80:4, 91:23, 92:3, 97:15, 98:7, 109:25, 112:20, 123:11, 140:6, 156:21, 172:23, 179:21, 180:9, 182:11, 182:12, 201:8
categorization [1] - 180:20
categorize [1] - 182:23
categorizes [1] - 183:7
category [14] - 82:25, 86:21, 92:6, 97:18, 113:6, 135:17, 157:21, 176:7, 178:3, 182:9, 182:22, 183:1,

196:9, 198:19
caused [5] - 117:22, 118:16, 162:2, 191:12, 191:13
caveat [1] - 114:6
CBS [1] - 141:12
cease [16] - 37:18, 39:17, 42:23, 42:24, 43:10, 43:16, 44:6, 44:9, 44:11, 52:9, 59:4, 209:25, 211:10, 211:23, 213:5, 213:9
ceased [2] - 70:23, 74:2
ceases [1] - 213:10
cellphone [3] - 16:4, 20:24, 21:9
center [6] - 21:18, 21:20, 42:11, 77:21, 134:14, 138:16
centers [1] - 171:7
central [5] - 168:15, 170:4, 180:8, 183:14, 205:6
CEO [1] - 94:9
certain [11] - 5:15, 60:17, 83:21, 92:21, 98:7, 105:1, 109:24, 204:17, 204:23, 204:25, 212:22
Certainly [1] - 83:3
certainly [10] - 41:6, 109:9, 162:22, 175:10, 180:15, 190:15, 191:7, 199:25, 206:2, 215:4
certainty [2] - 74:16, 101:7
certify [1] - 218:13
cetera [2] - 209:1
CFO [2] - 26:4
challenged [1] - 52:25
chambers [2] - 5:7, 126:10
chance [2] - 59:24, 138:15
change [4] - 30:18, 31:5, 72:23, 114:12
channel [2] - 82:19
channeled [1] - 90:16
channels [1] - 84:7
characteristics [1] - 123:13
Charge [1] - 77:13
charge [1] - 41:17
charged [2] - 41:13, 77:9
charitable [14] - 67:21, 90:16, 91:22, 91:24, 97:16, 97:22, 105:4, 123:3, 161:23, 162:3, 179:5, 179:6, 183:15, 202:6
charities [3] - 163:4, 163:6, 163:12
charity [5] - 105:8, 161:21, 162:12, 163:1, 165:8
chart [21] - 67:4, 69:11, 69:22, 69:25, 70:15, 70:17, 71:7, 71:16, 71:17, 71:18, 72:1, 72:19, 72:20, 72:22, 78:8, 160:11, 173:1, 191:16, 191:19, 210:17, 210:18
Chart [2] - 70:16, 72:5
charts [5] - 59:3, 69:18, 69:21, 69:22, 72:19
chase [1] - 98:20
chat [1] - 146:5
checked [1] - 177:24
checking [1] - 119:15
Chevy [3] - 47:9, 47:10, 47:11
Chicago [1] - 131:6
chief [10] - 11:18, 40:10, 41:12, 41:15, 42:5, 45:3, 66:15, 127:21, 127:23, 158:15
Children's [1] - 131:5
choose [1] - 161:20
Chris [1] - 139:11
CHRISTOPHER [1] - 2:9
Chronicle [1] - 210:24
Cindy [2] - 54:24, 54:25
Cir [1] - 9:24
circle [1] - 108:13
circuit [4] - 202:23, 203:2, 214:14, 214:21
Circuit [6] - 6:13, 202:22, 202:25, 207:3, 214:18, 214:20
circumstances [6] - 74:14, 110:5, 163:23, 170:5, 201:21, 202:7
cite [3] - 195:10, 196:13, 214:14
cited [1] - 193:3
cites [3] - 105:6, 105:9, 105:12
cities [1] - 28:1
citing [1] - 104:23
CIVIL [1] - 1:5
claim [5] - 99:17, 207:4, 209:14, 211:20, 213:6
claimed [2] - 53:3, 83:17
claiming [1] - 50:8
claims [2] - 44:20, 45:5
clarification [1] - 62:10
clarify [5] - 17:6, 30:20, 60:1, 61:22, 177:8
CLARKSON [1] - 1:10
classic [1] - 22:2
classically [1] - 83:8
clear [18] - 7:23, 57:24, 61:18, 80:21, 100:22, 104:12, 105:18, 109:18, 112:12, 113:16, 119:3, 167:21, 174:6, 188:24, 190:7, 193:5, 196:16, 216:7
clearly [3] - 89:25, 99:1, 206:21
CLERK [3] - 11:6, 63:25, 127:13
click [7] - 28:21, 74:3, 142:3, 142:4, 142:20, 143:14, 148:4
click-through [2] - 142:3, 142:4
clicks [3] - 139:24, 140:1, 142:19
client [19] - 47:16, 48:24, 49:8, 51:5, 51:7, 51:12, 51:19, 52:5, 52:6, 52:19, 52:25, 149:8, 207:11, 208:1, 208:8, 208:9, 209:3, 209:4, 214:1
client's [19] - 43:25, 46:22, 46:25, 47:17, 47:24, 48:9, 48:21, 50:14, 53:14, 53:15, 54:9, 54:14, 186:10, 207:9, 207:10, 207:14, 207:23, 208:25, 213:25
close [4] - 138:5, 188:7, 203:24, 215:6
closing [4] - 126:12, 126:14, 126:16, 126:25
clothing [1] - 25:25
code [2] - 137:20, 148:9
codes [1] - 150:23
coexisted [1] - 131:8
coexisting [3] - 131:10, 208:11, 208:14
colleague [1] - 63:16
colleagues [1] - 46:24
collect [4] - 82:18, 128:15, 128:20, 129:4
collected [3] - 128:22, 129:5, 129:8
collection [2] - 77:10, 128:11
Colorado [1] - 132:2
column [11] - 98:3, 98:5, 100:2, 112:24, 160:6, 167:20, 168:9, 182:12, 183:4, 183:6
columns [1] - 182:13
combination [1] - 201:16
combined [2] - 99:11, 118:4
Combining [1] - 204:7
comfort [1] - 111:8
coming [8] - 21:18, 26:17, 49:16, 124:16, 142:11, 142:17, 206:14, 217:19
commercial [2] - 123:15, 147:20
common [39] - 80:18, 81:2, 81:3, 91:15, 91:18, 96:12, 96:19, 97:4, 97:7, 98:20, 99:8, 100:18, 108:3, 108:21, 111:25, 112:3, 112:8, 112:9, 112:14, 112:22, 112:25, 113:2, 163:9, 172:17, 172:19, 178:13, 178:14, 179:1, 179:4, 179:9, 179:13, 179:21, 185:1, 188:15, 189:5, 196:9, 198:18, 200:2, 201:25
commonly [1] - 170:9
communication [2] - 21:2, 23:3
community [1] - 26:1
companies [4] - 65:23, 82:17, 91:11, 171:5
companies' [1] - 67:9
company [34] - 37:10, 40:13, 40:16, 41:2, 41:5, 41:7, 41:14, 52:8, 52:10, 64:18, 67:11, 77:22, 80:17, 82:18, 88:15, 90:15, 90:17, 93:5, 98:8, 112:16, 114:5, 114:7, 122:17, 122:18, 122:20, 122:25, 123:4, 123:6, 123:14, 130:25, 153:7, 157:2, 171:15, 183:9
company's [8] - 56:15, 109:4, 109:8, 112:17, 156:23, 157:12, 157:18, 170:9
comparative [1] - 31:15
compare [2] - 69:16, 71:21
compared [6] - 71:13, 74:17, 85:22, 165:5, 166:3, 201:22
comparison [3] - 31:15, 70:1, 183:14
compensating [1] - 10:1
compensation [2] - 165:10, 180:2
compensatory [1] - 6:4
compete [2] - 10:5, 10:12
competed [3] - 65:24, 67:15, 67:24
competition [1] - 10:3
Competition [1] - 10:4
competitors [1] - 67:14
compiled [1] - 31:18
complete [3] - 20:19, 37:23, 102:12
complied [1] - 35:9
component [3] - 83:9, 83:11
computation [1] - 80:1
computer [4] - 19:11, 24:25, 58:10, 146:13
concept [1] - 81:16
conceptual [1] - 69:14
concern [1] - 13:1
conclude [1] - 163:20

concluded [2] - 166:19, 218:9
conclusion [5] - 69:12, 103:19, 184:16, 184:19, 184:25
conclusions [2] - 216:8, 216:11
concurrently [2] - 73:1, 105:16
conditioning [1] - 93:25
conditions [1] - 65:25
conduct [1] - 38:13
conducted [1] - 122:16
Conference [1] - 26:19
confirm [1] - 177:22
confused [1] - 12:17
confusion [6] - 12:20, 13:2, 29:8, 121:12
connection [1] - 129:11
conservative [1] - 168:18
consider [10] - 67:10, 89:22, 109:4, 111:12, 111:20, 117:3, 119:9, 156:13, 175:2, 195:22
consideration [1] - 45:16
considered [3] - 156:14, 156:20, 213:15
considering [2] - 67:23, 166:13
consistent [2] - 71:6, 81:11
consistently [1] - 33:16
construction [1] - 73:18
consultant [1] - 155:4
Consulting [2] - 64:12, 64:13
consulting [3] - 55:3, 64:14, 64:17
consults [1] - 64:14
contact [10] - 42:19, 42:22, 44:12, 82:16, 86:17, 192:14, 193:22, 193:23, 196:2, 196:6
contacted [4] - 20:16, 82:16, 86:18, 193:16
contacting [2] - 77:24, 138:9
contain [1] - 98:6
contains [1] - 180:1
contemporaneous [1] - 157:2
contemporaneously [1] - 157:16
context [3] - 122:6, 123:24, 124:5
continually [1] - 26:16
continue [14] - 12:15, 12:16, 13:2, 13:3, 14:3, 26:5, 26:11, 26:21, 28:16, 28:18, 33:12, 148:10, 169:23, 208:1
continued [8] - 12:24, 25:14, 28:4, 29:8, 72:16, 92:20, 145:18, 212:4
continuing [1] - 19:22
contribute [8] - 92:11, 97:24, 98:22, 105:15, 105:17, 105:18, 191:10, 192:4
contributed [5] - 80:19, 92:6, 93:19, 104:21, 105:13
contributes [1] - 110:12
contribution [5] - 93:4, 93:10, 93:12, 94:12, 108:3
Convenience [1] - 162:11
convenience [3] - 105:10, 162:24, 163:15
conversation [1] - 5:7
conversations [3] - 129:10, 129:13, 129:14
Cook [52] - 31:9, 32:12, 34:23, 34:24, 36:3, 36:5, 63:8, 63:22, 63:23, 64:1, 64:3, 64:9, 64:11, 65:19, 68:12, 68:23, 69:6, 69:11, 73:9, 82:24, 96:11, 99:8, 101:5, 101:20, 107:14, 107:16, 114:16, 124:12, 124:16, 132:21, 152:16, 155:14, 160:8, 165:23, 166:25, 167:1, 170:12, 171:20, 172:11, 173:22, 177:5, 180:16, 182:10, 183:8, 187:16, 187:17, 187:19, 188:16, 190:23, 192:22, 192:24, 202:10
COOK [8] - 3:7, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 63:24, 64:8, 101:19, 122:1
Cook's [8] - 64:22, 65:2, 152:3, 156:9, 177:13, 184:11, 191:21, 215:14
Copart [10] - 77:24, 78:3, 78:12, 78:15, 79:13, 128:22, 128:23, 128:24, 129:4, 129:8
copies [1] - 186:1
copy [5] - 23:23, 27:3, 149:20, 149:21, 185:23
copying [2] - 214:24, 215:1
corporate [1] - 11:20
correct [203] - 6:24, 7:4, 7:15, 28:1, 46:16, 47:12, 47:18, 47:25, 48:5, 48:22, 49:1, 49:2, 49:5, 49:8, 49:21, 49:24, 50:18, 50:21, 51:5, 52:19, 53:5, 53:12, 54:6, 54:20, 54:22, 55:2, 55:5, 55:16, 55:22, 55:25, 56:3, 56:6, 57:22, 62:14, 75:16, 75:17, 78:25, 79:9, 79:18, 80:23, 80:25, 85:18, 86:5, 86:24, 87:13, 88:4, 88:18, 90:24, 101:23, 101:25, 102:3, 102:4, 102:7, 102:10, 102:11, 102:14, 102:17, 102:25, 103:5, 103:6, 103:10, 103:17, 104:10, 104:13, 104:19, 104:22, 105:8, 105:11, 105:21, 106:5, 106:10, 106:16, 107:4, 107:10, 107:17, 107:18, 107:25, 108:7, 108:18, 108:25, 109:6, 109:13, 110:4, 110:17, 110:22, 111:5, 111:18, 111:22, 112:1, 112:4, 112:14, 113:14, 113:18, 113:19, 113:25, 114:3, 114:10, 114:25, 115:9, 115:10, 115:12, 115:17, 115:22, 116:13, 116:22, 117:1, 117:10, 117:19, 118:13, 118:25, 119:5, 119:10, 119:14, 119:15, 119:24, 120:3, 120:4, 120:13, 120:16, 121:7, 121:18, 129:23, 129:25, 130:1, 131:12, 131:18, 135:25, 136:1, 136:10, 138:13, 140:19, 140:20, 140:22, 141:3, 141:21, 141:23, 142:25, 143:3, 143:12, 143:15, 143:19, 143:22, 144:4, 144:11, 144:15, 144:20, 145:16, 145:17, 145:19, 145:22, 148:2, 149:11, 149:16, 150:5, 151:3, 151:7, 151:12, 151:14, 151:19, 152:18, 153:7, 153:8, 154:2, 160:9, 160:20, 167:1, 167:4, 172:24, 186:8, 186:12, 186:16, 186:17, 186:21, 187:19, 188:1, 189:3, 189:11, 189:20, 189:21, 190:6, 191:11, 192:4, 192:7, 192:19, 192:21, 194:1, 194:5, 194:8, 195:22, 196:11, 196:23, 199:16, 199:17, 199:22, 200:6, 200:25, 201:1, 201:10, 203:5, 204:18, 205:1, 206:6, 218:13
Correct [22] - 7:10, 13:15, 22:21, 25:2, 30:22, 48:23, 52:17, 53:13, 54:7, 56:1, 59:19, 82:11, 100:25, 102:20, 104:11, 116:10, 144:5, 149:17, 169:20, 186:13, 187:20, 195:18
correcting [1] - 7:11
corrective [8] - 6:1, 6:6, 6:17, 6:25, 7:22, 8:4, 8:7, 9:11
correctly [3] - 77:15, 98:2, 206:21
correlation [1] - 121:3
cost [23] - 7:25, 78:6, 81:6, 81:9, 83:6, 83:10, 83:12, 83:24, 90:8, 94:15, 165:9, 165:20, 170:18, 170:19, 175:9, 179:6, 180:25, 181:7, 181:8, 193:2, 193:10, 195:20, 201:15
cost-based [8] - 81:6, 81:9, 83:10, 83:24, 165:20, 193:2, 193:10, 195:20
costs [31] - 45:12, 75:1, 75:14, 76:15, 78:5, 83:4, 88:16, 93:6, 93:8, 93:13, 94:1, 94:2, 94:8, 94:13, 112:7, 122:8, 164:4, 165:5, 165:6, 166:3, 168:19, 170:3, 171:4, 171:10, 172:17, 172:19, 181:3, 185:1, 190:16, 191:8
Counsel [3] - 5:2, 218:3, 218:8
counsel [8] - 27:8, 56:2, 56:5, 56:6, 129:9, 158:16, 160:17, 174:22
count [2] - 64:19, 76:13
counterfeiting [2] - 214:23, 215:7
countersued [1] - 208:19
country [3] - 77:25, 195:12, 202:10
couple [7] - 46:2, 72:17, 122:2, 124:9, 205:16, 205:19, 207:21
coupled [1] - 161:17
course [20] - 14:6, 14:21, 31:18, 34:23, 35:10, 40:9, 40:10,

54:20, 56:2, 56:8,
67:19, 128:18,
133:18, 157:17,
164:21, 165:8,
168:15, 170:9,
181:13, 209:3
court [4] - 103:22,
172:3, 205:25
Court [68] - 8:11, 8:20,
8:23, 9:5, 16:23,
18:23, 21:23, 23:7,
25:5, 26:7, 33:21,
34:11, 44:22, 45:11,
45:14, 45:15, 45:22,
45:24, 52:4, 61:16,
68:23, 76:4, 77:4,
79:1, 100:18, 101:6,
101:17, 126:11,
147:8, 148:22,
148:25, 155:2,
158:20, 167:25,
168:1, 169:6, 186:1,
186:25, 187:7,
187:12, 187:13,
188:12, 188:24,
189:10, 189:15,
189:24, 193:7,
193:24, 195:3,
195:21, 195:24,
195:25, 197:9,
198:3, 198:5,
198:11, 198:15,
198:20, 199:9,
199:12, 201:3,
209:21, 212:1,
212:15, 212:16,
218:19
COURT [284] - 1:1,
1:15, 5:1, 5:3, 5:6,
5:13, 5:17, 6:21,
6:24, 7:5, 7:9, 7:11,
7:13, 7:16, 7:19, 9:1,
9:9, 9:18, 11:2, 11:3,
11:8, 11:13, 13:9,
13:14, 13:16, 13:22,
13:25, 14:3, 14:18,
15:9, 15:17, 16:9,
16:11, 17:17, 17:20,
18:15, 19:15, 19:17,
20:3, 20:5, 20:12,
20:19, 21:1, 21:12,
21:24, 22:5, 22:7,
22:14, 22:17, 22:22,
23:12, 23:14, 23:20,
24:12, 25:11, 26:24,
27:1, 27:5, 30:17,
30:20, 32:8, 33:24,
34:14, 34:17, 34:20,
35:24, 36:25, 37:3,
37:22, 39:8, 39:11,
39:16, 39:19, 39:24,
40:3, 40:5, 40:22,
40:24, 41:8, 43:1,
43:13, 45:19, 46:1,
46:5, 46:9, 51:24,
56:21, 59:22, 60:8,
60:12, 61:2, 61:5,
61:13, 61:22, 62:9,
63:2, 63:6, 63:9,
63:13, 63:17, 63:19,
63:23, 64:2, 64:5,
64:21, 65:1, 65:5,
65:8, 65:12, 65:15,
68:11, 69:3, 69:6,
73:9, 73:12, 73:22,
74:5, 76:19, 76:21,
77:12, 78:8, 78:17,
79:8, 82:24, 83:4,
83:14, 84:2, 84:13,
84:22, 85:7, 85:14,
87:15, 87:23, 88:23,
89:2, 89:5, 89:10,
93:8, 93:21, 93:25,
94:13, 95:18, 95:21,
95:25, 96:10, 96:18,
97:3, 97:7, 97:11,
99:6, 100:9, 100:11,
101:14, 101:16,
101:18, 103:20,
104:3, 113:9,
121:24, 124:11,
124:20, 124:23,
124:25, 125:5,
125:9, 125:14,
125:20, 125:23,
126:2, 126:4, 126:8,
126:13, 126:16,
126:19, 127:1,
127:7, 127:9,
127:15, 133:22,
133:24, 137:12,
137:16, 139:7,
140:13, 140:16,
149:3, 149:22,
150:15, 150:18,
152:14, 152:23,
153:2, 153:14,
153:17, 153:21,
153:24, 154:9,
154:12, 154:18,
154:20, 154:23,
155:16, 155:18,
156:2, 156:4,
159:11, 159:16,
162:23, 166:15,
166:17, 166:24,
167:1, 168:4, 169:2,
169:7, 169:14,
169:18, 169:23,
173:9, 173:11,
176:21, 178:19,
178:21, 179:20,
180:11, 180:16,
181:2, 181:6,
181:16, 183:24,
184:4, 185:7,
185:10, 185:12,
185:18, 185:20,
186:2, 191:15,
191:18, 191:23,
191:25, 197:15,
197:17, 197:19,
197:23, 200:7,
200:11, 203:21,
203:25, 206:9,
206:11, 206:14,
206:16, 206:19,
206:24, 207:1,
207:18, 209:9,
209:11, 210:3,
213:4, 213:17,
213:20, 214:5,
214:10, 215:8,
215:10, 215:24,
216:2, 216:4,
216:10, 216:13,
216:15, 216:17,
216:21, 217:1,
217:3, 217:6,
217:10, 217:19,
217:22, 217:25,
218:4, 218:7
Court's [2] - 75:21,
168:3
COURTHOUSE [1] -
1:10
courts [8] - 103:16,
103:25, 104:1,
202:13, 203:16,
206:3, 211:18,
211:19
Courts [1] - 202:15
covered [1] - 194:9
covers [1] - 201:25
created [2] - 111:5,
111:7
creating [1] - 209:4
credentials [2] - 65:6,
155:15
criteria [1] - 47:7
CROSS [8] - 3:4, 3:8,
3:10, 3:13, 46:10,
101:19, 139:8, 186:5
cross [6] - 63:19,
124:12, 125:6,
126:4, 152:3, 154:14
Cross [1] - 139:7
cross-examination [1]
- 152:3
CROSS-
EXAMINATION [8] -
3:4, 3:8, 3:10, 3:13,
46:10, 101:19,
139:8, 186:5
crossing [1] - 150:18
current [2] - 12:1,
183:4
customer [7] - 112:3,
113:13, 113:17,
113:20, 132:16,
137:4, 137:7
customers [4] - 88:11,
106:17, 174:9, 175:1
cut [1] - 98:19
cycle [1] - 148:6

D

daily [1] - 128:1
Dallas [6] - 26:3, 28:2,
30:10, 95:1, 102:25,
210:23
damage [4] - 65:22,
99:16, 117:16, 190:9
damaged [3] - 8:6,
208:4, 208:5
damages [46] - 6:4,
6:13, 7:1, 7:23, 7:24,
8:2, 8:4, 9:22, 10:6,
10:10, 10:13, 10:21,
25:6, 25:7, 65:22,
66:3, 68:20, 69:2,
69:8, 73:7, 74:7,
74:8, 74:19, 75:3,
93:16, 101:10,
117:12, 120:17,
156:1, 156:22,
157:11, 157:22,
161:4, 165:16,
166:8, 170:21,
174:12, 191:5,
195:9, 209:1, 209:5,
210:15, 216:6,
216:25, 217:1
DAMAGES [1] - 1:19
data [26] - 69:12,
69:16, 82:18,
104:15, 118:10,
118:21, 119:1,
139:16, 139:22,
140:22, 141:2,
141:8, 141:19,
142:10, 142:16,
143:5, 143:7,
143:12, 144:9,
144:14, 145:6,
166:23, 186:17,
186:24, 186:25,
187:4
Date [1] - 218:19
date [6] - 14:23, 37:5,
45:25, 57:15, 57:16,
210:8
dated [2] - 102:2,
159:5
dates [1] - 60:4
DAVID [7] - 2:6, 3:11,
3:12, 3:13, 154:19,
155:1, 186:5
David [5] - 63:16,
129:11, 154:16,
154:22, 155:3
days [4] - 14:21,
57:12, 150:8, 217:8
DBA [1] - 43:18
deal [2] - 161:25,
210:5
debatable [1] - 114:4
debt [2] - 98:25, 99:2
Debt [1] - 198:25
debts [1] - 199:2
decade [1] - 151:25
December [3] - 217:7,
217:8
decide [3] - 40:6, 46:4,
163:1
decided [7] - 6:19,
9:6, 131:21, 132:13,
137:14, 158:21,
170:6
decides [1] - 8:11
deciding [3] - 162:20,
166:13, 180:22
decision [3] - 9:1, 9:5,
9:7
decline [2] - 71:25,
72:17
declined [1] - 70:7
declining [2] - 71:7,
72:2
decrease [1] - 116:16
deduct [22] - 8:22,
86:22, 87:5, 99:15,
113:24, 114:9,
115:8, 123:5,
174:16, 175:11,
175:25, 176:4,
176:9, 188:25,
189:10, 195:21,
203:12, 204:22,
205:17, 205:25,
206:3
deducted [19] - 90:18,
90:23, 91:9, 94:10,
98:4, 111:25, 112:6,
114:13, 170:16,
170:20, 172:23,
173:18, 173:25,
176:25, 179:10,
179:12, 196:19,
196:23, 206:4
deductibility [6] -

95:14, 110:4,
110:16, 162:6,
162:23, 163:15
deductible [5] - 80:17,
98:6, 107:20, 175:3,
191:8
deducting [6] - 80:17,
87:8, 99:11, 101:1,
115:11, 190:16
deduction [15] - 59:6,
79:6, 80:9, 103:17,
104:2, 113:17,
162:9, 170:3, 173:5,
188:11, 188:16,
189:5, 201:3, 203:4,
204:3
deductions [11] -
74:25, 75:1, 79:10,
87:3, 87:21, 90:5,
96:17, 99:4, 100:3,
203:8, 203:16
deducts [2] - 78:24,
87:3
defeat [2] - 211:20,
214:21
defend [1] - 26:18
defendant [8] - 9:21,
74:24, 75:13, 83:17,
96:14, 96:16,
196:13, 207:5
DEFENDANT [4] - 1:7,
2:10, 2:12, 2:15
defendant's [17] -
7:24, 8:8, 8:10, 10:6,
10:7, 74:12, 74:24,
75:12, 93:16, 96:15,
99:21, 102:13,
120:18, 158:20,
170:8, 205:18, 206:1
defendant/plaintiff [1]
- 39:2
defending [1] - 44:20
Defense [24] - 4:3, 4:4,
4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8,
4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12,
4:13, 4:14, 15:18,
16:12, 17:21, 19:18,
23:15, 24:13, 32:9,
35:25, 37:1, 65:16,
76:22, 100:12
defense [3] - 45:5,
212:15, 214:7
deference [1] - 131:21
define [3] - 73:12,
78:9, 94:13
defined [1] - 64:25
defines [1] - 89:18
definitely [1] - 85:5
definition [2] - 91:17,
217:15
definitions [1] -
217:11
degree [2] - 74:16,
101:7
delay [2] - 207:4,
207:6
Deleon [2] - 55:21,
55:24
Deleon's [1] - 55:18
demographic [1] -
68:6
demonstrate [4] -
69:18, 98:21, 190:5,
190:12
demonstrated [2] -
94:22, 105:24
demonstrates [2] -
99:14, 121:4
demonstrative [6] -
159:22, 164:7,
164:8, 167:8,
169:15, 184:3
Denied [1] - 103:20
denominator [6] -
106:15, 164:19,
164:20, 164:23,
166:21, 168:14
deny [2] - 9:14, 84:11
denying [1] - 211:19
departure [3] - 71:11,
73:6, 119:7
depict [1] - 164:8
deposition [14] - 66:6,
108:9, 109:20,
190:3, 190:8,
190:11, 190:19,
193:12, 193:16,
194:20, 195:7,
196:10, 199:20,
203:15
depositions [4] - 56:9,
56:11, 56:12, 56:17
depreciation [1] - 94:5
depth [1] - 33:25
DEPUTY [3] - 11:6,
63:25, 127:13
derive [1] - 85:8
derived [1] - 77:5
describe [5] - 110:8,
132:15, 164:4,
173:1, 184:16
described [2] -
163:23, 201:14
descriptive [1] -
208:15
desire [1] - 105:4
desist [16] - 37:18,
39:17, 42:23, 42:24,
43:10, 43:16, 44:6,
44:9, 44:12, 52:9,
59:4, 209:25,
211:10, 211:23,
213:6, 213:10
desktop [1] - 19:6
despite [1] - 189:14
detail [4] - 110:3,
110:7, 110:16, 182:4
detailed [3] - 108:1,
109:10, 110:11
deter [1] - 9:23
determination [1] -
215:5
determine [25] -
37:11, 65:21, 66:3,
74:20, 75:4, 75:15,
83:18, 84:3, 86:25,
96:12, 110:12,
123:22, 141:14,
156:23, 157:12,
157:23, 174:13,
175:2, 175:14,
177:6, 177:18,
192:15, 193:6,
196:4, 200:3
determined [2] -
74:16, 102:6
determines [1] - 87:8
determining [6] -
66:23, 67:10, 90:19,
91:9, 93:15, 97:1
device [3] - 83:9,
83:12, 83:13
DFW [1] - 16:22
difference [10] - 34:5,
100:18, 100:19,
113:23, 177:12,
177:17, 185:1,
185:4, 188:6, 191:21
differences [2] -
187:13, 191:20
different [24] - 19:8,
24:22, 31:6, 31:16,
47:7, 54:19, 81:21,
82:13, 89:21, 89:25,
90:3, 90:4, 91:23,
135:16, 143:9,
143:24, 157:20,
160:12, 179:21,
183:12, 201:21,
214:25, 215:1
differential [1] -
184:24
differentiating [1] -
87:1
difficult [2] - 74:17,
162:12
Digest [2] - 207:13,
214:3
digital [2] - 24:5,
24:17
dinner [2] - 103:4,
103:7
DIRECT [8] - 3:3, 3:7,
3:10, 3:12, 11:15,
64:8, 127:18, 155:1
direct [22] - 43:9,
46:14, 67:13,
101:22, 103:9,
106:6, 107:14,
107:16, 108:2,
111:24, 113:4,
113:5, 115:8,
120:25, 124:12,
124:14, 125:18,
125:19, 173:15,
212:17
directed [1] - 43:10
direction [1] - 147:7
directly [7] - 10:12,
31:8, 32:21, 85:10,
110:13, 114:12,
192:6
director [2] - 20:1,
155:3
director's [1] - 21:9
director/consultant
[1] - 64:12
directors [1] - 20:23
disaggregate [1] -
119:6
disagree [9] - 7:16,
80:12, 80:20, 89:17,
94:18, 96:25, 99:9,
106:24, 112:6
disagreement [2] -
79:25, 91:15
disagrees [1] - 188:16
disappeared [1] -
211:18
disclaimer [1] - 147:5
disclosed [1] - 6:15
disclosure [1] - 6:10
discovered [3] -
59:20, 60:3, 62:6
discovery [1] - 75:6
discretionary [4] -
115:18, 205:4,
205:7, 205:10
discuss [4] - 44:12,
129:15, 130:25,
213:3
discussed [12] - 24:7,
44:13, 73:10, 98:16,
104:20, 105:3,
114:8, 129:16,
138:12, 167:14,
172:17, 173:4
discusses [1] - 165:19
discussing [3] -
69:11, 129:18,
210:18
discussion [3] - 36:5,
98:21, 164:12
discussions [1] -
66:14
disgorgeable [4] -
117:9, 119:10,
119:13, 120:2
disgorged [1] - 189:9
disgorgement [19] -
7:3, 7:14, 7:21, 8:2,
8:8, 8:14, 8:16, 8:18,
8:24, 9:2, 10:22,
102:10, 117:13,
156:11, 159:6,
171:18, 194:14,
208:6, 208:25
Disgorgement [2] -
7:8, 7:9
disguises [1] - 93:11
dispensed [1] -
209:14
display [3] - 135:17,
135:19, 135:21
displays [1] - 149:5
dispute [11] - 79:22,
79:23, 80:6, 80:8,
81:18, 85:12,
109:12, 109:14,
109:18, 188:22,
205:21
disputes [1] - 64:16
distinct [1] - 171:18
distinctive [1] -
212:20
distributed [1] - 123:2
distributes [1] -
122:24
distributions [1] -
90:13
DISTRICT [4] - 1:1,
1:1, 1:15, 1:15
District [3] - 213:7,
213:8, 214:17
diversion [1] - 66:23
divert [1] - 48:25
diverted [2] - 10:14,
67:12
divided [1] - 182:10
dividends [2] - 90:13,
122:24
division [3] - 93:5,
171:15, 180:10
divisions [3] - 171:3,
171:7
doctrine [2] - 93:6,
207:3
document [50] - 15:8,
27:1, 31:25, 36:3,
49:5, 50:1, 50:11,

57:5, 57:6, 57:9,
60:11, 60:13, 62:20,
62:22, 76:1, 107:21,
109:5, 111:5, 111:7,
111:12, 111:13,
111:20, 111:21,
114:16, 114:23,
124:1, 124:2, 124:6,
124:7, 133:14,
138:12, 138:20,
140:2, 140:5,
142:18, 152:16,
152:25, 153:4,
153:13, 153:16,
158:1, 159:2,
159:13, 159:24,
178:7, 181:21,
207:19, 207:21,
211:2, 213:23
documentation [3] -
38:4, 111:10, 162:7
documented [1] - 37:7
documents [47] -
12:2, 13:9, 13:10,
13:11, 24:6, 31:17,
31:21, 31:24, 35:8,
35:19, 40:12, 40:15,
66:10, 75:7, 75:8,
75:9, 75:18, 76:3,
77:5, 77:6, 107:19,
108:24, 109:24,
110:25, 111:1,
111:4, 124:4,
128:12, 128:15,
156:16, 156:19,
156:21, 158:9,
174:2, 174:19,
175:14, 177:6,
177:18, 185:21,
185:22, 186:10,
215:16, 215:24,
217:20, 217:21,
218:1
Dodds [2] - 54:24,
54:25
dollar [10] - 87:10,
99:8, 99:10, 100:19,
163:24, 166:11,
176:3, 179:24,
187:22, 191:24
dollars [10] - 143:11,
152:7, 164:14,
164:17, 165:4,
178:3, 179:17,
180:4, 180:6, 199:7
Dolores [2] - 11:4,
217:25
domain [11] - 34:17,
34:18, 70:23, 71:10,
71:22, 72:2, 73:6,
73:10, 73:13, 130:2,
130:25
Donate [1] - 59:6
donate [23] - 29:17,
48:13, 49:12, 49:15,
59:2, 74:3, 82:2,
132:2, 134:15,
137:2, 137:18,
144:10, 146:3,
149:10, 149:15,
161:21, 161:23,
162:2, 162:17,
162:20, 166:13,
180:23, 181:14
donated [15] - 70:1,
82:13, 86:15, 88:12,
106:17, 106:18,
121:6, 121:16,
128:24, 129:1,
162:8, 189:20,
192:10, 193:7
donating [9] - 105:10,
136:20, 148:17,
149:11, 161:18,
162:24, 165:15,
192:15, 193:14
donation [52] - 31:1,
48:13, 50:20, 59:14,
75:24, 80:20, 82:6,
92:7, 97:24, 98:5,
98:10, 98:13, 98:18,
99:2, 105:7, 106:2,
115:21, 115:25,
116:3, 116:9, 120:9,
121:17, 128:2,
128:7, 128:8,
131:17, 132:4,
132:19, 133:10,
134:9, 138:10,
139:18, 139:19,
143:8, 143:15,
146:4, 148:8,
148:20, 148:23,
161:8, 161:13,
162:14, 163:4,
163:6, 163:21,
171:1, 179:5,
183:18, 186:15,
192:20, 198:13,
205:7
donations [101] - 18:2,
18:8, 19:23, 28:13,
30:8, 30:10, 30:25,
31:3, 31:15, 32:15,
33:12, 48:24, 48:25,
66:24, 67:2, 67:12,
67:25, 69:17, 70:4,
70:5, 70:6, 70:11,
70:25, 71:4, 71:5,
71:12, 72:4, 72:25,
75:9, 75:16, 79:3,
81:14, 82:5, 92:1,
97:17, 104:21,
105:14, 105:19,
113:12, 113:14,
113:22, 114:1,
117:19, 118:3,
118:8, 118:15,
118:24, 119:3,
120:21, 121:1,
123:9, 123:11,
129:22, 130:6,
130:10, 130:18,
131:6, 131:13,
131:19, 131:22,
131:23, 132:6,
132:9, 132:22,
133:2, 133:7, 134:6,
134:7, 134:17,
134:23, 136:6,
136:13, 136:16,
137:6, 139:4,
144:18, 145:6,
146:11, 152:17,
160:4, 161:16,
162:6, 162:15,
163:9, 166:11,
186:7, 186:11,
186:20, 187:1,
189:15, 191:10,
191:13, 192:4,
195:25, 199:22,
200:14, 200:17,
200:18, 205:12,
208:12, 208:13
done [28] - 11:23,
16:20, 25:1, 25:22,
48:13, 65:2, 81:11,
81:12, 82:15, 83:15,
84:7, 84:12, 86:14,
86:18, 104:14,
105:25, 107:15,
107:17, 111:11,
118:6, 126:18,
126:19, 136:9,
152:18, 158:5,
166:3, 177:17,
203:24
donor [32] - 76:2,
86:16, 86:17, 106:2,
120:24, 121:5,
121:16, 121:18,
132:1, 134:4, 134:5,
134:8, 134:11,
134:15, 134:16,
134:22, 137:1,
138:24, 139:3,
146:10, 147:23,
148:15, 148:17,
156:17, 181:14,
192:12, 192:13,
192:14, 193:7,
193:24
donor's [2] - 131:16,
132:3
donors [61] - 12:16,
28:20, 28:23, 29:9,
31:7, 33:12, 48:25,
68:7, 75:25, 82:2,
82:13, 82:16, 82:17,
86:14, 86:18,
106:10, 106:18,
113:13, 114:2,
116:13, 116:22,
118:12, 120:13,
122:9, 129:6,
130:19, 131:14,
131:19, 131:24,
132:7, 132:23,
133:9, 134:24,
136:6, 136:16,
136:20, 145:8,
149:1, 151:2, 159:7,
160:4, 161:23,
162:2, 162:7,
166:12, 169:25,
180:22, 186:15,
186:18, 186:21,
187:2, 187:4,
192:10, 192:19,
193:6, 193:14,
193:17, 193:22,
193:23, 196:1, 196:5
donors' [1] - 82:15
doors [1] - 54:1
dot [1] - 33:8
dotcom [15] - 30:7,
30:13, 31:6, 33:22,
34:4, 34:9, 34:11,
34:19, 70:24,
117:22, 130:3,
130:5, 130:9,
130:19, 145:11
dotnet [3] - 131:4,
131:11, 208:11
dotorg [5] - 31:7,
32:16, 34:7, 34:8,
131:1
double [1] - 10:18
doubled [1] - 71:14
doubt [3] - 50:5,
109:7, 149:13
down [31] - 18:15,
26:17, 32:24, 33:16,
33:17, 63:3, 73:2,
80:11, 84:20, 85:3,
94:5, 102:25,
114:19, 115:6,
117:21, 118:2,
118:7, 118:12,
118:20, 118:25,
124:17, 130:7,
154:10, 160:22,
168:17, 169:10,
175:21, 184:3,
191:15, 206:12,
215:13
dozen [1] - 180:14
dozens [1] - 28:1
drive [1] - 168:17
drives [2] - 161:15,
162:15
driveway [1] - 105:11
driving [1] - 32:23
due [3] - 167:5,
190:25, 217:7
during [15] - 11:3,
12:18, 13:9, 14:6,
14:10, 29:19, 34:23,
40:10, 117:10,
155:7, 155:25,
172:11, 181:19,
207:22, 210:2
During [2] - 40:9,
40:22
duties [1] - 45:3
dynamics [1] - 68:24

E

e-mail [2] - 83:22,
192:18
early [1] - 207:24
earned [7] - 75:16,
83:18, 114:20,
117:10, 189:14,
189:17, 189:19
easily [1] - 209:14
EAST [1] - 1:11
Eastern [1] - 213:7
easy [2] - 162:11,
162:12
economic [8] - 68:20,
93:2, 93:10, 93:15,
93:18, 94:12, 101:7,
121:13
economics [2] -
64:15, 101:10
economist [2] - 121:8,
121:20
Edition [2] - 10:4, 10:9
education [1] - 112:5
educational [1] -
200:4
effect [10] - 20:8, 26:7,
26:8, 54:14, 99:17,
115:11, 137:25,
167:15, 215:4
effort [2] - 166:1,
171:5

efforts [4] - 165:11, 165:14, 168:20, 179:5
egregious [1] - 215:3
EIN [1] - 57:14
either [11] - 5:24, 6:17, 15:6, 29:1, 47:23, 84:15, 113:5, 137:2, 147:12, 159:18, 196:13
either/or [1] - 8:13
element [3] - 172:18, 211:20, 212:1
elements [1] - 126:11
eliminate [1] - 213:6
empirical [1] - 121:1
employed [1] - 165:2
employees [13] - 12:1, 14:7, 40:12, 46:25, 47:24, 48:8, 48:21, 49:11, 64:20, 172:7, 199:18, 199:22
end [6] - 14:21, 29:11, 43:5, 125:13, 189:3, 210:9
ends [1] - 18:12
engage [1] - 123:16
engaged [2] - 104:9, 123:13
engines [2] - 14:8, 47:6
enhanced [1] - 209:1
enriched [1] - 9:21
entered [3] - 55:5, 148:21, 155:7
enterprises [1] - 123:15
entire [6] - 40:11, 61:19, 93:5, 96:22, 103:7, 112:19
entirety [1] - 176:4
entities [4] - 123:12, 161:14, 161:24, 205:21
entitled [4] - 7:2, 7:3, 208:6, 218:14
entity [3] - 93:12, 170:25, 202:5
entity's [2] - 157:7, 158:6
entries [2] - 175:19, 181:23
entry [1] - 68:24
environments [1] - 157:20
equitable [2] - 6:5, 212:15
equity [1] - 8:11
errors [1] - 109:21
Escort [1] - 138:23
especially [1] - 109:23
ESQUIRE [8] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:6, 2:6, 2:9, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14
essence [1] - 183:12
essential [1] - 183:19
essentially [2] - 101:1, 202:5
establish [3] - 25:22, 95:13, 110:16
established [2] - 179:6, 200:9
estate [4] - 98:13, 200:4, 200:15, 200:17
ESTI [8] - 3:9, 3:10, 3:10, 3:11, 127:12, 127:18, 139:8, 152:15
Esti [1] - 127:14
estimate [2] - 144:3, 144:14
estimated [1] - 176:8
estimating [3] - 143:9, 165:2, 179:7
et [2] - 209:1
evaluate [4] - 42:17, 143:18, 170:1, 184:11
event [6] - 69:15, 70:19, 70:21, 70:22, 71:2, 73:5
events [4] - 31:25, 32:2, 35:15, 35:18
everywhere [3] - 42:25, 43:1, 198:23
Everywhere [1] - 27:25
evidence [73] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 8:15, 9:13, 10:20, 12:19, 13:21, 15:2, 15:19, 16:13, 17:22, 19:19, 23:16, 24:14, 32:5, 32:10, 35:21, 36:1, 36:22, 37:2, 61:9, 61:16, 65:11, 65:17, 76:23, 82:11, 82:14, 100:7, 100:13, 121:10, 121:12, 133:21, 134:1, 155:7, 155:22, 159:10, 168:25, 169:4, 169:9, 173:8, 173:13, 176:19, 176:23, 178:18, 178:23, 181:19, 185:9, 185:14, 200:9, 206:22, 209:17, 209:23, 209:24, 210:2, 211:7, 215:12
evidences [1] - 207:20
evident [1] - 202:7
exact [2] - 151:23, 160:12
Exactly [1] - 34:8
exactly [3] - 120:7, 142:18, 165:21
EXAMINATION [24] - 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 3:10, 3:10, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 11:15, 46:10, 56:23, 62:12, 64:8, 101:19, 122:1, 127:18, 139:8, 152:15, 155:1, 186:5
examination [1] - 152:3
examine [1] - 153:22
example [3] - 47:22, 48:18, 84:9
examples [3] - 61:7, 165:20, 192:3
Excel [1] - 75:23
excellence [1] - 26:1
exception [1] - 100:4
excess [1] - 122:19
exchanged [1] - 5:20
exclude [6] - 21:23, 112:20, 114:7, 147:19, 147:20, 212:2
excluded [5] - 146:22, 147:2, 147:4, 168:12, 168:13
excluding [1] - 106:14
exclusions [2] - 146:16, 159:14
excuse [3] - 14:16, 107:15, 152:17
excused [4] - 63:5, 124:19, 154:11, 206:15
execute [4] - 168:21, 179:6, 181:4, 198:13
executed [1] - 158:22
executive [2] - 20:1, 21:9
exercise [1] - 99:3
exhibit [12] - 27:3, 35:9, 35:16, 43:13, 134:12, 137:23, 150:2, 173:8, 175:22, 176:19, 178:18, 217:24
Exhibit [101] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 13:8, 13:17, 13:21, 14:14, 14:23, 15:2, 15:15, 15:18, 16:12, 16:14, 16:17, 17:14, 17:21, 18:7, 18:10, 18:19, 18:23, 19:18, 21:5, 21:8, 21:13, 23:7, 23:11, 23:15, 23:18, 24:2, 24:3, 24:6, 24:10, 24:13, 27:9, 27:18, 28:6, 28:16, 29:14, 29:16, 31:13, 31:17, 32:3, 32:6, 32:9, 35:2, 35:4, 35:25, 36:6, 36:15, 37:1, 38:12, 43:6, 43:16, 43:20, 58:10, 59:3, 65:11, 65:16, 76:7, 76:18, 76:22, 76:25, 94:24, 99:24, 100:8, 100:12, 114:15, 123:21, 133:25, 142:22, 147:21, 155:7, 155:14, 155:21, 169:8, 173:12, 176:22, 178:22, 185:13, 188:1, 196:25, 207:16, 215:25
exhibits [1] - 215:12
EXHIBITS [1] - 4:1
exist [2] - 53:24, 118:10
exists [1] - 118:11
expect [1] - 69:2
expedite [1] - 125:18
expended [1] - 151:25
expenditures [7] - 156:24, 157:13, 157:23, 176:5, 177:7, 181:4, 182:24
expense [57] - 67:2, 80:15, 80:17, 85:2, 87:3, 87:8, 88:11, 89:19, 89:22, 89:24, 90:1, 90:2, 90:4, 90:7, 90:9, 92:4, 92:11, 94:10, 94:19, 95:3, 98:7, 98:8, 98:9, 98:22, 99:2, 99:19, 100:3, 108:3, 108:22, 110:12, 112:22, 112:25, 123:5, 123:6, 123:8, 168:16, 170:16, 174:3, 175:15, 176:7, 180:1, 182:25, 183:7, 183:16, 183:17, 183:19, 183:20, 183:21, 188:15, 189:5, 196:17, 196:19, 196:21, 196:23, 201:2, 204:25, 206:3
Expense [2] - 84:24, 198:25
expenses [131] - 8:21, 79:6, 79:17, 80:5, 80:7, 80:18, 81:2, 81:3, 84:18, 87:25, 89:16, 90:4, 90:15, 91:15, 91:18, 91:19, 91:22, 91:24, 91:25, 92:15, 92:21, 92:22, 92:23, 92:24, 94:14, 94:18, 94:25, 95:14, 96:7, 96:12, 96:17, 96:19, 97:2, 97:4, 97:7, 97:22, 98:5, 98:20, 98:25, 99:9, 99:19, 100:18, 107:20, 108:15, 108:20, 108:21, 109:5, 109:23, 110:18, 110:21, 111:9, 111:13, 111:17, 111:21, 111:25, 112:3, 112:8, 112:9, 112:14, 113:2, 114:2, 114:5, 114:9, 114:12, 114:18, 115:9, 115:11, 115:12, 115:15, 116:7, 122:23, 123:2, 128:16, 129:16, 129:19, 129:20, 141:18, 142:13, 144:1, 144:3, 157:10, 164:20, 164:21, 164:22, 165:12, 165:17, 172:23, 173:24, 175:11, 175:24, 177:19, 178:12, 178:13, 178:14, 179:1, 179:4, 179:9, 179:13, 179:21, 180:3, 180:5, 180:12, 180:17,

182:6, 182:9,
182:13, 182:14,
182:17, 182:18,
182:20, 182:22,
196:10, 196:11,
197:5, 197:6,
198:12, 198:19,
198:20, 200:2,
200:25, 201:2,
201:4, 201:5, 201:7,
204:7, 206:3, 216:21
Expenses [4] - 85:8,
142:14, 182:7, 198:8
experience [16] -
96:13, 96:14, 98:19,
103:16, 104:1,
156:22, 157:11,
157:22, 161:3,
161:17, 165:16,
165:23, 166:8,
170:21, 174:12,
175:8
experiences [1] - 74:8
expert [70] - 6:8, 8:18,
31:9, 34:23, 56:9,
64:23, 68:9, 68:10,
68:13, 68:17, 68:23,
69:1, 69:7, 74:8,
75:3, 76:11, 79:7,
79:20, 79:23, 80:1,
80:8, 88:19, 88:21,
90:25, 91:1, 91:3,
91:4, 91:8, 95:12,
95:24, 96:3, 96:6,
108:14, 117:12,
121:10, 121:20,
125:22, 129:10,
155:24, 156:4,
156:10, 156:22,
157:11, 157:22,
159:5, 159:18,
160:2, 165:16,
166:8, 167:21,
170:21, 174:12,
190:4, 190:12,
190:15, 190:24,
191:5, 192:6,
194:12, 194:21,
195:8, 196:11,
202:3, 202:12,
202:17, 202:24,
203:16, 205:22
experts [14] - 8:16,
33:23, 74:19, 92:25,
157:20, 190:17,
192:22, 195:2,
195:8, 195:12,
195:14, 202:9,
202:25, 203:1
explain [9] - 30:3,
67:7, 82:24, 83:1,
118:5, 157:5,
167:10, 178:25,
181:2
Explain [1] - 77:17
explained [1] - 122:7
explains [1] - 88:9
explanation [2] -
73:22, 73:25
extensive [2] - 140:2,
140:5
extents [1] - 123:17
extra [6] - 124:13,
132:13, 199:21,
199:22, 199:23
extract [3] - 175:17,
175:19, 177:20
extracts [1] - 156:18

F

F.3d [1] - 9:23
facilities [1] - 34:25
fact [28] - 5:22, 29:5,
53:2, 59:3, 67:23,
106:25, 121:9,
125:21, 125:22,
130:25, 136:9,
154:1, 163:9,
163:11, 180:3,
192:14, 193:12,
194:20, 196:4,
196:21, 199:15,
202:1, 202:17,
205:6, 207:23,
208:1, 208:18,
208:19
factor [9] - 68:1, 84:3,
87:1, 105:7, 105:9,
105:12, 161:22,
162:11, 162:15
factored [1] - 118:22
factors [41] - 67:10,
67:13, 67:23, 80:10,
88:6, 88:12, 89:1,
103:14, 104:20,
104:24, 105:1,
105:3, 105:6,
105:13, 105:15,
105:18, 118:1,
118:4, 161:18,
162:1, 162:10,
162:17, 163:3,
163:11, 163:13,
163:14, 163:23,
164:3, 165:6, 165:7,
165:14, 166:12,
167:6, 191:10,
191:12, 192:3,
192:6, 192:11,
192:13, 192:15,
193:15
facts [17] - 74:14,
104:15, 105:23,
110:5, 126:20,
126:21, 126:24,
127:3, 163:22,
170:5, 200:9,
201:21, 202:6,
212:3, 212:17,
216:8, 216:10
factual [1] - 12:11
factually [1] - 32:20
fails [1] - 213:16
fair [1] - 50:15
faith [2] - 214:13
FALANGA [1] - 2:2
Fallon [2] - 26:13,
147:19
familiar [2] - 142:3,
154:1
far [20] - 33:9, 48:16,
73:4, 74:7, 153:1,
160:6, 161:18,
163:10, 163:14,
163:23, 165:7,
167:20, 168:9,
181:15, 194:3,
196:14, 199:3,
201:21, 201:24,
205:14
fast [1] - 47:13
favor [1] - 6:19
features [1] - 191:14
Federal [1] - 214:18
fees [4] - 45:9, 45:11,
78:23
felt [1] - 168:22
Fendi [1] - 213:8
few [7] - 37:9, 102:23,
102:24, 139:14,
144:17, 203:23,
217:11
field [7] - 64:23, 64:25,
68:12, 68:23, 74:20,
143:2, 144:6
fields [1] - 156:5
figure [13] - 106:1,
120:11, 141:17,
160:6, 160:8,
164:16, 170:2,
173:22, 177:5,
177:10, 177:13,
187:24
figures [2] - 173:3
file [5] - 43:10, 50:10,
53:7, 123:25, 208:22
filed [6] - 50:2, 50:5,
53:11, 62:22,
107:21, 210:8
Filed [1] - 53:9
files [1] - 66:9
filing [4] - 53:4,
209:21, 210:14,
213:13
filings [2] - 66:8,
156:15
fill [3] - 143:2, 144:6,
148:16
filling [2] - 97:20,
142:21
fills [2] - 134:6, 142:23
final [2] - 68:1, 100:1
Finally [2] - 99:24,
101:5
finally [4] - 61:9,
71:16, 123:20,
212:20
finance [4] - 88:13,
93:17, 94:11, 106:19
financial [14] - 66:5,
93:2, 93:7, 107:25,
108:5, 109:10,
156:16, 157:9,
157:18, 158:5,
158:16, 162:8, 170:9
financially [1] - 26:6
findings [1] - 121:9
fine [1] - 217:9
finish [3] - 125:3,
125:16, 127:1
finished [1] - 124:11
finite [1] - 67:15
FIRM [1] - 2:11
firm [6] - 55:7, 64:14,
155:4, 158:6, 201:9,
201:19
firms [2] - 44:19,
54:19
first [32] - 5:8, 37:11,
39:1, 43:23, 43:24,
47:17, 47:24, 48:22,
51:4, 51:7, 53:1,
53:3, 65:21, 67:4,
68:5, 87:21, 125:17,
135:25, 172:16,
182:16, 182:22,
182:25, 190:2,
196:2, 196:6, 204:8,
205:24, 206:5,
206:7, 210:6,
216:18, 216:23
First [4] - 54:22,
67:13, 70:3, 161:12
FISHER [1] - 1:10
five [5] - 111:16,
125:4, 126:10,
129:14, 138:4
Five [1] - 55:10
flew [2] - 102:25
flight [1] - 103:2
fly [1] - 103:4
focus [2] - 38:12,
114:22
focused [1] - 9:15
follow [5] - 6:21,
51:15, 52:10,
134:19, 139:1
follow-up [1] - 51:15
followed [2] - 52:11,
52:19
following [1] - 69:22
font [1] - 29:10
footnote [2] - 77:3
FOR [5] - 2:3, 2:7,
2:10, 2:12, 2:15
for-profit [8] - 89:24,
90:12, 122:22,
158:3, 171:5, 183:9,
183:22, 195:1
Ford [3] - 47:11,
138:23
foregoing [1] - 218:13
forensic [3] - 68:23,
121:8, 155:24
forget [1] - 183:2
forgets [1] - 134:18
form [17] - 7:22, 41:2,
90:16, 97:20, 123:3,
134:6, 142:21,
142:23, 143:2,
143:3, 144:7,
148:16, 157:8,
162:9, 169:5, 182:12
Form [13] - 66:9, 92:5,
97:15, 107:21,
107:24, 108:5,
108:14, 109:11,
112:15, 156:15,
157:21, 157:22,
196:18
forms [2] - 164:16,
183:20
formula [1] - 164:8
fort [1] - 79:14
Fort [3] - 24:16, 24:17,
24:20
forth [2] - 74:25, 159:6
forward [1] - 106:1
foundation [3] - 14:1,
21:12, 22:22
four [8] - 92:19, 94:6,
94:7, 96:21, 113:22,
116:15, 116:23,
120:9
Fox [6] - 14:11, 19:2,
19:6, 19:12, 56:2,
139:11
FOX [1] - 2:8
FoxNews.com [2] -

18:22, 19:3
fraction ^[1] - 203:9
framework ^[1] - 69:14
Francis ^[1] - 218:16
FRANCIS ^[1] - 1:23
Frank ^[2] - 20:3, 95:18
fraud ^[1] - 209:21
front ^[3] - 19:5, 108:8, 187:25
frustrated ^[1] - 29:2
fulfill ^[1] - 181:12
fulfilling ^[2] - 181:9, 181:13
fulfills ^[1] - 181:10
full ^[39] - 59:6, 70:2, 92:10, 112:1, 134:24, 139:18, 167:17, 170:6, 170:10, 172:12, 172:18, 176:9, 176:11, 177:2, 177:9, 177:11, 177:12, 177:16, 179:10, 181:1, 184:10, 184:15, 184:18, 184:21, 184:24, 185:2, 189:4, 189:6, 189:13, 191:22, 201:15, 201:17, 201:18, 201:23, 201:24, 202:2, 202:7, 202:15, 217:11
full-time ^[1] - 167:17
fully ^[1] - 171:10
fun ^[1] - 26:14
function ^[1] - 183:14
functional ^[2] - 182:5, 182:17
Functional ^[1] - 182:7
functions ^[1] - 66:18
fund ^[3] - 88:17, 180:21, 180:22
fundamental ^[2] - 93:6, 106:16
fundraising ^[40] - 91:25, 97:16, 97:18, 98:5, 98:15, 106:7, 106:12, 106:14, 106:22, 106:25, 107:2, 107:4, 107:7, 107:13, 112:10, 112:16, 112:18, 112:24, 113:3, 122:3, 122:5, 123:10, 123:11, 164:5, 164:9, 164:15, 165:22, 166:6, 167:16,

168:13, 182:15, 187:22, 193:25, 194:11, 194:18, 194:22, 194:25, 195:4, 195:15, 205:20
funds ^[2] - 78:4, 180:8
funnel ^[1] - 115:18
funneled ^[3] - 80:16, 87:4, 116:8
future ^[1] - 25:7

G

Gable ^[1] - 218:16
GABLE ^[1] - 1:23
gain ^[1] - 111:8
gained ^[3] - 119:4, 161:13, 161:14
GAO ^[1] - 162:5
General ^[1] - 177:20
general ^[34] - 5:24, 53:19, 97:19, 98:21, 103:12, 108:7, 108:17, 108:19, 108:23, 109:4, 109:9, 109:13, 109:22, 110:3, 110:6, 110:7, 110:10, 110:11, 110:15, 113:7, 156:18, 157:5, 157:12, 170:10, 175:16, 175:19, 177:23, 177:24, 178:2, 178:3, 182:14, 196:22
generally ^[8] - 12:21, 58:16, 90:7, 109:9, 114:5, 128:1, 129:15, 170:7
generals ^[1] - 26:17
generate ^[4] - 90:1, 90:8, 116:18, 130:6
generated ^[2] - 111:20, 130:10
generates ^[2] - 77:21, 77:22
generating ^[1] - 75:14
generation ^[1] - 110:13
gentleman ^[1] - 61:19
geographic ^[2] - 67:14, 146:16
geography ^[2] - 172:4, 204:12
germane ^[2] - 9:10, 9:13
given ^[12] - 5:16,

68:19, 87:6, 116:4, 122:18, 158:14, 163:22, 180:9, 180:20, 181:14, 183:14, 204:11
global ^[1] - 64:14
goal ^[1] - 103:12
goods ^[1] - 90:8
goodwill ^[3] - 25:20, 25:23, 26:10
Google ^[64] - 13:18, 14:8, 14:11, 15:12, 47:6, 48:11, 48:16, 59:1, 60:6, 68:5, 84:25, 85:2, 95:1, 95:9, 110:21, 110:25, 111:11, 111:12, 111:13, 114:23, 114:24, 115:3, 123:20, 123:22, 124:1, 124:2, 130:15, 135:3, 135:19, 139:15, 140:2, 140:3, 141:10, 141:11, 141:13, 141:20, 142:5, 142:7, 142:8, 142:11, 142:12, 146:17, 146:20, 149:12, 152:4, 152:18, 153:16, 153:17, 154:2, 173:25, 174:3, 174:5, 174:8, 174:11, 174:14, 174:24, 175:6, 203:7, 210:3, 210:19, 212:11, 213:23
goosey ^[1] - 217:15
Government ^[1] - 162:5
grant ^[20] - 26:7, 80:16, 80:25, 87:8, 90:3, 91:9, 99:18, 115:9, 115:11, 115:15, 116:8, 123:8, 178:12, 182:18, 182:20, 182:23, 183:16, 188:11, 204:10, 204:13
grants ^[63] - 81:1, 86:21, 86:23, 87:3, 87:5, 87:21, 89:14, 89:18, 90:6, 90:10, 90:16, 90:18, 94:19, 98:4, 99:15, 100:5, 100:16, 100:17,

100:23, 106:15, 115:25, 116:3, 116:9, 116:12, 116:16, 116:18, 116:22, 122:15, 122:18, 123:3, 123:10, 164:20, 164:24, 165:5, 165:12, 168:13, 168:21, 176:7, 179:2, 179:18, 181:5, 181:7, 181:8, 181:10, 181:12, 183:5, 183:8, 183:14, 204:2, 204:6, 204:8, 204:12, 204:17, 204:21, 204:23, 205:4, 205:9, 205:13, 205:14, 205:17, 205:25
great ^[3] - 148:21, 161:25, 216:15
greater ^[2] - 166:20
green ^[1] - 72:12
gross ^[14] - 76:4, 76:14, 76:25, 77:3, 77:7, 77:15, 78:9, 78:11, 79:5, 79:12, 89:3, 186:6, 189:11, 189:12
grounds ^[1] - 64:23
group ^[1] - 46:25
Group ^[4] - 55:18, 64:12, 64:13, 214:16
growing ^[1] - 70:10
growth ^[1] - 71:3
guess ^[10] - 7:5, 49:7, 56:8, 66:23, 95:25, 133:1, 138:4, 148:25, 151:17, 210:1
GuideLive ^[1] - 16:6
guys ^[1] - 28:23

H

H-a-I-I ^[1] - 154:22
HAEFNER ^[9] - 2:3, 125:1, 125:7, 125:10, 126:3, 126:14, 126:24, 217:20, 217:23
half ^[6] - 65:1, 102:22, 102:24, 197:12, 199:7, 201:7
halfway ^[1] - 84:20
HALL ^[6] - 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 154:19, 155:1,

186:5
Hall ^[76] - 81:5, 85:11, 86:13, 86:19, 86:22, 87:5, 89:18, 91:1, 91:14, 91:19, 92:3, 92:8, 94:17, 95:6, 95:24, 96:25, 97:6, 97:22, 98:25, 99:9, 100:4, 100:20, 100:23, 103:10, 105:1, 105:6, 106:9, 107:20, 108:17, 108:25, 109:24, 110:20, 111:9, 111:16, 111:24, 112:19, 114:18, 122:5, 123:4, 125:19, 129:11, 139:16, 139:21, 140:4, 142:10, 143:7, 143:12, 143:18, 144:2, 144:10, 145:5, 145:10, 145:13, 154:17, 154:20, 154:22, 154:23, 155:2, 155:3, 155:9, 155:23, 156:4, 158:25, 159:13, 159:24, 167:10, 178:25, 181:21, 184:8, 186:6, 187:14, 187:17, 191:16, 206:12, 211:2
Hall's ^[5] - 91:17, 104:23, 155:14, 159:17, 190:12
hand ^[6] - 8:20, 70:10, 149:21, 183:4, 183:6, 217:3
handed ^[1] - 129:9
handful ^[1] - 133:8
Handing ^[6] - 11:14, 23:25, 26:25, 57:4, 101:17, 185:19
handling ^[1] - 45:4
hands ^[3] - 209:3, 209:7, 214:6
hard ^[4] - 69:12, 69:16, 150:19, 152:19
harm ^[1] - 120:19
harmed ^[1] - 120:16
Haynes ^[1] - 54:22
head ^[1] - 37:7
headquarter's ^[1] - 94:8
headquarters ^[1] - 66:17

hear [25] - 5:7, 22:3, 22:4, 22:7, 40:5, 51:7, 62:18, 82:2, 86:14, 127:2, 130:24, 132:21, 135:12, 135:14, 138:8, 143:3, 144:7, 152:3, 170:12, 171:20, 171:22, 186:23, 192:22, 192:24, 197:19
heard [31] - 15:5, 19:21, 29:19, 42:9, 51:4, 51:12, 51:19, 52:6, 61:6, 62:16, 82:13, 82:18, 82:19, 88:16, 88:19, 98:17, 106:20, 122:9, 129:25, 164:13, 165:11, 165:23, 173:23, 203:20, 206:19, 207:7, 207:8, 207:16, 207:22, 208:10, 209:11
HEARING [1] - 1:19
hearing [5] - 9:11, 106:18, 144:11, 156:7, 158:8
hearsay [7] - 20:2, 20:11, 20:25, 21:23, 22:1, 159:13, 159:14
heat [4] - 93:25, 94:4, 112:7, 165:11
Hello [1] - 63:17
help [4] - 25:25, 54:25, 129:1, 199:21
helped [1] - 69:14
helps [3] - 128:24, 181:13, 211:20
HERRINGTON [1] - 2:5
hesitation [1] - 189:8
hid [1] - 212:5
hides [1] - 213:11
high [6] - 108:1, 108:7, 109:10, 133:6, 133:8, 200:20
higher [2] - 71:23, 168:22
highlight [1] - 125:12
hired [2] - 190:15, 211:9
historical [1] - 153:20
hit [2] - 53:18, 137:24
hits [3] - 77:23, 85:1, 95:9
hmm [1] - 153:3
Hold [3] - 22:5, 37:22, 60:8
hold [1] - 84:2
holder [4] - 74:23, 75:5, 201:10, 201:19
holder's [1] - 74:23
HOLLOWS [1] - 2:9
home [4] - 18:22, 19:1, 19:2, 103:4
honest [1] - 152:20
Honor [185] - 5:5, 5:12, 5:14, 5:18, 5:19, 5:20, 6:3, 6:5, 6:8, 6:16, 6:18, 6:20, 7:10, 7:15, 7:18, 7:20, 8:17, 8:25, 9:8, 9:16, 9:17, 10:25, 11:10, 13:11, 13:15, 13:20, 13:23, 14:2, 15:2, 15:4, 15:14, 16:7, 17:3, 17:5, 17:13, 17:16, 20:2, 20:7, 20:18, 20:25, 21:10, 21:22, 21:25, 22:2, 22:3, 22:8, 22:9, 23:19, 24:9, 25:10, 26:22, 27:3, 30:15, 32:5, 32:7, 34:1, 35:21, 36:22, 37:25, 39:23, 41:4, 41:5, 43:15, 45:18, 45:21, 46:2, 46:3, 46:7, 46:8, 56:20, 60:9, 60:15, 60:25, 61:18, 62:11, 62:25, 63:7, 63:15, 63:18, 63:20, 64:7, 64:25, 65:4, 65:7, 65:10, 65:14, 68:8, 68:15, 68:22, 69:5, 69:9, 75:19, 76:17, 76:20, 95:15, 95:23, 96:8, 97:13, 100:8, 101:15, 103:18, 113:11, 121:23, 124:10, 124:18, 124:24, 125:1, 125:2, 125:8, 126:1, 126:3, 126:9, 126:15, 126:17, 126:23, 126:25, 127:8, 127:17, 133:20, 133:23, 139:6, 152:13, 153:19, 154:8, 154:17, 155:13, 155:17, 155:20, 156:3, 159:9, 159:12, 159:20, 166:25, 168:24, 169:3, 169:17, 169:20, 176:18, 184:2, 184:6, 185:6, 185:8, 185:17, 185:25, 186:4, 197:14, 197:21, 200:8, 203:24, 206:10, 206:18, 206:20, 206:25, 207:3, 207:7, 207:20, 207:22, 207:24, 208:4, 208:5, 208:10, 208:13, 208:21, 209:8, 209:10, 209:13, 212:3, 212:20, 213:2, 213:14, 213:19, 213:21, 214:13, 214:15, 214:22, 215:7, 215:9, 215:22, 215:25, 216:3, 216:7, 217:5, 217:9, 217:20
Honor's [2] - 8:15, 8:16
HONORABLE [1] - 1:14
Hospital [1] - 131:5
hotel [1] - 59:6
hour [4] - 65:2, 125:11, 126:2, 183:25
hours [3] - 102:23, 102:24, 125:4
housekeeping [1] - 125:2
housing [1] - 25:25
Houston [3] - 30:10, 44:2, 210:24
hundred [5] - 28:3, 152:7, 161:7, 163:21, 166:10
hurdle [2] - 209:18, 209:19
hurt [2] - 26:6, 28:19
hurting [1] - 53:20
HVAC [1] - 165:11
hybrid [2] - 201:13, 201:14
hypothetical [4] - 6:2, 6:18, 117:3, 117:6
identify [20] - 6:13, 13:17, 18:19, 24:3, 31:13, 36:18, 76:9, 107:20, 120:21, 121:5, 121:18, 121:19, 140:7, 179:3, 190:17, 191:7, 191:12, 192:12, 195:13, 211:3
identifying [2] - 5:23, 203:18
idiots [1] - 28:23
ignored [1] - 92:3
immaterial [1] - 99:23
immediate [1] - 71:3
impact [10] - 30:21, 30:24, 31:3, 33:10, 54:8, 69:16, 70:20, 72:9, 73:4, 167:17
implement [1] - 150:21
implemented [1] - 146:16
importance [1] - 163:13
important [1] - 168:16
importantly [1] - 52:4
impose [1] - 136:2
improper [1] - 107:1
impunity [1] - 99:20
inappropriate [2] - 122:13, 213:15
Inc [2] - 127:22, 127:24
INC [1] - 1:4
incentive [1] - 99:15
incidents [1] - 54:3
include [11] - 18:4, 67:13, 75:1, 94:1, 114:6, 135:8, 156:15, 168:19, 180:24, 201:6, 217:11
included [6] - 97:23, 112:9, 179:22, 180:9, 180:17, 198:17
includes [5] - 94:19, 99:1, 164:21, 164:22, 198:15
including [4] - 69:7, 97:24, 168:17, 168:21
Including [2] - 56:16, 128:8
incompetent [1] - 33:17
increase [8] - 72:9, 72:25, 116:17, 117:18, 117:22, 118:2, 118:5, 118:7
increased [2] - 31:1, 199:25
increasing [2] - 72:2, 72:14
incremental [67] - 76:15, 76:16, 80:1, 80:6, 86:23, 86:25, 87:24, 89:15, 90:19, 91:10, 92:14, 92:24, 93:3, 93:13, 93:17, 94:2, 94:3, 94:10, 94:13, 94:15, 94:17, 94:19, 94:24, 95:3, 100:3, 100:5, 100:19, 101:4, 113:19, 113:23, 114:11, 114:18, 114:19, 120:12, 168:1, 170:13, 170:15, 170:19, 170:20, 170:22, 171:12, 171:16, 171:21, 176:10, 176:11, 177:8, 177:10, 177:13, 184:11, 184:19, 184:22, 184:25, 185:3, 188:12, 189:1, 189:4, 189:7, 191:21, 201:12, 201:15, 202:10, 202:14, 202:16, 202:19, 202:25, 203:2, 217:11
incur [3] - 92:20, 114:2, 209:5
incurred [14] - 75:14, 90:7, 91:19, 114:13, 114:18, 165:6, 170:19, 175:8, 179:4, 181:3, 198:21, 198:22, 201:4, 209:6
incurs [2] - 171:4, 182:10
indeed [1] - 6:5
Indeed [1] - 54:18
independent [1] - 161:15
independently [1] - 156:11
indicate [5] - 27:23, 44:7, 76:25, 123:25, 130:17
indicated [10] - 9:20, 66:20, 66:21, 96:19, 124:3, 178:15,

180:16, 182:10,
198:22, 214:7
indicates [3] - 6:13,
73:20, 77:7
indication [1] - 178:2
individual [2] -
162:20, 203:22
individuals [3] -
50:23, 158:4, 165:15
industry [2] - 162:14
inescapable [1] -
67:25
inexcusable [1] -
207:4
inform [4] - 134:4,
134:16, 134:22,
137:5
information [59] -
14:15, 32:12, 34:23,
38:15, 38:17, 41:18,
49:14, 50:5, 52:11,
52:18, 53:14, 62:1,
62:3, 66:2, 66:4,
66:18, 66:22, 74:3,
77:19, 82:16, 82:20,
86:13, 86:16, 86:17,
95:6, 107:25, 108:6,
109:16, 110:11,
128:16, 128:18,
128:20, 128:22,
129:5, 129:7,
130:15, 138:20,
138:22, 138:24,
139:2, 139:17,
139:20, 145:8,
150:11, 150:13,
160:13, 162:13,
177:20, 177:23,
186:14, 187:10,
192:21, 193:6,
195:11, 196:3,
199:11, 200:16,
204:12, 205:5
informed [1] - 139:4
infringe [3] - 83:10,
99:20, 212:4
infringed [4] - 75:6,
82:23, 87:20, 211:21
infringement [23] -
9:23, 44:13, 117:16,
119:23, 193:8,
211:11, 211:13,
211:18, 211:20,
211:25, 212:1,
212:4, 212:16,
212:21, 212:22,
212:23, 213:11,
214:8, 214:12,
214:21, 214:22,
215:6

infringer [10] - 10:2,
10:14, 10:15, 96:16,
104:10, 106:13,
106:23, 107:10,
190:10, 213:10
infringer's [4] - 9:20,
9:25, 74:20, 75:4
infringing [40] - 43:25,
80:9, 80:10, 81:22,
81:24, 82:3, 82:5,
82:6, 82:8, 82:21,
82:22, 83:7, 84:4,
86:9, 86:15, 88:2,
88:6, 88:8, 88:24,
89:1, 92:12, 92:16,
98:23, 103:14,
105:16, 108:3,
110:9, 110:13,
167:2, 167:3, 167:5,
190:25, 192:16,
195:22, 196:1,
196:5, 203:17
inject [1] - 209:3
injunction [11] - 18:7,
25:6, 25:8, 25:13,
25:16, 26:8, 148:12,
148:14, 148:21,
148:24, 208:24
injunctive [2] - 12:9,
12:13
injury [1] - 208:23
input [2] - 107:9,
107:11
inputs [2] - 107:2,
107:11
inside [1] - 144:19
insignificant [1] -
130:21
instance [8] - 59:15,
82:15, 83:8, 85:17,
97:23, 98:7, 98:24,
110:6
instances [2] - 12:23,
19:22
instead [5] - 121:6,
143:9, 144:14,
193:8, 193:23
instructed [1] - 13:12
instructions [2] -
97:20, 113:1
intellectual [3] -
56:25, 161:3, 161:9
intending [1] - 6:11
intentionally [1] -
214:24
interest [2] - 56:15,
56:18
interestingly [1] -
70:12
internal [2] - 128:15,

130:16
internally [1] - 129:8
Internet [3] - 28:25,
42:9, 77:23
interrupt [2] - 88:23,
169:14
introduce [3] - 64:9,
155:2, 211:6
introduced [3] -
82:12, 121:11,
121:12
investigate [1] - 31:3
invoice [1] - 157:1
invoices [11] - 45:15,
95:5, 111:10,
128:17, 156:19,
156:22, 156:23,
175:18, 177:21,
177:24, 178:1
involve [2] - 205:20,
205:21
involved [6] - 107:15,
128:6, 128:11,
161:19, 194:14,
194:19
IP [2] - 146:13
irrelevant [1] - 163:10
IRS [17] - 66:8, 91:23,
92:2, 97:14, 97:20,
97:25, 107:22,
113:1, 123:9,
156:16, 157:21,
158:1, 158:4,
181:24, 182:23,
183:6, 183:20
is.. [1] - 152:22
isolate [2] - 164:17,
193:13
isolating [1] - 166:1
isolation [1] - 165:10
issue [11] - 7:13, 9:6,
26:13, 42:17, 46:4,
86:6, 104:7, 157:1,
160:18, 163:22,
208:9
Issues [1] - 26:12
issues [4] - 6:19, 8:21,
10:17, 177:25
IT [1] - 66:18
item [8] - 80:15, 89:16,
94:20, 98:24,
112:20, 198:8,
198:18, 198:25
items [8] - 14:11,
14:12, 14:14, 25:24,
98:22, 189:1, 199:9,
204:7
itself [3] - 8:1, 29:25,
107:12
IX [2] - 182:6, 182:7

J

January [3] - 71:8,
102:3, 128:4
JERSEY [3] - 1:1,
1:11, 1:15
Jersey [6] - 148:19,
199:21, 200:24,
202:19, 202:21,
208:7
Jewish [2] - 207:14,
212:12
Jimmie [1] - 147:19
Jimmy [1] - 26:13
jingle [1] - 214:25
job [2] - 127:20,
150:25
joined [1] - 55:24
joke [1] - 147:19
Journal [1] - 26:3
Joy [1] - 43:18
judge [6] - 11:16,
12:8, 64:10, 77:17,
91:17, 192:9
JUDGE [1] - 1:15
judgment [2] - 99:3,
103:24
judicial [1] - 45:23
July [5] - 72:18, 72:24,
101:25, 102:18,
172:17
jump [1] - 72:4
June [31] - 71:1,
71:20, 71:21, 71:23,
72:24, 73:5, 76:13,
79:2, 114:25,
117:19, 120:13,
131:25, 132:16,
132:23, 133:3,
133:7, 135:1,
144:23, 144:25,
160:4, 160:14,
167:22, 168:2,
168:7, 168:10,
173:19, 173:21,
177:1, 179:14,
179:16, 186:11
jungle [1] - 26:14
jury [18] - 9:3, 13:4,
14:6, 15:21, 19:21,
25:15, 29:22,
119:20, 119:23,
120:1, 120:6, 130:7,
131:21, 132:12,
138:4, 211:25,
214:7, 215:5
jury's [1] - 145:15
justified [1] - 173:4

K

K's [1] - 84:17
K4K [94] - 8:6, 13:5,
17:25, 19:22, 20:10,
20:15, 20:23, 22:1,
23:9, 27:9, 27:17,
27:18, 27:23, 27:24,
29:20, 30:13, 37:10,
37:12, 37:20, 38:3,
38:12, 38:17, 39:2,
39:4, 39:22, 40:16,
40:17, 40:25, 41:3,
41:12, 41:21, 42:8,
43:8, 44:8, 44:12,
58:22, 59:4, 59:5,
59:12, 61:10, 61:17,
62:1, 67:2, 70:5,
70:14, 70:23, 72:2,
72:3, 73:6, 73:15,
78:24, 79:2, 85:5,
86:8, 92:18, 111:1,
114:20, 120:9,
121:1, 142:22,
143:22, 144:9,
145:15, 145:18,
146:16, 146:19,
147:21, 155:6,
186:14, 187:8,
188:1, 188:25,
189:20, 189:23,
191:10, 192:4,
192:10, 192:18,
196:2, 196:6,
196:18, 196:25,
198:5, 199:2,
199:12, 199:15,
199:20, 200:3,
200:20, 201:4,
204:13, 209:15,
211:17
K4K's [17] - 38:13,
44:20, 45:5, 58:15,
75:15, 79:7, 79:20,
79:23, 80:1, 114:17,
123:23, 140:8,
186:6, 186:11,
190:4, 190:12,
196:11
k4k-111 [2] - 4:16,
155:21
K4K-111 [1] - 215:16
K4K-24 [1] - 215:17
K4K-500 [3] - 4:15,
133:25, 215:15
K4K-DX-509 [1] -
215:17
K4KDX-505 [1] -
159:21
K4KDX-507 [6] - 4:17,

167:8, 169:1, 169:8,
185:23, 215:16
K4KDX-508 [5] - 4:18,
172:22, 173:8,
173:12, 215:17
K4KDX-509 [4] - 4:19,
176:13, 176:19,
176:22
K4KDX-513 [4] - 4:20,
178:6, 178:18,
178:22
K4KDX-523 [6] - 4:21,
184:7, 185:9,
185:13, 185:24,
215:18
K4KDX-535 [1] - 164:7
K4KX-24 [1] - 181:19
K4KX-25 [1] - 38:9
K4KX-500 [3] -
133:12, 133:20,
134:12
K4KX-510 [2] -
158:23, 159:10
KARS [1] - 1:4
Kars [219] - 5:10,
10:22, 13:5, 14:15,
14:16, 16:1, 16:18,
18:8, 21:18, 21:21,
22:15, 24:20, 26:12,
27:13, 28:15, 29:1,
29:4, 29:17, 31:8,
33:13, 38:6, 38:12,
39:9, 39:12, 42:10,
43:18, 43:21, 44:2,
46:22, 47:15, 47:22,
48:4, 49:8, 50:14,
51:7, 58:16, 70:10,
71:11, 75:7, 75:20,
76:12, 77:6, 77:8,
77:9, 78:4, 78:14,
84:17, 85:11, 91:20,
93:21, 96:22, 98:2,
102:5, 102:6,
102:16, 104:21,
105:4, 105:14,
105:19, 106:2,
106:3, 106:9,
107:21, 108:17,
109:12, 110:21,
111:5, 111:6,
111:17, 111:25,
113:12, 114:1,
114:24, 115:3,
115:8, 115:14,
115:21, 115:24,
116:2, 116:4,
116:11, 116:21,
116:24, 116:25,
117:4, 117:9,
117:25, 118:8,
119:7, 119:12,
120:2, 120:12,
120:21, 120:22,
121:6, 121:18,
123:1, 124:1, 124:5,
127:10, 127:21,
127:24, 127:25,
128:5, 128:17,
129:10, 130:6,
130:10, 131:13,
131:16, 131:19,
131:23, 132:3,
132:6, 132:9,
132:22, 133:9,
133:15, 133:17,
134:14, 134:15,
134:18, 135:2,
135:5, 136:6,
136:15, 136:20,
137:2, 137:18,
138:9, 139:18,
148:1, 148:3, 149:2,
150:4, 150:21,
151:1, 151:25,
153:9, 154:16,
156:12, 156:17,
156:18, 157:4,
157:21, 158:11,
159:7, 159:9, 160:3,
160:17, 161:14,
162:1, 162:2,
162:17, 163:7,
163:16, 164:16,
166:13, 166:23,
167:22, 168:6,
168:7, 168:16,
168:19, 168:25,
169:13, 169:24,
170:6, 170:25,
171:9, 172:4, 172:7,
172:9, 172:12,
173:7, 173:15,
174:3, 174:4, 174:9,
174:20, 174:21,
175:7, 175:16,
177:6, 177:18,
177:20, 178:17,
179:4, 179:13,
181:4, 181:9,
181:22, 181:25,
182:5, 182:9,
182:18, 182:20,
182:22, 184:12,
189:17, 189:21,
196:20, 196:24,
200:10, 200:13,
201:6, 206:17,
207:9, 207:10,
208:3, 208:9,
208:15, 213:25,
214:1, 215:14
Kars4Kids.com [2] -
46:22, 46:25
Kaufman [12] - 63:16,
63:17, 64:21, 65:13,
69:4, 101:14,
125:18, 156:5,
169:14, 169:23,
185:21, 206:16
KAUFMAN [64] - 2:6,
3:8, 3:12, 63:18,
63:20, 64:24, 65:3,
65:7, 65:14, 68:8,
69:5, 76:20, 95:15,
96:8, 100:10,
101:19, 113:11,
114:14, 115:6,
121:22, 124:9,
125:25, 154:16,
155:1, 155:6,
155:13, 155:20,
155:23, 156:6,
158:23, 159:9,
159:19, 159:23,
160:22, 164:6,
166:25, 167:7,
167:9, 168:24,
169:10, 169:12,
169:17, 169:20,
172:22, 173:7,
173:14, 175:21,
176:13, 176:18,
176:24, 178:5,
178:17, 178:24,
181:18, 182:1,
184:2, 184:6, 185:5,
185:8, 185:15,
185:25, 200:8,
206:10, 206:17
keep [5] - 33:18,
35:12, 94:8, 123:9,
203:23
keeping [4] - 125:3,
125:7, 128:17,
154:12
keeps [1] - 94:9
kept [5] - 31:18, 31:21,
35:9, 38:17, 157:16
key [1] - 59:1
KIDS [1] - 1:4
kids [1] - 67:22
Kids [252] - 5:8, 5:10,
8:4, 10:19, 10:22,
10:25, 11:19, 11:21,
12:4, 12:7, 12:12,
13:1, 13:5, 13:8,
13:20, 14:15, 14:16,
16:1, 16:18, 18:8,
20:16, 21:18, 21:21,
24:20, 25:15, 25:21,
25:22, 26:9, 26:12,
26:20, 27:13, 28:15,
28:19, 29:2, 29:4,
29:12, 29:14, 29:17,
29:24, 30:9, 30:21,
30:24, 31:2, 31:6,
31:8, 31:13, 31:19,
32:15, 33:4, 33:13,
33:20, 34:6, 36:8,
36:9, 36:13, 36:21,
37:11, 38:6, 38:12,
38:16, 39:5, 39:9,
39:12, 42:10, 43:18,
43:21, 43:22, 44:1,
44:2, 45:8, 46:22,
47:15, 47:19, 47:22,
48:4, 49:8, 49:21,
49:23, 50:9, 50:14,
50:21, 51:8, 51:9,
51:16, 52:5, 53:11,
53:15, 57:2, 57:8,
57:10, 57:11, 57:22,
57:25, 58:16, 58:24,
59:13, 63:7, 63:21,
66:16, 67:3, 67:20,
70:4, 70:10, 70:14,
71:5, 72:1, 72:7,
72:8, 75:7, 75:20,
76:12, 76:18, 78:14,
85:11, 93:21, 98:2,
104:21, 105:14,
105:19, 106:2,
106:3, 107:21,
111:5, 111:6,
113:12, 114:1,
114:24, 115:3,
115:14, 115:24,
116:2, 116:11,
116:21, 116:24,
116:25, 117:4,
117:9, 117:21,
118:8, 120:22,
121:6, 121:18,
123:1, 124:1, 124:6,
127:10, 127:21,
127:24, 127:25,
128:5, 128:17,
130:6, 130:10,
131:13, 131:16,
131:19, 131:23,
132:3, 132:6, 132:9,
132:22, 133:9,
133:15, 133:17,
134:14, 134:15,
134:18, 135:2,
135:5, 136:6,
136:15, 136:21,
137:2, 137:19,
138:9, 139:18,
148:1, 148:3, 149:2,
149:9, 150:4,
150:21, 151:1,
151:3, 151:25,
153:9, 154:16,
156:12, 156:18,
158:11, 159:9,
161:14, 162:1,
162:2, 162:18,
166:13, 166:23,
168:16, 168:25,
170:6, 170:25,
171:9, 172:5, 172:7,
172:9, 173:7,
173:15, 174:3,
174:4, 174:9,
174:20, 174:21,
175:7, 175:16,
177:20, 178:17,
179:4, 181:4, 181:9,
181:25, 182:5,
182:9, 182:18,
182:22, 184:12,
189:10, 189:16,
189:17, 189:21,
196:20, 196:24,
200:10, 200:13,
201:6, 206:17,
207:9, 207:10,
208:3, 208:9,
208:11, 208:15,
211:24, 212:5,
212:18, 213:25,
214:1, 215:14
Kids' [42] - 22:15,
34:25, 70:6, 71:12,
96:22, 102:5, 102:6,
102:16, 105:4,
106:10, 108:17,
109:13, 110:21,
111:25, 115:9,
115:21, 116:5,
119:12, 120:2,
120:12, 120:22,
129:10, 157:5,
157:21, 159:7,
160:3, 160:17,
163:7, 163:16,
164:16, 167:22,
168:6, 168:7,
168:20, 169:13,
169:24, 172:13,
177:6, 177:19,
179:13, 181:22,
182:20
Kids's [1] - 111:17
kind [14] - 75:9, 76:1,
82:20, 83:23, 93:23,
99:21, 135:17,
135:21, 148:6,
157:7, 165:14,
166:4, 192:24,
217:14
kinds [5] - 12:23,

25:21, 60:19, 60:22	29:17, 142:25	177:24, 178:2, 178:3	151:11, 151:14	LITTERINE-
KINKADE [13] - 2:9,	landscape [1] - 66:12	left [16] - 7:14, 72:3,	Limited [1] - 151:15	KAUFMAN [64] - 2:6,
3:10, 133:23, 139:8,	Lanham [5] - 74:10,	90:6, 90:11, 90:12,	line [22] - 49:15, 70:3,	3:8, 3:12, 63:18,
147:21, 149:4,	74:23, 93:1, 99:16,	90:15, 99:13, 99:19,	70:5, 76:15, 80:15,	63:20, 64:24, 65:3,
149:18, 150:17,	104:2	116:7, 116:19,	98:22, 98:24, 100:5,	65:7, 65:14, 68:8,
150:20, 152:13,	large [4] - 75:23,	122:23, 123:1,	112:19, 114:22,	69:5, 76:20, 95:15,
153:12, 153:19,	91:21, 123:18	125:11, 164:13,	114:23, 146:5,	96:8, 100:10,
153:22	largest [7] - 29:10,	183:6, 212:6	151:4, 167:16,	101:19, 113:11,
Kinkade [2] - 139:11,	67:19, 168:16,	left-hand [1] - 183:6	179:18, 182:16,	114:14, 115:6,
150:15	176:7, 179:24,	legal [13] - 43:9,	182:19, 183:5,	121:22, 124:9,
Klemchuck [2] - 55:1,	180:1, 183:16	95:16, 103:19,	190:19, 191:2,	125:25, 154:16,
55:7	last [15] - 13:12, 34:5,	156:15, 159:14,	198:18, 204:7	155:1, 155:6,
knife [2] - 54:12, 54:13	34:8, 56:9, 64:2,	160:18, 190:7,	lines [2] - 19:8, 171:23	155:13, 155:20,
knowing [1] - 214:23	64:19, 70:1, 70:2,	190:9, 191:5,	link [1] - 148:4	155:23, 156:6,
knowledge [2] - 32:2,	70:7, 72:17, 91:14,	196:12, 201:2,	links [1] - 24:24	158:23, 159:9,
35:18	127:15, 150:8,	201:3, 201:7	list [2] - 5:21, 150:23	159:19, 159:23,
known [5] - 61:12,	151:25, 154:20	legitimate [1] - 98:9	listed [3] - 156:20,	160:22, 164:6,
207:9, 207:10,	lastly [1] - 67:20	less [5] - 79:16,	163:2, 180:14	166:25, 167:7,
212:14, 212:18	late [3] - 125:5,	116:12, 116:22,	listen [2] - 127:4,	167:9, 168:24,
knows [3] - 5:20,	147:15, 147:16	116:23, 164:20	159:17	169:10, 169:12,
22:11, 22:15	latest [3] - 47:14,	letter [29] - 37:17,	listened [3] - 23:1,	169:17, 169:20,
	70:17, 76:11	38:2, 38:4, 38:10,	23:5, 138:9	172:22, 173:7,
L	laugh [1] - 26:13	39:15, 39:18, 41:3,	listening [1] - 32:23	173:14, 175:21,
	Law [1] - 55:18	42:23, 43:16, 44:3,	lists [1] - 5:20	176:13, 176:18,
L-a-n-d-a-u [1] -	law [11] - 6:24, 7:21,	44:6, 44:9, 44:12,	literally [1] - 215:1	176:24, 178:5,
127:16	7:22, 10:1, 44:19,	44:14, 50:17, 50:24,	litigation [4] - 54:20,	178:17, 178:24,
labeled [2] - 181:20,	54:19, 55:7, 207:3,	50:25, 52:12, 59:4,	56:3, 64:16, 172:11	181:18, 182:1,
217:23	214:19, 216:8,	207:11, 207:25,	LITTERINE [64] - 2:6,	184:2, 184:6, 185:5,
labor [8] - 164:22,	216:11	209:25, 210:1,	3:8, 3:12, 63:18,	185:8, 185:15,
165:8, 165:9,	LAW [2] - 2:11, 2:14	211:10, 211:23,	63:20, 64:24, 65:3,	185:25, 200:8,
168:20, 180:2,	lawn [1] - 47:7	213:6, 213:10,	65:7, 65:14, 68:8,	206:10, 206:17
180:3, 180:11	lawsuit [8] - 40:11,	215:19	69:5, 76:20, 95:15,	live [1] - 30:5
laches [18] - 126:17,	40:16, 45:6, 57:25,	letters [1] - 34:5	96:8, 100:10,	living [5] - 11:17,
127:2, 206:19,	58:4, 65:23, 210:8,	level [13] - 76:2, 91:20,	101:19, 113:11,	64:10, 186:15,
207:3, 209:7,	213:13	102:8, 102:13,	114:14, 115:6,	186:21, 187:2
209:14, 209:19,	lawyers [5] - 42:19,	108:1, 108:2, 108:7,	121:22, 124:9,	LLP [3] - 2:2, 2:5, 2:8
209:20, 210:7,	42:22, 45:4, 211:9,	109:10, 110:2,	125:25, 154:16,	local [2] - 14:16, 56:2
210:16, 211:20,	211:10	111:8, 124:4, 157:7	155:1, 155:6,	located [9] - 58:11,
212:2, 213:12,	lead [4] - 38:17, 58:22,	liability [10] - 12:18,	155:13, 155:20,	131:14, 131:16,
213:14, 214:7,	60:18, 60:23	29:19, 62:13,	155:23, 156:6,	131:24, 132:1,
214:21, 215:4	leaning [1] - 127:3	117:11, 117:15,	158:23, 159:9,	138:24, 138:25,
laid [1] - 22:22	learn [2] - 50:1, 56:6	155:8, 155:25,	159:19, 159:23,	144:19, 144:24
land [2] - 149:11,	lease [1] - 205:1	181:19, 207:8, 214:4	160:22, 164:6,	location [2] - 93:22,
149:15	least [3] - 104:4,	Licenses [2] - 197:6,	166:25, 167:7,	198:24
LANDAU [8] - 3:9,	118:10, 207:24	198:2	167:9, 168:24,	logos [1] - 214:24
3:10, 3:10, 3:11,	leave [2] - 127:5,	licenses [2] - 197:9,	169:10, 169:12,	Look [1] - 197:6
127:12, 127:18,	215:11	198:5	169:17, 169:20,	look [49] - 13:7, 14:22,
139:8, 152:15	leaves [1] - 99:13	lieu [2] - 126:14,	172:22, 173:7,	15:23, 16:14, 16:23,
Landau [16] - 127:11,	lectured [1] - 68:19	126:16	173:14, 175:21,	18:6, 18:7, 18:10,
127:14, 127:19,	led [2] - 24:22, 213:13	life [1] - 65:2	176:13, 176:18,	21:5, 22:18, 27:9,
128:11, 130:24,	ledger [22] - 98:21,	lighter [1] - 72:12	176:24, 178:5,	28:20, 29:13, 31:9,
131:13, 133:14,	108:18, 108:19,	likelihood [1] - 12:20	178:17, 178:24,	31:12, 33:17, 35:2,
134:3, 139:9,	108:23, 109:5,	likely [6] - 116:11,	181:18, 182:1,	36:15, 38:9, 40:12,
147:23, 149:24,	109:9, 109:13,	116:22, 118:2,	184:2, 184:6, 185:5,	43:6, 45:1, 67:5,
150:16, 153:2,	110:3, 110:6,	162:16, 162:19,	185:8, 185:15,	69:12, 71:6, 72:22,
154:10, 186:23,	110:11, 110:15,	162:22	185:25, 200:8,	76:7, 84:8, 84:20,
210:19	156:18, 157:5,	limitation [1] - 136:2	206:10, 206:17	90:12, 92:15, 93:12,
landed [1] - 149:9	157:6, 157:12,	limitations [3] - 208:7,	Litterine [1] - 63:16	99:24, 112:15,
landing [3] - 27:13,	175:16, 175:19,	210:13, 210:16	Litterine-Kaufman [1]	148:13, 161:23,
	177:20, 177:23,	limited [3] - 151:7,	- 63:16	190:19, 195:11,

195:13, 196:25,
197:5, 198:8,
198:17, 210:3,
212:16, 213:6,
213:8, 214:15
looked [10] - 12:2,
25:14, 25:18, 44:23,
72:9, 76:1, 86:3,
90:25, 113:19,
182:22
looking [30] - 7:6,
17:24, 19:12, 21:13,
22:18, 23:18, 27:6,
27:17, 29:11, 33:13,
43:14, 47:3, 47:4,
47:9, 47:10, 47:11,
57:5, 58:16, 59:8,
66:10, 88:24, 94:24,
141:2, 141:17,
178:11, 179:25,
180:17, 188:21,
198:1, 209:23
looks [3] - 44:23,
70:16, 85:20
loosely [1] - 32:17
loosey [1] - 217:15
loosey-goosey [1] -
217:15
losing [1] - 70:8
loss [4] - 7:2, 7:6,
9:15, 10:8
losses [2] - 209:4,
209:6
lost [7] - 10:2, 10:13,
70:14, 74:17, 94:6,
120:17, 171:17
Louis [1] - 53:22
low [2] - 76:2, 133:6
lower [3] - 71:9, 72:23,
124:4
lunch [2] - 103:2,
103:7

M

mail [2] - 83:22,
192:18
mailers [1] - 207:12
mailings [1] - 62:17
main [4] - 19:5, 73:5,
77:24, 148:4
maintain [3] - 15:4,
114:2, 205:11
maintained [2] -
133:17, 199:10
Maintenance [1] -
199:6
major [1] - 180:11
majority [1] - 118:5

Malcolm [4] - 11:1,
11:7, 11:18, 66:14
MALCOLM [10] - 3:2,
3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6,
11:5, 11:15, 46:10,
56:23, 62:12
manage [1] - 26:9
management [11] -
64:15, 93:7, 97:19,
113:6, 164:23,
165:8, 165:9,
168:20, 180:2,
182:14, 196:22
managing [2] - 64:11,
155:3
MARC [1] - 2:3
March [5] - 44:6, 44:9,
44:11, 44:13, 102:3
mark [43] - 13:9, 36:8,
36:10, 36:12, 49:24,
58:3, 67:20, 67:24,
74:23, 75:5, 81:22,
81:24, 82:3, 82:5,
82:6, 82:8, 82:14,
83:18, 83:19, 83:20,
83:22, 83:23, 84:6,
84:7, 84:9, 84:11,
86:9, 86:15, 88:8,
105:16, 117:16,
148:1, 148:3,
167:23, 190:25,
192:16, 193:8,
196:1, 201:10,
203:17, 209:4,
211:24
marked [49] - 4:3, 4:4,
4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8,
4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12,
4:13, 4:14, 4:15,
4:16, 4:17, 4:18,
4:19, 4:20, 4:21,
13:7, 15:18, 15:23,
16:12, 16:14, 17:21,
18:10, 19:18, 23:15,
24:2, 24:13, 27:9,
31:12, 32:9, 35:2,
35:25, 36:15, 37:1,
65:16, 71:1, 76:22,
100:12, 133:25,
155:21, 169:8,
173:12, 176:22,
178:22, 185:13,
217:22
market [19] - 65:25,
67:8, 67:9, 67:11,
67:14, 68:2, 68:24,
69:13, 71:11, 72:3,
81:14, 117:25,
119:7, 121:2,
155:24, 161:14,

163:5, 207:12, 209:4
marketing [6] -
106:19, 108:18,
108:20, 108:23,
109:3, 127:25
marketplace [1] -
123:16
marks [11] - 67:20,
104:22, 106:4,
116:25, 117:4,
120:23, 121:7,
121:18, 168:7,
211:17, 215:6
Marquez [1] - 36:19
Marsal [2] - 155:4,
155:5
Marvell [1] - 214:16
matched [2] - 178:1
material [3] - 11:25,
90:25, 202:12
materials [15] - 88:21,
91:1, 91:4, 156:13,
156:14, 157:4,
195:8, 195:13,
195:14, 195:19,
202:3, 202:17,
202:24, 203:16,
207:13
math [2] - 115:2,
120:7
Matter [1] - 218:9
matter [10] - 44:18,
65:22, 76:11, 86:11,
109:4, 110:6, 125:2,
161:5, 175:10,
218:14
matters [6] - 81:10,
161:4, 166:1, 175:9,
195:1, 205:20
McCarthy [3] - 10:3,
10:8, 91:6
mean [22] - 29:4,
30:14, 30:18, 43:1,
61:18, 73:12, 77:13,
83:2, 95:8, 104:18,
109:7, 111:7, 125:5,
125:14, 126:21,
132:15, 143:13,
147:18, 149:3,
187:16, 214:13,
217:14
means [5] - 30:3,
48:15, 73:14,
198:11, 214:22
meant [1] - 30:12
measure [7] - 7:1,
10:7, 132:13,
158:21, 179:11,
184:11, 191:21
measures [1] - 93:18

media [1] - 176:2
meet [1] - 139:10
meeting [3] - 37:5,
37:8, 45:2
meets [1] - 92:25
Mellon [1] - 214:16
mental [1] - 115:2
mentioned [18] -
34:22, 51:4, 70:19,
75:20, 86:16, 104:4,
109:20, 141:9,
147:6, 156:5,
156:21, 157:4,
157:16, 162:3,
163:3, 168:12,
171:14, 184:10
mentions [1] - 147:18
merited [1] - 105:23
merits [2] - 213:15,
213:16
message [1] - 134:21
met [1] - 139:12
method [12] - 83:24,
93:4, 170:7, 170:11,
170:20, 181:1,
184:14, 184:20,
195:20, 202:14,
202:15
methodologies [2] -
158:18, 158:21
methodology [8] -
74:19, 75:4, 80:12,
83:6, 170:1, 194:2,
195:23, 202:2
methods [3] - 67:18,
170:8, 184:17
Microsoft [5] - 111:18,
111:21, 174:17,
174:24, 174:25
might [8] - 54:13,
72:8, 83:9, 110:7,
116:16, 165:15,
192:23
million [67] - 45:10,
77:2, 78:19, 78:22,
79:4, 79:5, 79:9,
79:18, 80:11, 81:15,
84:1, 87:12, 87:13,
87:14, 87:18, 88:5,
89:6, 89:8, 89:13,
89:15, 89:18, 100:2,
100:6, 100:15,
100:16, 100:17,
100:23, 101:2,
101:3, 114:21,
119:13, 119:14,
120:3, 152:1, 152:7,
160:7, 163:25,
167:19, 168:9,
168:11, 179:17,

180:4, 180:6,
186:19, 187:24,
188:7, 189:12,
189:13, 189:14,
189:17, 189:19,
189:22, 189:23,
199:7, 203:9, 204:3,
204:5, 204:6,
204:21, 204:22,
205:9, 205:15
mind [1] - 162:4
mine [1] - 194:6
Mintz [1] - 62:16
minute [2] - 151:23,
154:13
minutes [17] - 63:10,
124:21, 125:11,
125:17, 125:23,
125:25, 126:6,
126:12, 139:14,
144:17, 154:13,
154:14, 183:25,
203:22, 203:23
misapplication [1] -
122:10
misled [1] - 12:16
mission [24] - 67:21,
90:16, 91:22, 91:24,
97:16, 97:22, 123:3,
161:23, 161:24,
162:3, 163:1,
163:14, 165:7,
168:15, 168:21,
179:5, 179:6, 180:8,
181:9, 181:10,
181:12, 181:15,
183:15, 198:13
missions [1] - 181:13
mistake [1] - 137:7
misuse [1] - 122:10
mixed [1] - 140:11
model [2] - 77:21,
123:17
modifications [2] -
136:20, 136:22
modified [2] - 136:5,
136:15
moment [5] - 33:2,
34:22, 39:21, 45:18,
190:21
monetary [3] - 74:11,
74:22, 99:21
money [10] - 25:6,
25:7, 80:16, 80:25,
85:17, 99:18,
163:13, 200:14,
204:10, 204:13
month [7] - 35:6,
71:19, 72:14, 72:22,
138:3, 141:25,

174:10
monthly [3] - 71:5,
 71:20, 72:7
months [3] - 55:10,
 72:18, 138:4
Moreover [1] - 10:10
moreover [1] - 208:5
morning [10] - 5:1,
 5:2, 5:19, 11:8,
 11:10, 46:11, 46:12,
 129:23, 130:24,
 150:1
Morning [1] - 210:23
mortgage [2] - 93:23,
 112:7
Moskovits [2] - 62:16,
 145:14
most [13] - 74:11,
 104:19, 118:16,
 140:24, 151:6,
 166:19, 177:11,
 180:23, 183:19,
 187:5, 206:21,
 211:3, 211:4
Most [2] - 140:23,
 181:9
move [15] - 15:2,
 15:14, 16:7, 17:3,
 17:13, 18:13, 19:14,
 21:10, 23:11, 24:9,
 133:20, 168:25,
 173:7, 185:9, 196:9
moves [1] - 159:10
moving [1] - 42:18
mower [1] - 47:8
Mr. [1] - 166:24
multiplied [1] - 89:2
multiply [1] - 87:19
multiplying [1] - 89:7
multitude [2] - 25:24,
 162:16
must [1] - 96:15

N

name [33] - 11:6,
 11:18, 12:15, 26:5,
 33:13, 33:16, 33:22,
 34:6, 34:17, 34:18,
 50:14, 50:15, 53:15,
 59:13, 59:14, 63:25,
 64:2, 64:11, 71:10,
 71:22, 72:3, 73:6,
 73:10, 73:13, 73:23,
 127:13, 127:15,
 130:2, 131:1,
 154:21, 181:24,
 208:15, 214:1
names [3] - 28:1,

192:18, 214:25
national [34] - 53:3,
 85:4, 91:20, 95:2,
 95:4, 102:8, 102:13,
 135:10, 140:8,
 140:18, 141:2,
 141:8, 143:18,
 143:20, 143:21,
 145:21, 145:23,
 146:17, 147:8,
 147:13, 147:14,
 171:11, 175:17,
 175:23, 175:24,
 176:4, 176:6, 177:7,
 177:14, 177:15,
 194:9, 203:13,
 210:19
National [1] - 26:19
nationally [7] - 50:9,
 171:2, 171:4, 172:5,
 180:10, 198:22,
 207:14
Nationwide [2] - 18:3,
 27:20
nationwide [9] - 18:4,
 18:8, 119:10,
 119:13, 120:9,
 129:21, 135:7,
 177:1, 177:19
nature [6] - 61:20,
 66:7, 76:3, 112:4,
 112:6, 216:22
near [4] - 31:25,
 35:15, 176:7, 203:24
necessarily [3] - 25:1,
 48:14, 108:1
necessary [10] - 9:22,
 25:17, 45:9, 75:2,
 81:20, 136:19,
 136:22, 161:6,
 208:24, 214:14
need [17] - 6:13,
 21:12, 22:17, 68:13,
 98:20, 117:16,
 125:17, 126:4,
 185:23, 188:1,
 188:3, 199:21,
 199:22, 199:25,
 215:16, 216:6
needed [3] - 90:9,
 90:10, 158:14
needs [2] - 14:1, 27:5
negative [2] - 53:18,
 54:8
net [24] - 76:5, 76:14,
 78:4, 78:10, 78:15,
 79:13, 79:15, 79:21,
 87:18, 89:3, 89:4,
 89:5, 89:6, 99:11,
 100:2, 115:15,

160:3, 160:6,
 160:15, 167:22,
 168:6, 186:11,
 189:13
Net [1] - 78:13
netted [1] - 189:21
never [16] - 51:21,
 84:9, 88:16, 90:23,
 93:6, 93:8, 99:22,
 106:20, 107:14,
 107:16, 120:20,
 194:10, 194:16,
 202:1, 202:4, 205:16
new [9] - 47:9, 56:5,
 59:24, 89:8, 120:2,
 126:20, 126:24,
 130:22, 145:15
New [8] - 148:19,
 199:21, 200:24,
 202:18, 202:21,
 208:7, 213:7, 213:8
NEW [3] - 1:1, 1:11,
 1:15
News [5] - 14:11, 19:2,
 19:6, 19:12, 210:23
newspaper [1] - 24:18
Next [6] - 20:13,
 25:12, 60:13, 63:6,
 154:15, 200:11
next [14] - 27:21,
 48:22, 63:14, 65:9,
 70:16, 86:21, 87:1,
 113:10, 125:24,
 137:11, 169:25,
 170:1, 184:20, 196:9
NICK [1] - 2:12
night [2] - 147:15,
 147:16
NO [1] - 1:5
non [16] - 73:19,
 80:10, 82:8, 82:22,
 83:7, 84:4, 88:2,
 88:6, 88:24, 89:1,
 94:10, 103:14,
 167:3, 190:9,
 195:22, 196:12
non-incremental [1] -
 94:10
non-infringing [12] -
 80:10, 82:8, 82:22,
 83:7, 84:4, 88:2,
 88:6, 88:24, 89:1,
 103:14, 167:3,
 195:22
non-legal [2] - 190:9,
 196:12
none [4] - 94:4, 94:5,
 108:20, 108:21
Nonprofit [1] - 26:2
nonprofit [23] - 90:20,

90:21, 91:11, 99:17,
 106:13, 106:23,
 107:10, 107:17,
 107:25, 108:6,
 122:11, 122:22,
 122:25, 123:3,
 158:2, 182:11,
 194:15, 194:18,
 195:18, 205:11,
 205:17, 205:19,
 205:21
nonprofit's [1] -
 182:23
nonprofits [4] - 107:6,
 122:4, 156:16, 158:1
nonresponsive [1] -
 197:14
normal [1] - 157:17
normally [1] - 66:2
not-for-profit [7] -
 89:25, 90:14,
 165:22, 166:4,
 183:13, 183:15,
 183:17
note [3] - 33:11,
 183:2, 184:24
notebook [1] - 11:12
notes [1] - 44:23
Nothing [2] - 145:20,
 152:13
nothing [5] - 53:18,
 59:13, 107:12,
 212:6, 212:7
notice [5] - 30:8, 37:8,
 45:23, 153:4, 208:8
notify [1] - 133:9
notion [2] - 163:12,
 165:25
notorious [3] -
 207:15, 209:16,
 210:21
notoriously [1] -
 208:3
NOVEMBER [1] - 1:10
November [8] - 16:25,
 72:13, 72:15, 72:21,
 108:8, 132:23,
 133:3, 218:16
nowhere [1] - 176:7
Number [2] - 7:24,
 208:4
number [55] - 8:18,
 8:22, 13:13, 18:16,
 21:14, 21:16, 21:19,
 22:9, 22:11, 22:15,
 23:8, 25:14, 32:19,
 32:21, 32:22, 32:24,
 43:13, 54:19, 67:15,
 68:1, 69:11, 70:13,
 75:19, 75:22, 76:16,

77:4, 77:5, 79:20,
 80:15, 86:17, 89:9,
 91:21, 99:12, 100:6,
 101:2, 107:12,
 115:15, 118:15,
 118:16, 129:22,
 132:24, 138:21,
 138:23, 151:7,
 151:11, 151:14,
 151:15, 156:19,
 161:22, 183:3,
 185:21, 187:23,
 188:23, 190:14,
 205:13
numbers [12] - 31:1,
 68:6, 70:17, 85:7,
 85:9, 85:12, 85:13,
 119:16, 119:18,
 153:18, 192:19
Numeral [1] - 182:6
numerator [7] -
 164:14, 164:17,
 164:21, 165:4,
 166:3, 166:20

O

O'REILLY [1] - 2:2
O'Reilly [1] - 26:14
o-r-g [1] - 33:8
object [8] - 13:24,
 23:12, 61:1, 64:22,
 68:8, 103:18,
 167:24, 185:10
objection [36] - 5:15,
 15:4, 15:16, 16:10,
 19:15, 19:16, 20:6,
 20:18, 23:13, 24:11,
 30:15, 32:7, 35:23,
 36:24, 39:24, 41:6,
 61:6, 65:4, 65:14,
 76:20, 100:10,
 133:23, 155:16,
 155:17, 156:3,
 159:16, 169:3,
 173:10, 173:11,
 176:20, 178:20,
 185:11, 197:15,
 197:20, 200:7, 218:6
Objection [10] - 20:2,
 20:25, 21:22, 39:23,
 41:4, 60:9, 95:15,
 96:8, 153:12, 197:14
objections [12] -
 13:22, 16:9, 65:13,
 69:3, 69:5, 76:19,
 100:9, 133:22,
 156:2, 159:11,
 169:2, 218:4
obligation [4] - 96:1,

96:11, 96:16, 96:17
observe [1] - 200:24
observed [1] - 117:18
obtain [1] - 174:9
obtained [2] - 24:7, 67:2
obtaining [1] - 181:14
obvious [3] - 116:6, 212:13, 214:1
Obviously [1] - 39:14
obviously [3] - 39:16, 171:17, 205:6
occasion [2] - 34:24, 40:11
occasions [1] - 68:21
occupancy [2] - 90:9, 108:21
occupy [1] - 151:21
occurred [6] - 44:24, 56:9, 73:5, 102:18, 121:13, 211:13
October [6] - 104:17, 132:8, 132:10, 144:18, 145:1, 159:5
OF [26] - 1:1, 1:15, 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 3:10, 3:10, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 11:15, 46:10, 56:23, 62:12, 64:8, 101:19, 122:1, 127:18, 139:8, 152:15, 155:1, 186:5
offer [11] - 32:5, 35:21, 36:22, 65:10, 68:25, 69:1, 76:17, 100:7, 155:14, 155:23, 176:18
offered [4] - 20:7, 20:8, 159:20, 169:5
offering [1] - 68:12
offers [1] - 13:21
office [11] - 98:7, 98:8, 98:12, 108:22, 114:3, 114:5, 114:9, 178:17, 180:2, 180:5, 180:12
Office [6] - 45:24, 50:2, 50:11, 53:5, 62:23, 162:5
officer [11] - 11:19, 12:11, 40:10, 41:13, 41:15, 42:5, 45:3, 66:15, 127:21, 127:24, 158:15
offices [6] - 64:17, 64:19, 158:11, 172:9, 199:15, 200:24
OFFICIAL [1] - 1:24
official [2] - 128:4, 217:24
often [1] - 74:11
ON [1] - 1:19
on-site [1] - 158:11
once [3] - 42:15, 121:1, 170:2
Once [1] - 158:20
one [78] - 14:14, 15:12, 17:9, 17:11, 19:1, 20:23, 25:5, 26:1, 30:14, 30:18, 33:11, 43:11, 43:12, 47:23, 48:8, 48:21, 51:11, 51:18, 52:5, 59:11, 67:11, 67:25, 68:1, 68:2, 72:7, 74:9, 77:25, 81:25, 84:11, 84:25, 87:21, 94:20, 95:21, 96:24, 98:25, 99:13, 102:19, 105:3, 105:6, 105:7, 105:22, 108:4, 108:10, 108:24, 113:5, 140:6, 147:1, 150:15, 152:21, 154:13, 156:25, 157:4, 160:20, 161:7, 161:22, 162:4, 162:25, 164:22, 170:7, 172:23, 173:24, 177:25, 184:3, 190:21, 193:7, 193:14, 202:5, 204:8, 204:9, 206:22, 207:18, 207:20, 208:4, 208:15, 209:14, 212:11, 217:11
One [6] - 12:8, 80:22, 107:2, 107:20, 122:2, 156:21
ones [8] - 25:17, 97:7, 97:8, 103:24, 143:20, 144:12, 179:24, 180:11
online [9] - 32:20, 67:19, 83:21, 84:25, 136:5, 153:15, 154:1, 173:17, 176:2
Online [2] - 49:13, 176:1
Oorah [4] - 80:17, 87:4, 87:6, 90:17
open [7] - 45:2, 207:15, 208:2, 209:16, 210:21, 212:10, 212:13
opening [1] - 59:24
operate [3] - 89:25, 200:25, 208:2
operated [6] - 66:1, 170:6, 171:2, 172:5, 198:23, 201:6
operates [4] - 171:3, 180:10, 196:20, 196:24
operating [15] - 11:18, 38:18, 40:10, 40:25, 41:13, 57:13, 66:15, 88:11, 98:14, 115:25, 116:3, 127:21, 127:23, 158:15, 166:3
operation [11] - 41:15, 42:5, 61:25, 66:17, 90:17, 92:19, 97:17, 98:6, 114:13, 171:11, 200:25
operations [2] - 45:3, 128:1
opined [1] - 119:9
opining [1] - 102:9
opinion [25] - 18:4, 25:7, 25:16, 41:5, 68:10, 69:14, 93:16, 110:2, 116:24, 117:8, 117:21, 133:5, 160:19, 161:20, 162:16, 163:16, 167:21, 168:2, 168:6, 169:5, 171:12, 172:20, 173:18, 176:25, 179:12
opinions [9] - 66:21, 67:2, 73:8, 101:5, 101:6, 101:9, 156:9, 159:6
opportunity [1] - 66:16
opposed [4] - 8:13, 135:18, 162:12, 180:10
opposing [1] - 80:8
option [1] - 148:9
order [10] - 6:12, 46:21, 47:15, 66:3, 75:21, 86:25, 102:15, 144:1, 168:3, 169:6
ordered [6] - 50:24, 136:14, 137:14, 158:20, 167:25, 168:1
ordinary [3] - 35:10, 128:18, 133:18
organization [16] - 12:12, 31:21, 31:24, 36:8, 39:12, 52:6, 53:3, 53:21, 55:22, 61:19, 99:17, 115:19, 137:19, 181:11, 181:24
organizational [1] - 88:11
organizations [1] - 208:21
original [1] - 140:14
originated [4] - 10:1, 21:14, 174:7, 174:23
ORRICK [1] - 2:5
otherwise [4] - 148:10, 151:8, 151:9, 214:20
ourselves [2] - 26:11, 26:18
outside [13] - 132:2, 132:7, 144:19, 145:2, 145:7, 148:15, 148:17, 167:24, 168:2, 169:5, 186:15, 186:21, 187:2
overall [2] - 97:19, 166:18
overcome [1] - 209:19
overcompensation [2] - 10:11, 10:12
overhead [1] - 114:5
Overruled [3] - 153:24, 168:4, 200:11
oversee [2] - 127:25, 128:1
overshadowed [1] - 73:4
own [9] - 26:10, 33:18, 84:17, 103:21, 159:15, 161:17, 181:12, 211:2, 213:23
owned [4] - 36:10, 36:12, 50:9, 141:13
owners [3] - 58:2, 90:14, 122:25
ownership [1] - 36:14
owns [2] - 36:8, 130:25
P
p.m [1] - 20:23
page [45] - 18:22, 19:2, 27:13, 27:21, 28:4, 28:6, 28:8, 29:17, 29:20, 47:4, 48:10, 58:10, 134:3, 134:4, 137:24, 142:25, 143:15, 147:23, 148:4, 148:11, 148:12, 148:22, 149:2, 149:3, 149:5, 149:7, 149:9, 149:11, 149:15, 151:6, 160:2, 167:11, 173:2, 176:17, 181:20, 181:22, 182:1, 182:3, 182:4, 182:21, 182:25, 183:1, 183:3, 190:19, 191:2
Page [2] - 190:21, 213:24
Pages [1] - 95:1
pages [2] - 159:13, 182:4
paid [12] - 28:21, 45:9, 46:20, 47:20, 48:17, 55:2, 55:16, 90:6, 94:9, 113:17, 183:5, 198:5
paper [1] - 52:15
papers [1] - 40:18
paperwork [1] - 78:2
paragraph [1] - 43:24
paragraphs [1] - 61:14
parked [8] - 30:1, 30:4, 30:7, 31:7, 33:4, 33:10, 72:3
parking [8] - 31:4, 33:9, 71:10, 71:21, 73:6, 73:10, 73:13, 217:12
parlance [1] - 67:11
part [27] - 6:6, 9:19, 31:21, 44:18, 45:3, 50:20, 50:22, 54:12, 55:21, 88:4, 98:14, 98:17, 115:15, 121:13, 135:10, 160:24, 171:15, 172:19, 180:22, 181:4, 181:8, 181:13, 192:20, 193:21, 196:7, 201:14, 201:15
Part [1] - 182:6
particular [18] - 17:6, 17:12, 22:9, 22:10, 22:11, 47:4, 47:25, 48:9, 48:20, 50:10, 60:11, 121:15, 121:16, 134:11, 171:14, 172:4, 207:19

particularly [3] - 98:4, 158:5, 208:17
parties [17] - 5:4, 5:20, 10:2, 10:5, 10:12, 57:25, 58:3, 66:5, 66:11, 156:17, 167:25, 168:1, 175:6, 175:10, 190:17, 191:7, 216:5
parties' [1] - 196:13
party [16] - 55:9, 66:9, 77:8, 77:16, 77:24, 78:3, 78:12, 78:20, 78:22, 79:17, 95:22, 125:8, 128:20, 157:3, 158:6, 190:8
pass [2] - 46:8, 185:15
Pass [6] - 62:8, 101:13, 121:22, 124:8, 185:5, 206:8
past [3] - 104:5, 108:14, 166:6
patent [3] - 83:8, 83:10, 83:11
Patent [5] - 45:24, 50:2, 50:11, 53:4, 62:22
pause [1] - 45:20
pay [3] - 8:5, 90:13, 99:22
paying [7] - 28:24, 45:4, 90:15, 116:7, 123:2, 142:14, 199:3
payroll [1] - 180:3
pays [1] - 122:23
PC [2] - 2:11, 2:14
Pennsylvania [1] - 214:17
penny [1] - 163:24
people [26] - 24:7, 26:15, 32:18, 32:22, 39:14, 39:21, 39:25, 61:12, 61:25, 67:16, 68:3, 118:16, 118:19, 142:9, 144:10, 145:2, 146:5, 149:5, 150:4, 161:20, 162:17, 163:1, 181:14, 212:7, 212:12, 213:22
people's [1] - 105:4
per [6] - 72:14, 74:22, 130:13, 141:25, 162:20
per-day [1] - 141:25
per-month [1] - 141:25
percent [58] - 32:17, 32:20, 70:8, 70:12, 71:23, 80:11, 80:15, 83:12, 83:13, 84:9, 84:10, 87:7, 87:11, 87:15, 87:18, 87:19, 87:22, 88:1, 88:2, 88:6, 88:7, 88:24, 88:25, 89:2, 89:7, 89:14, 92:19, 94:6, 94:7, 96:21, 99:11, 99:12, 99:13, 101:2, 113:22, 116:15, 116:23, 120:5, 120:9, 140:9, 152:9, 161:7, 163:21, 166:10, 166:19, 166:21, 167:2, 167:5, 167:18, 168:18, 179:7, 180:6, 195:21, 197:12, 201:7
percentage [9] - 32:14, 88:10, 88:15, 96:22, 97:1, 106:17, 122:8, 152:7
perform [3] - 158:17, 160:24, 187:6
performance [2] - 67:9, 68:25
performed [4] - 65:24, 103:10, 104:5, 173:6
performing [2] - 158:8, 158:19
perhaps [2] - 98:15, 121:10
period [18] - 71:20, 71:24, 73:2, 79:2, 83:16, 114:20, 117:10, 160:4, 160:12, 160:14, 167:17, 173:21, 174:21, 177:4, 184:18, 184:20, 184:21, 184:25
Period [1] - 210:9
periodically [1] - 47:8
periods [5] - 160:19, 167:14, 178:15, 184:17, 211:4
Permits [2] - 197:6, 198:2
permits [2] - 197:9, 198:6
perpetrated [1] - 209:20
perplexed [1] - 217:13
person [2] - 139:1, 162:20
personal [1] - 161:17
personally [9] - 14:10, 39:1, 42:7, 42:15, 51:9, 51:13, 51:14, 51:22, 52:2
perspective [2] - 93:3, 177:16
persuasive [1] - 183:23
pertained [1] - 129:17
pertains [2] - 72:6, 128:16
perverse [1] - 99:15
PETER [2] - 1:14, 2:5
PGS [1] - 1:6
phase [6] - 12:18, 155:8, 155:25, 181:20, 207:8, 214:4
phone [16] - 22:9, 22:10, 22:11, 22:15, 23:1, 23:6, 23:8, 32:21, 32:22, 46:15, 86:17, 137:5, 138:22, 146:7, 192:18
phones [1] - 146:1
photo [1] - 22:18
phrase [1] - 208:16
phrased [1] - 97:11
physically [1] - 58:11
pick [12] - 20:17, 28:15, 28:18, 28:22, 28:25, 29:10, 72:25, 78:1, 128:24, 129:1, 129:5, 144:24
pick-up [1] - 129:5
picked [5] - 30:10, 54:12, 78:19, 144:23, 208:14
picking [2] - 132:17, 134:23
picks [3] - 27:18, 27:24, 29:4
pickups [1] - 187:8
piece [1] - 93:5
Pittman [39] - 5:11, 7:17, 10:24, 13:10, 13:25, 14:3, 20:21, 22:14, 37:23, 55:24, 56:10, 56:14, 59:22, 61:23, 63:14, 64:6, 65:8, 68:14, 79:9, 93:14, 124:13, 124:23, 125:6, 126:5, 155:16, 156:2, 173:9, 178:19, 185:7, 185:10, 185:20, 186:3, 191:16, 203:21, 209:11, 214:5, 216:23, 217:3, 218:1
PITTMAN [133] - 2:11, 2:12, 3:3, 3:5, 3:7, 3:9, 3:13, 5:12, 7:18, 7:20, 9:8, 9:16, 10:25, 11:11, 11:15, 13:11, 13:15, 13:20, 14:5, 15:2, 15:10, 15:11, 15:14, 15:20, 16:7, 17:3, 17:8, 17:13, 17:23, 19:14, 19:20, 20:7, 20:14, 21:10, 21:25, 22:16, 22:21, 22:23, 23:11, 23:17, 23:19, 23:22, 24:1, 24:9, 24:15, 26:22, 27:3, 27:7, 30:22, 30:23, 32:5, 32:11, 34:1, 34:21, 35:21, 36:2, 36:22, 37:4, 37:25, 39:20, 40:7, 41:10, 43:15, 45:18, 45:21, 46:7, 56:23, 58:7, 60:15, 60:16, 62:8, 63:7, 63:21, 64:7, 64:8, 65:10, 65:18, 68:15, 68:16, 68:22, 69:9, 69:10, 74:6, 76:17, 76:24, 78:18, 83:25, 85:15, 89:12, 94:23, 95:23, 96:2, 97:6, 99:7, 100:7, 100:14, 101:13, 103:18, 122:1, 124:8, 124:24, 126:6, 126:9, 155:17, 156:3, 159:12, 167:24, 169:3, 173:10, 176:20, 178:20, 185:11, 185:16, 186:4, 186:5, 192:1, 197:14, 197:16, 197:18, 197:21, 197:24, 197:25, 200:12, 203:24, 204:1, 206:8, 209:13, 210:6, 213:5, 215:25, 216:3, 217:5, 218:6
Pittman's [2] - 159:16, 159:18
PIZZI [1] - 2:2
place [7] - 94:18, 132:12, 132:18, 134:25, 136:25, 154:2, 177:25
places [1] - 26:15
plaintiff [10] - 7:25, 8:3, 9:22, 10:1, 10:13, 83:17, 96:14, 96:15, 127:10, 196:14
PLAINTIFF [3] - 1:4, 2:3, 2:7
Plaintiff [14] - 4:15, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 133:25, 155:21, 169:8, 173:12, 176:22, 178:22, 185:13
plaintiffs [2] - 10:6, 10:8
pleadings [1] - 66:7
plenty [1] - 210:22
plugged [1] - 48:17
plus [3] - 8:14, 154:13, 203:22
point [13] - 22:8, 29:22, 30:7, 36:10, 44:11, 70:25, 80:5, 97:3, 102:17, 117:5, 134:22, 137:1, 172:11
Point [3] - 152:9, 152:11, 152:12
pointed [3] - 101:1, 210:13, 210:22
points [4] - 59:23, 60:1, 206:23, 206:25
poor [1] - 122:10
pop [18] - 24:22, 25:3, 46:18, 46:20, 47:3, 47:5, 47:15, 47:17, 47:25, 48:5, 48:9, 60:10, 60:19, 60:23, 134:21, 136:5, 148:22, 150:22
Pop [1] - 25:4
pop-up [16] - 24:22, 25:3, 46:18, 46:20, 47:3, 47:5, 47:15, 47:17, 47:25, 48:5, 48:9, 60:10, 60:19, 60:23, 134:21, 136:5
Pop-up [1] - 25:4
pop-ups [1] - 150:22
popped [1] - 48:20
portal [1] - 174:8
portion [18] - 111:25, 114:7, 140:7, 164:2, 165:3, 176:8, 177:9, 178:12, 178:13, 178:16, 179:1, 179:9, 179:13, 179:18, 196:21, 197:11, 203:13, 216:11
position [1] - 6:3
positive [1] - 33:11

possesses [1] - 144:9
possibility [1] - 147:7
possible [1] - 48:1
possibly [2] - 19:22, 26:13
Possibly [1] - 47:13
post [1] - 156:8
postcards [1] - 62:17
potential [12] - 12:16, 68:7, 118:12, 133:9, 134:4, 134:5, 134:8, 134:11, 134:22, 139:3, 149:1, 151:2
Potentially [1] - 149:12
practice [3] - 31:22, 35:12, 128:17
prefer [1] - 126:23
preferred [1] - 95:8
prejudice [1] - 207:5
premark [1] - 13:12
premarked [1] - 13:12
premise [2] - 28:20, 53:19
preparation [1] - 128:12
prepare [3] - 11:23, 69:18, 76:4
prepared [7] - 31:24, 35:15, 102:2, 114:24, 119:8, 120:6, 123:25
present [2] - 5:9, 6:11
presented [4] - 6:11, 9:3, 12:19, 60:1
presenting [1] - 177:9
presently [1] - 142:24
president [1] - 158:15
Press [2] - 207:14, 212:12
presumably [1] - 118:13
pretrial [1] - 6:12
pretty [1] - 69:24
prevent [4] - 10:18, 25:17, 136:20, 214:6
previous [5] - 66:11, 68:22, 200:21, 211:6, 216:1
previously [9] - 6:5, 9:19, 47:7, 70:19, 75:24, 185:24, 215:18, 216:4
price [2] - 77:8, 78:12
Primarily [2] - 12:14, 173:17
primarily [3] - 65:21, 85:4, 176:1
primary [2] - 162:25, 185:3
principles [2] - 101:10, 170:10
print [2] - 28:8, 176:2
private [1] - 212:10
privied [1] - 56:12
problem [1] - 91:21
problematically [1] - 134:20
proceed [4] - 5:8, 10:24, 101:18, 137:11
proceeding [2] - 6:7, 9:13
proceedings [2] - 5:21, 218:14
process [6] - 77:20, 77:23, 113:14, 132:20, 144:12, 162:12
processing [1] - 78:2
processor [1] - 78:4
produce [2] - 66:5, 95:5
produced [15] - 40:16, 75:7, 75:20, 75:24, 77:7, 85:11, 92:17, 108:19, 111:1, 111:6, 111:7, 118:11, 118:21, 119:2, 129:16
producing [1] - 113:5
product [2] - 93:10, 171:14
production [3] - 75:6, 75:21, 124:6
professionals [1] - 64:17
profit [47] - 8:18, 76:16, 78:5, 80:2, 81:4, 81:15, 86:23, 89:24, 89:25, 90:12, 90:13, 90:14, 93:4, 94:12, 99:22, 100:5, 100:20, 101:4, 114:20, 122:17, 122:20, 122:22, 122:23, 123:4, 123:6, 123:13, 123:19, 158:3, 165:22, 166:4, 171:5, 171:7, 180:25, 183:9, 183:12, 183:13, 183:15, 183:17, 183:21, 183:22, 190:24, 194:14, 195:1
profit's [1] - 123:1
Profits [1] - 216:21
profits [78] - 7:2, 7:3, 7:6, 7:8, 7:9, 7:14, 7:21, 7:24, 8:2, 8:8, 8:10, 8:14, 9:2, 9:15, 9:20, 9:25, 10:7, 10:10, 10:13, 10:14, 10:22, 74:12, 74:17, 75:4, 76:5, 90:19, 91:10, 93:16, 99:21, 102:6, 102:14, 102:16, 103:17, 106:1, 115:9, 115:12, 115:15, 117:9, 117:14, 119:10, 119:13, 120:3, 120:11, 120:12, 120:18, 122:19, 122:20, 122:24, 138:6, 156:11, 158:3, 158:20, 159:7, 160:25, 167:2, 168:1, 170:2, 170:3, 170:8, 171:17, 171:18, 172:13, 179:11, 180:24, 183:9, 184:12, 188:12, 189:1, 189:4, 189:8, 191:21, 194:14, 205:18, 206:1, 208:25
Program [1] - 97:15
programs [7] - 180:21, 180:22, 181:3, 181:5, 181:6, 181:12, 200:4
projection [3] - 132:22, 133:2, 138:2
projections [1] - 133:6
promotion [1] - 128:8
promotional [1] - 207:12
proof [4] - 134:24, 216:18, 216:20, 216:24
proper [6] - 83:24, 86:12, 93:3, 171:8, 171:17, 208:17
property [3] - 57:1, 161:3, 161:9
proportion [1] - 190:24
proposition [1] - 110:10
prosecution [1] - 45:5
prospective [4] - 147:23, 190:7, 190:9, 196:12
protocol [5] - 132:12, 132:14, 132:15, 132:18, 134:19
protocols [2] - 136:25, 137:1
prove [8] - 74:24, 96:15, 96:17, 98:10, 110:3, 190:24, 196:11, 216:24
provide [19] - 6:1, 32:12, 36:3, 110:7, 139:15, 139:21, 139:24, 140:8, 142:10, 142:16, 143:7, 145:5, 145:10, 156:9, 163:14, 165:6, 185:25, 186:14, 187:7
provided [17] - 5:22, 17:10, 17:16, 25:24, 34:22, 66:22, 101:5, 101:6, 110:16, 124:5, 141:3, 143:12, 145:8, 158:9, 158:10, 174:4, 174:21
provider [3] - 77:16, 77:24, 78:12
provides [3] - 8:1, 77:25, 182:5
providing [1] - 78:16
proving [2] - 108:2, 109:5
prowess [1] - 26:2
proxy [5] - 88:10, 88:11, 106:20, 122:9, 122:10
public [3] - 37:8, 66:8, 210:20
Publications [1] - 207:13
publications [2] - 91:5, 161:4
Pull [1] - 58:7
pull [1] - 142:19
pulled [1] - 38:7
pulling [2] - 54:12, 54:13
purchase [1] - 135:17
purchased [2] - 200:14, 200:21
purchases [1] - 200:18
purely [1] - 93:2
purported [5] - 71:11, 73:6, 80:9, 117:24, 119:6
purportedly [2] - 70:25, 72:3
purpose [4] - 66:22, 90:18, 91:9, 134:3
purposes [9] - 41:2, 93:1, 102:9, 107:4, 107:6, 108:2, 109:16, 109:22, 188:22
pursued [1] - 158:21
pursuing [1] - 161:24
put [26] - 9:11, 46:13, 70:17, 76:8, 97:21, 106:1, 113:6, 126:24, 132:12, 132:18, 133:12, 134:8, 136:25, 137:20, 147:5, 148:9, 184:3, 185:22, 191:14, 191:16, 191:18, 206:21, 208:8, 209:16, 217:13, 217:25
puts [1] - 169:4

Q

qualified [3] - 68:9, 95:16, 109:7
quantify [3] - 70:20, 109:22, 118:6
quantitatively [2] - 32:25, 69:16
questions [18] - 37:9, 46:3, 46:4, 56:20, 60:3, 61:11, 61:15, 62:25, 83:1, 122:2, 122:15, 123:20, 124:9, 124:14, 139:6, 154:8, 190:14, 205:16
quickly [1] - 77:20

R

Rabbi [1] - 62:16
rabbinical [2] - 98:15, 200:4
radio [22] - 40:20,

62:4, 67:18, 72:15,
83:20, 84:9, 85:2,
85:18, 85:21, 86:2,
95:1, 135:8, 143:21,
144:2, 147:9,
147:10, 173:17,
175:11, 176:1,
176:2, 177:15,
213:24
raise [2] - 198:13,
206:23
raised [1] - 200:14
ran [2] - 61:17, 188:21
range [1] - 85:25
rapidly [1] - 69:24
rate [2] - 70:7, 70:12
rates [2] - 142:3, 142:4
rather [4] - 8:7, 8:12,
71:20, 197:24
ratio [33] - 88:17,
88:19, 106:7, 106:9,
106:12, 106:22,
106:25, 107:3,
122:3, 122:5, 122:6,
122:10, 164:4,
164:5, 164:9,
164:24, 165:1,
165:17, 166:6,
166:15, 167:4,
167:16, 168:13,
168:17, 168:22,
193:25, 194:3,
194:11, 194:17,
194:22, 195:4,
195:15
ratios [2] - 166:5,
194:25
re [3] - 11:4, 68:25,
181:2
re-explain [1] - 181:2
re-offer [1] - 68:25
re-swear [1] - 11:4
reach [2] - 68:4, 140:8
reached [2] - 44:16,
68:6
reaches [1] - 203:14
reaching [1] - 151:2
read [12] - 20:4, 43:19,
44:3, 95:18, 95:20,
149:22, 153:2,
203:15, 203:19,
213:4, 215:10,
215:12
Readers [2] - 207:13,
214:3
readily [1] - 104:15
reading [1] - 119:16
ready [2] - 5:4, 5:13
real [6] - 77:20, 98:13,
142:24, 200:4,
200:14, 200:17
really [12] - 7:13,
17:15, 50:20, 83:24,
99:14, 106:21,
148:8, 157:8, 158:2,
163:10, 183:13,
209:20
realm [1] - 9:12
reappear [1] - 47:8
reason [13] - 9:3, 82:7,
86:15, 95:5, 109:7,
109:12, 109:18,
111:3, 154:6,
156:25, 185:4,
201:5, 211:19
reasonable [27] - 6:2,
6:9, 6:10, 6:14, 6:18,
8:9, 8:12, 8:14, 8:23,
9:10, 9:12, 45:9,
74:16, 101:6, 120:7,
125:3, 163:20,
165:17, 166:9,
168:18, 168:23,
175:8, 178:4, 180:7,
180:23, 183:23
reasonably [4] -
162:15, 165:3,
187:5, 191:13
reasoning [2] - 151:5,
187:13
reasons [5] - 25:13,
106:25, 161:12,
169:3, 193:8
reassigned [1] - 39:5
rebuttal [1] - 125:22
receipts [1] - 76:2
receive [6] - 18:2,
19:22, 78:14,
113:21, 132:22,
189:16
received [27] - 20:10,
70:4, 70:11, 71:4,
71:5, 75:9, 75:22,
76:13, 86:17, 106:2,
113:22, 116:13,
120:10, 121:1,
122:16, 139:17,
143:10, 156:14,
175:20, 186:15,
186:17, 186:20,
187:1, 187:8,
189:23, 195:25,
211:23
receives [3] - 32:15,
69:17, 78:13
receiving [5] - 22:24,
42:11, 104:21,
105:14, 105:19
recent [1] - 104:19
recently [6] - 43:24,
44:4, 44:7, 69:15,
85:20, 144:18
Recess [2] - 63:12,
124:22
recognition [1] - 26:4
recognize [7] -
133:14, 155:9,
159:2, 159:24,
163:12, 176:14,
178:7
recollection [3] -
44:25, 56:24, 57:9
record [14] - 5:15,
11:6, 45:2, 63:25,
66:6, 115:1, 127:13,
130:16, 157:2,
157:8, 168:5,
168:25, 198:14,
218:14
recorded [3] - 32:2,
35:18, 45:23
records [26] - 12:3,
35:13, 37:17, 40:12,
61:24, 66:6, 75:24,
84:18, 85:10, 86:3,
86:10, 139:18,
139:19, 143:8,
156:17, 157:18,
158:5, 158:7,
158:16, 160:13,
162:5, 174:25,
175:7, 192:12,
192:20, 212:7
recoverable [1] -
115:16
recovery [1] - 10:19
RECROSS [2] - 3:6,
62:12
RECROSS-
EXAMINATION [2] -
3:6, 62:12
red [2] - 71:1, 71:22
REDIRECT [6] - 3:5,
3:9, 3:11, 56:23,
122:1, 152:15
redirect [4] - 59:22,
124:12, 206:9,
206:10
Redirect [3] - 56:22,
121:24, 152:14
redirected [1] - 30:5
redo [1] - 9:7
reduce [1] - 87:19
reduced [2] - 81:16,
120:1
reduces [3] - 80:10,
80:14, 89:13
reducing [1] - 89:7
reduction [1] - 120:5
refer [3] - 104:23,
153:14, 153:17
referenced [5] - 41:4,
62:21, 195:14,
195:19, 203:2
referencing [2] -
138:13, 202:23
referred [3] - 46:18,
105:1, 135:9
referring [9] - 38:10,
53:2, 104:23,
152:16, 194:6,
200:17, 200:19,
203:10, 203:11
refers [1] - 179:19
reflect [2] - 158:7,
171:8
reflected [11] - 35:16,
36:6, 69:25, 79:5,
160:13, 162:5,
167:4, 168:9,
168:19, 182:21,
183:20
reflects [6] - 78:19,
79:16, 158:4,
180:24, 183:16,
187:5
refresh [3] - 44:24,
56:24, 57:9
regard [1] - 9:9
regarding [8] - 6:1,
33:21, 36:5, 45:22,
57:7, 66:5, 124:6,
132:21
regardless [1] - 58:2
region [1] - 180:10
registered [1] - 73:15
regular [3] - 31:18,
31:22, 35:12
reintroduce [3] -
11:16, 63:15, 64:9
relate [8] - 75:25,
97:22, 98:5, 98:23,
112:10, 116:16,
197:10, 200:25
related [16] - 62:1,
95:6, 97:2, 99:2,
110:9, 116:17,
129:5, 161:5,
162:13, 164:2,
175:19, 176:2,
180:3, 181:6, 187:5,
207:20
relates [9] - 30:12,
38:2, 68:19, 70:19,
80:1, 98:10, 106:17,
122:4, 189:5
relationship [1] -
179:7
relative [1] - 181:11
relevant [1] - 183:14
reliability [2] - 109:12,
109:15
reliable [11] - 75:11,
109:5, 109:22,
110:1, 111:13,
111:21, 156:23,
157:12, 157:22,
174:13, 175:2
reliance [1] - 91:5
relied [5] - 108:17,
108:25, 110:20,
111:16, 175:9
relief [2] - 12:9, 12:13
rely [6] - 91:3, 111:4,
177:6, 177:18,
195:8, 202:13
relying [2] - 112:15,
178:3
remaining [2] - 33:10,
87:7
remedy [8] - 65:22,
74:8, 74:11, 74:22,
93:16, 99:16, 99:22,
102:10
remember [10] -
32:22, 37:8, 53:22,
55:7, 60:12, 73:19,
132:25, 139:11,
140:9, 142:18
remind [3] - 114:16,
127:19, 132:24
remits [1] - 78:4
remitted [1] - 78:14
renew [1] - 46:5
rent [9] - 93:22, 94:5,
112:7, 112:17,
112:18, 112:20,
112:22, 112:24,
204:25
repair [1] - 8:5
repaired [2] - 199:9,
199:12
Repairs [1] - 199:6
repeat [4] - 20:3, 40:8,
197:24, 204:19
Repeat [1] - 140:11
repeats [1] - 148:6
rephrase [3] - 41:8,
140:16, 197:21
replace [1] - 56:5
reply [1] - 213:18
report [34] - 76:11,
76:12, 104:16,
104:19, 104:20,
105:3, 109:11,
145:13, 156:20,
159:5, 159:18,
160:2, 164:4,
167:11, 167:12,
172:16, 173:2,

174:13, 176:17,
178:10, 179:24,
184:9, 192:2, 192:6,
194:4, 194:6, 194:8,
196:25, 197:3,
198:1, 200:23,
203:11
reported [4] - 92:5,
98:2, 99:5, 196:18
Reporter [1] - 218:19
REPORTER [1] - 1:24
reporter [2] - 20:4,
95:20
reports [15] - 77:18,
82:1, 102:2, 102:5,
102:12, 102:16,
119:8, 119:9,
119:12, 119:17,
119:20, 120:6,
142:12, 156:10,
195:10
represent [5] - 54:19,
75:13, 100:19,
165:14, 208:21
representation [1] -
95:10
representative [4] -
11:20, 134:18,
137:4, 137:7
representatives [6] -
112:4, 113:14,
113:18, 113:21,
132:17, 134:14
represented [1] - 23:9
representing [5] -
58:5, 75:5, 100:20,
201:10, 201:19
represents [1] - 210:7
reputation [7] - 8:5,
25:20, 25:23, 26:1,
26:10, 54:9, 54:14
request [3] - 5:14,
46:5, 158:9
requested [6] -
158:10, 158:16,
160:17, 175:18,
187:4, 206:6
requesting [3] - 12:12,
18:7, 25:9
requests [1] - 12:6
required [9] - 9:4,
74:20, 74:23,
112:18, 113:2,
116:18, 123:8, 158:1
requires [3] - 91:23,
92:2, 97:21
reread [1] - 12:1
research [5] - 37:10,
37:16, 138:15,
138:19, 161:15
resident [2] - 204:11
residents [3] - 144:18,
145:6, 204:14
resolution [4] - 44:13,
44:16, 56:25, 57:6
resolved [1] - 10:21
respect [5] - 5:25,
62:20, 66:24, 75:22,
129:19
respond [3] - 20:5,
38:7, 197:17
response [3] - 61:20,
75:21, 125:21
responsibilities [1] -
127:23
responsibility [4] -
41:11, 41:13, 41:17,
154:4
responsible [5] -
31:14, 44:19, 45:4,
118:2, 118:25
rest [2] - 124:23,
206:16
restate [2] - 20:21,
37:24
restrict [1] - 150:24
restrictions [1] -
150:21
rests [1] - 206:17
result [7] - 10:11,
10:12, 31:4, 106:3,
114:13, 199:21,
207:5
results [1] - 68:1
resume [1] - 155:12
retain [1] - 78:5
retaining [1] - 79:13
retains [1] - 78:15
retargeting [1] -
150:10
return [3] - 158:2,
181:24, 182:4
returned [1] - 119:23
revenue [12] - 74:21,
75:4, 75:16, 76:5,
76:14, 76:25, 77:3,
77:7, 77:10, 77:15,
78:9, 78:10, 78:11,
78:13, 79:6, 79:12,
79:13, 79:15, 79:21,
80:15, 80:19, 80:20,
82:6, 83:6, 83:7,
83:13, 83:17, 84:10,
87:7, 87:14, 87:20,
88:3, 88:5, 88:14,
89:8, 90:1, 90:8,
92:7, 92:12, 92:16,
92:20, 94:6, 94:7,
95:7, 95:11, 96:23,
96:25, 97:1, 98:23,
99:12, 100:2,
103:13, 106:10,
108:3, 108:15,
110:9, 110:13,
114:17, 115:21,
116:5, 116:9,
116:12, 116:15,
116:17, 116:19,
116:21, 120:9,
123:18, 128:16,
128:18, 129:20,
138:6, 140:9, 160:3,
160:6, 161:7, 161:8,
161:10, 163:8,
163:16, 163:21,
163:25, 165:4,
165:18, 166:6,
167:15, 168:6,
169:13, 169:24,
170:2, 170:18,
171:1, 171:4,
171:11, 171:15,
173:3, 179:7, 179:9,
180:7, 180:8,
180:21, 183:18,
186:7, 186:11,
187:8, 188:25,
189:11, 189:12,
189:13, 189:19,
192:21, 198:13,
199:25, 201:22,
202:5, 204:5, 205:8
revenue's [1] - 180:21
revenues [10] - 75:8,
75:14, 80:23, 96:22,
138:1, 157:10,
160:15, 164:2,
167:2, 167:22
review [11] - 38:15,
66:2, 75:18, 132:11,
156:8, 158:6, 161:4,
163:5, 175:19,
180:15, 218:1
reviewed [8] - 12:3,
66:10, 108:14,
110:15, 156:16,
162:13, 165:19,
179:3
reviewing [3] - 24:21,
204:16, 218:3
Richard [1] - 36:19
right-hand [1] - 183:4
rights [5] - 44:1, 50:8,
50:10, 53:3, 57:10
rises [1] - 200:20
road [1] - 151:16
role [6] - 11:20, 44:18,
128:3, 128:14,
190:16, 196:14
Roman [1] - 182:6
Rothschild [2] - 56:2,
139:12
ROTHSCHILD [1] -
2:8
row [7] - 160:11,
160:12, 160:14,
167:20, 178:14,
184:18, 184:20
royalties [5] - 8:9,
8:10, 8:14, 8:23,
9:10
royalty [7] - 6:2, 6:9,
6:10, 6:14, 6:18,
6:25, 8:12
rule [1] - 68:13
ruled [7] - 6:5, 6:8,
7:5, 9:19, 10:19,
103:22, 103:25
rules [1] - 148:25
ruling [2] - 10:16,
172:3
rulings [1] - 103:24
run [3] - 90:9, 90:10,
145:15
runs [2] - 28:24,
143:24
rush [1] - 125:13

S

salaries [3] - 90:9,
97:23, 97:24
salary [3] - 94:9,
108:21, 113:21
sales [7] - 10:2, 10:13,
10:14, 74:24, 77:7,
79:16, 96:15
Sandy [1] - 28:2
sat [3] - 62:13, 209:2,
209:7
satisfactory [1] - 25:8
satisfied [2] - 8:15,
8:17
satisfy [1] - 191:9
save [1] - 134:21
saved [2] - 92:16,
132:19
saw [15] - 22:20, 38:5,
42:9, 61:16, 73:1,
136:10, 138:12,
142:21, 152:16,
162:13, 195:18,
195:20, 196:5, 212:6
scholarships [1] -
204:8
school [2] - 53:22,
54:5
schools [1] - 25:25
scope [7] - 121:20,
143:18, 153:12,
167:25, 169:6,
193:21, 196:8
screen [21] - 13:18,
13:24, 14:10, 14:18,
14:22, 16:1, 16:18,
16:23, 17:6, 17:9,
21:9, 22:18, 22:25,
24:4, 35:5, 59:8,
76:8, 84:20, 84:23,
133:13, 149:21
script [1] - 82:18
search [28] - 13:18,
13:24, 16:5, 16:20,
18:24, 19:1, 19:2,
24:19, 24:21, 24:23,
25:1, 47:6, 47:14,
48:13, 48:17, 59:1,
59:5, 59:10, 59:12,
60:6, 60:17, 60:18,
60:23, 61:4, 75:10,
135:19, 146:20
searched [6] - 14:14,
47:7, 47:19, 48:15,
58:15, 61:24
searches [2] - 14:7,
15:12
searching [3] - 58:24,
149:2, 149:8
seated [3] - 5:3, 11:8,
63:13
Second [1] - 43:24
second [13] - 6:22,
42:22, 42:24, 43:19,
43:23, 51:24, 70:4,
79:8, 80:14, 172:16,
176:6, 204:8, 215:19
seconds [1] - 213:19
section [1] - 74:22
Section [4] - 7:23,
10:4, 10:9, 74:11
security [2] - 94:1,
112:7
see [67] - 9:6, 9:10,
9:12, 14:23, 15:21,
18:14, 21:16, 28:6,
28:10, 28:22, 38:16,
40:17, 44:4, 59:8,
66:17, 70:6, 71:3,
71:7, 71:12, 71:19,
71:22, 72:16, 72:25,
82:14, 84:19, 84:22,
84:24, 85:1, 85:7,
85:19, 85:24, 86:14,
87:23, 88:7, 95:8,
99:10, 134:11,
135:20, 135:24,
137:21, 137:23,
142:22, 146:19,
147:24, 148:16,

149:24, 150:4,
152:19, 153:5,
181:6, 181:23,
182:6, 183:2, 183:4,
183:6, 195:19,
196:1, 197:6, 197:7,
198:9, 198:25,
199:7, 204:6,
204:16, 204:22,
214:5
seeing [4] - 32:23,
149:1, 152:25,
204:24
seek [1] - 6:25
seeking [3] - 6:14,
40:1, 132:1
seeks [1] - 10:13
segment [6] - 93:4,
93:11, 93:19,
171:22, 172:4, 172:5
segments [1] - 171:3
segregate [2] - 82:21,
83:6
selected [1] - 158:18
selection [1] - 44:19
sell [3] - 128:24, 129:1
sells [2] - 77:18, 78:23
send [5] - 32:20,
43:11, 43:12,
144:24, 213:9
sending [2] - 44:6,
212:11
sends [1] - 78:24
sense [2] - 11:23,
89:23
sent [23] - 14:13,
17:11, 23:4, 23:5,
23:22, 39:17, 42:23,
42:24, 43:17, 43:18,
44:9, 44:12, 50:17,
52:8, 59:4, 79:1,
134:24, 139:19,
207:11, 207:14,
210:1, 211:10
sentence [3] - 43:19,
43:23, 43:24
separate [9] - 26:11,
57:13, 57:14, 92:3,
108:11, 123:10,
123:11, 147:1,
171:22
separately [3] - 7:20,
57:13, 179:3
separation [1] - 57:8
September [10] -
14:24, 20:22, 45:10,
70:18, 71:13, 71:14,
71:15, 71:20, 71:21,
71:23
serve [1] - 128:14
served [1] - 104:16
service [15] - 77:8,
77:16, 77:24, 77:25,
78:1, 78:7, 78:16,
112:4, 112:23,
113:13, 113:17,
113:20, 132:16,
137:4, 182:14
services [15] - 91:25,
92:5, 97:16, 97:21,
97:25, 98:3, 112:10,
112:13, 112:17,
112:19, 112:21,
112:23, 113:3,
114:8, 196:22
set [6] - 134:20,
137:12, 140:2,
159:6, 207:2, 217:21
setting [2] - 74:25,
209:17
several [6] - 56:9,
161:12, 171:6,
171:15, 182:4,
191:10
share [1] - 67:11
shareholders [2] -
90:14, 122:25
SHERIDAN [1] - 1:14
shop [1] - 47:23
short [1] - 152:3
shortly [1] - 130:7
shot [12] - 13:18,
14:18, 14:22, 16:1,
16:18, 16:23, 17:6,
21:9, 22:18, 22:25,
24:4, 35:5
shots [2] - 14:10, 17:9
show [33] - 23:20,
27:1, 28:22, 30:6,
47:21, 58:23, 58:25,
75:23, 80:6, 84:18,
85:21, 101:3,
135:18, 137:3,
141:23, 142:19,
151:8, 165:1,
167:13, 192:9,
198:1, 204:5,
209:15, 210:10,
210:11, 210:12,
211:5, 211:13,
211:14, 212:9,
212:17, 214:10,
217:1
showed [7] - 56:24,
71:25, 82:12,
120:24, 142:12,
152:17, 210:25
Showing [1] - 27:8
showing [9] - 16:18,
24:2, 40:17, 58:23,
62:3, 72:1, 211:7,
212:7, 212:17
shown [3] - 18:5, 19:8,
67:7
shows [10] - 72:11,
72:18, 76:12, 100:3,
115:1, 147:15,
147:16, 167:17,
183:1, 213:23
shut [1] - 54:1
side [5] - 66:7, 75:12,
82:11, 215:23,
217:23
sides [3] - 12:18,
96:14, 208:10
Signature [1] - 218:19
signed [1] - 36:20
significant [2] -
130:18, 130:23
significantly [5] -
30:11, 31:1, 32:19,
32:25, 72:4
Similar [1] - 151:14
similar [8] - 24:6,
67:17, 67:19,
165:21, 166:5,
183:5, 183:8, 194:17
similarly [1] - 111:20
simply [5] - 59:2, 92:4,
99:17, 109:15,
116:19
simultaneously [1] -
10:11
single [14] - 80:15,
92:4, 164:22,
168:16, 171:1,
171:4, 171:11,
180:7, 180:20,
183:16, 192:14,
196:17, 202:5,
208:16
Sirius [5] - 72:14,
144:6, 146:25,
147:2, 147:4
SiriusXM [5] - 135:8,
136:14, 143:21,
144:2, 144:11
sister [4] - 80:16,
90:17, 115:18,
181:10
sit [1] - 184:3
site [21] - 46:22, 47:1,
47:10, 47:11, 47:17,
47:23, 47:24, 47:25,
48:9, 48:20, 48:22,
49:12, 58:15, 81:25,
135:22, 144:10,
158:11, 208:12
sites [1] - 151:6
sitting [1] - 48:7
situation [4] - 9:4,
171:19, 183:22,
215:5
situations [2] - 10:5,
213:5
Six [1] - 208:6
six [6] - 129:14, 138:4,
210:8, 210:13,
210:16
skip [2] - 54:5, 65:8
slides [1] - 185:22
small [6] - 94:21,
116:16, 177:25,
181:11, 197:11,
203:9
smaller [2] - 94:20,
176:1
smallest [1] - 28:8
snapshot [1] - 23:4
sold [3] - 78:20, 90:8,
189:19
solicit [1] - 123:8
solicitation [2] -
97:17, 123:10
soliciting [1] - 92:1
someone [24] - 15:6,
17:7, 20:15, 20:16,
20:23, 23:8, 37:19,
38:2, 47:16, 58:11,
58:15, 58:16, 58:24,
134:6, 135:19,
135:21, 135:24,
137:18, 146:3,
147:18, 149:8,
151:18, 151:21,
213:10
Someone [1] - 17:18
sometime [1] - 47:10
sometimes [1] -
170:17
sophisticated [1] -
48:11
Sorry [3] - 15:1, 88:23,
147:3
sorry [25] - 18:1, 22:6,
22:8, 37:25, 43:23,
51:6, 51:7, 53:10,
57:18, 77:14, 97:11,
100:16, 134:7,
142:22, 142:24,
144:22, 151:1,
153:21, 169:14,
174:8, 175:17,
185:8, 191:4, 204:19
sort [10] - 26:9, 66:12,
66:23, 69:18, 93:6,
97:19, 99:3, 126:10,
126:12, 174:6
sound [4] - 104:17,
152:1, 188:9, 188:20
sounds [1] - 186:9
source [27] - 110:24,
111:1, 111:4,
111:13, 111:21,
116:4, 124:1, 124:2,
124:4, 130:18,
130:21, 130:22,
156:23, 157:12,
157:17, 157:19,
157:20, 157:23,
160:13, 171:1,
171:4, 171:11,
174:13, 175:2,
180:7, 180:20, 202:5
sources [5] - 107:25,
108:5, 171:16,
175:16, 201:22
Southern [1] - 213:8
space [3] - 151:18,
151:21, 151:22
spaces [1] - 151:12
speaker [1] - 23:5
speaking [1] - 201:17
specific [41] - 24:23,
40:17, 85:16, 87:9,
92:23, 94:14, 94:25,
95:6, 113:3, 120:21,
120:24, 121:5,
129:21, 135:2,
140:18, 140:22,
142:12, 145:20,
151:20, 152:4,
156:17, 166:22,
172:24, 173:5,
173:19, 173:24,
174:16, 175:18,
194:11, 194:21,
195:14, 195:19,
197:6, 198:24,
202:21, 203:7,
203:13, 205:13,
207:20, 211:3, 211:7
Specific [3] - 40:20,
84:24, 85:8
specifically [20] -
15:8, 16:22, 30:10,
48:18, 50:24, 51:1,
62:4, 67:15, 110:8,
114:22, 123:9,
140:7, 173:16,
192:10, 192:12,
195:5, 197:11,
198:4, 198:15,
209:25
Specifically [1] - 18:5
specifics [1] - 141:1
speculation [5] -
30:16, 40:3, 53:16,
60:25, 61:2
speculative [2] - 40:1,

41:7
spell [3] - 64:2,
127:15, 154:20
spelled [1] - 34:10
spelling [4] - 34:2,
53:12, 208:11,
208:17
spend [11] - 68:3,
72:9, 73:1, 73:4,
107:6, 163:13,
174:10, 174:14,
174:20, 176:6
spending [3] - 72:12,
85:22, 175:3
spent [10] - 85:17,
107:3, 115:3,
115:14, 116:12,
164:14, 164:18,
165:4, 200:3, 200:10
spit [2] - 137:8, 137:10
spoken [1] - 22:12
spreadsheet [4] -
31:14, 110:20,
110:24, 111:3
Spreadsheets [2] -
174:4, 174:21
spreadsheets [4] -
75:23, 111:17,
174:7, 174:23
St [1] - 53:22
staff [1] - 17:18
stage [1] - 207:2
stand [2] - 127:11,
154:18
standard [1] - 101:10
standpoint [3] - 12:11,
88:13, 110:2
staple [1] - 26:2
Star [3] - 24:4, 24:16,
24:20
Star-Telegram [1] -
24:16
Star-Telegram.com
[1] - 24:4
start [1] - 148:5
started [10] - 40:23,
42:4, 42:17, 57:12,
72:15, 84:15, 135:1,
210:6, 210:7, 212:25
starts [3] - 100:2,
153:4, 207:21
State [8] - 11:6, 12:15,
63:25, 75:22, 120:4,
127:13, 131:14,
198:6
state [3] - 51:24,
53:17, 61:13
STATE [1] - 1:11
Statement [1] - 182:7
statement [1] - 182:16
statements [4] -
157:9, 157:18, 170:9
states [2] - 194:5,
194:8
States [3] - 45:24,
53:4, 208:2
STATES [1] - 1:1
station [2] - 85:3, 86:3
stations [4] - 85:18,
85:21, 95:1, 213:24
statistics [1] - 145:10
status [1] - 205:11
statute [6] - 7:23, 8:1,
208:6, 210:12,
210:15, 212:25
step [13] - 63:3, 75:13,
77:17, 96:24, 97:1,
124:17, 131:22,
132:13, 137:11,
154:10, 169:25,
170:1, 206:12
sticker [1] - 217:24
stickers [1] - 217:25
still [21] - 15:5, 18:8,
27:5, 32:18, 58:17,
71:7, 73:15, 73:17,
92:20, 94:8, 94:9,
113:21, 145:21,
145:24, 146:1,
148:1, 149:1, 150:4,
151:1, 151:2, 169:15
stop [5] - 44:8,
131:23, 132:6,
132:9, 144:22
stopped [8] - 40:25,
70:25, 73:14, 138:3,
144:18, 144:25,
145:2, 210:2
stopping [1] - 137:25
stored [2] - 150:11,
150:12
story [1] - 210:9
STREET [1] - 1:11
strict [1] - 136:25
strike [4] - 51:17,
79:24, 89:21, 204:4
strong [3] - 120:25,
121:3, 178:2
student [1] - 54:10
students [6] - 25:24,
54:4, 54:5
study [3] - 121:13,
121:14, 162:6
stuff [2] - 91:3, 212:11
subject [10] - 68:20,
121:11, 156:11,
158:3, 161:5, 161:9,
161:11, 161:19
submit [4] - 45:15,
137:24, 150:23,
216:18
submitted [1] - 91:1
subpart [1] - 171:9
subscribers [1] -
212:12
subsidiary [1] - 93:11
substance [1] - 64:22
substantial [1] -
205:12
substantially [2] -
115:20, 116:4
substitute [1] - 8:10
subtracted [1] -
164:24
succeed [1] - 123:17
succinctly [1] - 69:24
sudden [2] - 125:13,
208:9
sued [1] - 53:17
suffered [1] - 208:23
sufficient [3] - 110:3,
110:12, 110:16
suggesting [2] - 81:5,
88:2
suggests [2] - 202:13,
208:3
suing [1] - 201:4
suit [2] - 207:5, 209:21
summaries [1] - 174:9
summarize [2] -
179:24, 182:8
summarized [2] -
173:4, 180:14
summarizes [3] -
67:10, 167:14, 182:9
summarizing [2] -
110:21, 111:17
summary [17] - 67:1,
76:4, 100:1, 103:23,
114:17, 157:9,
169:19, 169:21,
173:8, 176:19,
178:10, 178:18,
180:1, 181:22,
182:21, 183:2, 184:9
summer [1] - 112:5
sums [1] - 71:19
support [7] - 69:12,
105:4, 163:7, 171:5,
175:8, 179:4, 180:18
supported [2] -
126:22, 179:9
supporting [4] - 76:2,
109:24, 111:10,
207:22
supports [3] - 163:12,
169:18, 207:7
suppose [2] - 9:11,
22:17
supposed [1] - 95:13
surplus [5] - 115:17,
116:6, 123:1, 123:7,
123:19
surprise [1] - 50:1
surrounding [1] -
24:17
survey [9] - 82:15,
86:18, 105:25,
121:10, 192:24,
193:2, 193:4, 193:5,
193:11
suspect [1] - 55:15
sustain [3] - 61:5,
95:21, 104:3
sustained [7] - 7:25,
8:3, 9:22, 20:13,
25:12, 41:8, 159:16
SUTCLIFFE [1] - 2:5
swear [1] - 11:4
switch [1] - 150:17
sworn [4] - 11:3, 11:5,
63:24, 154:19
system [10] - 66:18,
132:18, 134:20,
134:24, 134:25,
135:1, 137:12,
138:22, 139:2, 143:6
system's [1] - 137:8

T

table [4] - 160:2,
167:11, 173:2,
176:17
tabs [1] - 108:10
talks [1] - 215:1
tardy [1] - 54:4
tarnish [1] - 26:5
tarnishes [1] - 26:5
tarnishing [2] - 50:14,
53:15
Tax [1] - 162:23
tax [8] - 48:14, 59:6,
105:7, 158:2, 162:6,
162:9, 163:14, 180:4
taxation [1] - 158:3
teaching [1] - 94:11
team [2] - 15:6, 17:7
Tech [1] - 214:16
Technically [1] - 136:7
Telegram [2] - 24:16,
24:20
Telegram.com [1] -
24:4
telephone [3] - 21:13,
21:16, 158:14
television [1] - 67:18
term [3] - 189:7,
202:2, 217:12
termed [1] - 170:17
terms [24] - 18:6,
25:13, 29:25, 33:23,
40:25, 48:17, 59:1,
59:5, 59:10, 59:12,
60:6, 60:18, 60:23,
61:4, 61:9, 68:4,
74:19, 77:10, 84:1,
187:21, 188:11,
188:15, 189:8
territory [3] - 93:5,
93:11, 93:19
test [2] - 170:15,
170:17
testified [43] - 33:2,
39:21, 42:4, 46:14,
49:4, 49:19, 50:13,
50:17, 68:2, 68:17,
81:24, 84:13,
101:22, 103:22,
106:6, 107:14,
107:16, 111:24,
115:8, 116:6,
117:18, 120:8,
139:14, 142:23,
144:17, 145:14,
147:6, 152:4,
187:14, 187:19,
192:2, 193:16,
193:18, 196:16,
199:15, 199:20,
199:24, 210:19,
211:8, 211:12,
212:6, 212:21,
213:21
testify [18] - 5:24,
5:25, 6:9, 6:17,
11:24, 15:7, 20:9,
22:19, 30:17, 30:21,
62:16, 95:17,
132:21, 138:8,
170:12, 171:20,
186:23, 194:25
testifying [4] - 51:11,
130:2, 141:20,
178:11
testimony [39] - 5:7,
5:15, 6:1, 9:14, 11:3,
12:1, 12:21, 40:8,
48:7, 48:10, 48:11,
51:20, 59:24, 62:18,
64:22, 65:2, 66:6,
68:10, 86:7, 86:11,
117:17, 117:24,
118:4, 121:9,
124:14, 127:1,
129:25, 135:12,
150:1, 159:17,
169:19, 171:23,
183:24, 193:19,

200:20, 206:23,
207:22, 215:14
Texans [1] - 59:17
Texas [304] - 8:6,
12:15, 13:5, 13:19,
17:25, 18:4, 18:5,
19:23, 25:22, 26:2,
27:14, 27:16, 27:24,
28:13, 28:15, 28:18,
28:19, 28:22, 28:25,
29:3, 29:4, 29:5,
29:7, 29:11, 30:25,
38:3, 38:13, 38:14,
38:18, 40:17, 40:20,
41:1, 41:3, 41:12,
41:20, 44:8, 48:25,
49:8, 49:16, 50:25,
51:12, 51:18, 52:6,
54:10, 58:12, 58:13,
58:17, 61:10, 61:17,
62:4, 62:5, 62:18,
67:15, 70:11, 75:8,
75:16, 75:22, 75:25,
76:13, 78:20, 79:2,
79:16, 80:19, 80:20,
84:14, 84:19, 84:22,
84:24, 85:1, 85:2,
85:7, 85:16, 85:17,
85:21, 86:2, 86:9,
87:9, 87:10, 91:20,
92:18, 92:22, 94:6,
94:7, 94:14, 94:25,
95:6, 95:9, 96:22,
100:21, 106:3,
106:10, 109:22,
110:21, 113:13,
113:22, 114:2,
114:13, 114:25,
115:4, 116:13,
116:14, 116:17,
116:21, 116:25,
117:4, 117:10,
118:8, 119:7,
119:23, 120:4,
120:8, 120:13,
121:5, 128:16,
129:2, 129:5,
129:17, 129:19,
129:21, 129:22,
130:6, 130:10,
130:18, 131:6,
131:14, 131:16,
131:20, 131:24,
132:1, 132:2, 132:3,
132:7, 132:18,
132:19, 132:22,
133:7, 133:9, 134:4,
134:5, 134:7, 134:8,
134:11, 134:15,
134:17, 134:23,
135:2, 135:6, 135:8,
136:6, 136:10,
136:13, 136:16,
136:20, 137:1,
137:18, 137:19,
137:20, 137:25,
139:5, 139:22,
139:24, 140:18,
140:21, 141:3,
141:14, 141:15,
141:16, 141:18,
142:11, 142:13,
142:17, 143:11,
143:19, 143:24,
144:4, 144:19,
144:24, 145:2,
145:3, 145:6, 145:7,
145:9, 145:15,
145:18, 145:20,
145:21, 145:24,
146:2, 146:5, 146:7,
146:19, 147:10,
148:8, 148:10,
148:15, 148:17,
149:1, 149:8,
149:15, 150:4,
150:22, 150:23,
152:4, 153:9, 154:2,
159:7, 160:4, 161:8,
162:2, 163:21,
163:25, 165:3,
168:7, 169:24,
171:21, 172:3,
172:6, 172:7, 172:9,
172:24, 173:5,
173:16, 173:18,
173:24, 174:16,
174:20, 175:12,
175:17, 176:8,
177:15, 177:17,
178:12, 178:13,
178:15, 179:1,
179:8, 179:11,
179:13, 179:18,
180:24, 180:25,
186:7, 186:11,
186:15, 186:16,
186:17, 186:20,
186:21, 187:2,
187:4, 187:5, 187:8,
188:25, 189:14,
195:25, 196:18,
197:13, 198:6,
198:21, 198:24,
199:2, 199:3,
199:13, 199:16,
199:18, 199:22,
201:8, 203:7,
203:13, 203:14,
204:11, 204:14,
207:10, 207:20,
208:2, 208:13,
209:25, 210:25,
211:3, 211:7,
211:13, 211:14,
211:15, 212:6,
212:18, 213:24,
213:25, 214:2
text [2] - 135:18, 136:7
textbook [1] - 209:7
texts [1] - 91:5
theirs [1] - 27:4
themselves [1] - 21:21
therefore [2] - 82:5,
83:12
Therefore [1] - 93:14
they've [10] - 71:14,
74:2, 98:13, 208:7,
208:23, 209:15,
209:18, 209:19,
211:5, 212:9
thinks [1] - 56:14
Third [5] - 6:12,
202:22, 202:25,
207:3, 214:20
third [20] - 28:4, 77:8,
77:16, 77:24, 78:3,
78:12, 78:20, 78:22,
79:17, 80:18, 89:16,
97:18, 113:6,
128:20, 157:3,
158:6, 162:24,
162:25, 175:6,
180:12
third-parties [1] -
175:6
third-party [11] - 77:8,
77:16, 77:24, 78:3,
78:12, 78:20, 78:22,
79:17, 128:20,
157:3, 158:6
thirds [2] - 167:18,
180:4
thousand [1] - 64:20
thousands [1] - 61:4
three [18] - 34:5, 34:8,
69:22, 70:2, 70:3,
80:21, 92:19, 97:15,
112:20, 152:9,
152:11, 152:12,
162:21, 162:23,
163:3, 182:13,
192:3, 192:11
Three [1] - 152:10
throughout [7] -
12:15, 26:2, 29:24,
56:3, 184:10,
207:15, 208:2
tie [5] - 74:17, 110:24,
111:2, 111:3, 124:3
tied [2] - 109:23,
111:10
timeframe [7] - 42:13,
42:16, 42:21, 43:4,
43:9, 61:17, 62:5
title [2] - 78:2, 127:20
today [23] - 6:11, 9:14,
11:24, 12:7, 48:8,
51:11, 59:6, 101:5,
124:13, 126:18,
126:22, 126:25,
127:4, 127:5,
135:12, 156:7,
158:19, 164:13,
172:20, 173:23,
207:7, 207:16, 217:7
today's [2] - 5:21,
206:23
together [3] - 70:18,
128:5, 191:19
took [10] - 14:20, 23:4,
37:15, 67:11, 70:12,
70:14, 92:4, 130:7,
154:2, 169:25
tool [1] - 165:2
top [12] - 28:6, 28:7,
37:7, 66:17, 76:12,
160:6, 164:13,
167:16, 167:20,
182:6, 184:18, 204:7
topics [1] - 155:25
Torres [2] - 55:21,
55:25
total [17] - 35:7, 77:2,
79:16, 88:10, 88:15,
92:20, 94:7, 100:2,
120:12, 122:8,
126:6, 176:7,
182:13, 182:15,
188:17, 188:20
totally [1] - 97:13
totals [1] - 114:20
towing [6] - 77:25,
78:6, 78:15, 78:23,
79:13, 79:17
trace [1] - 138:21
track [8] - 31:15,
125:3, 125:7, 142:6,
142:8, 143:5,
154:12, 205:12
trademark [28] - 9:25,
36:20, 44:1, 53:4,
74:18, 83:16, 90:19,
90:20, 90:21, 91:10,
101:11, 107:17,
161:9, 161:11,
163:11, 164:3,
164:18, 165:13,
165:22, 166:2,
166:12, 166:20,
167:19, 194:13,
194:17, 201:19,
206:1
Trademark [5] - 45:24,
50:2, 50:11, 53:5,
62:22
trademarks [4] - 57:1,
161:19, 163:22,
164:1
Trademarks [2] - 10:3,
10:9
traffic [1] - 139:21
training [1] - 200:4
transaction [2] -
157:2, 157:10
transactions [2] -
110:8, 157:8
transcribe [1] - 150:19
transcript [2] - 108:8,
218:13
Transfer [1] - 33:22
transfer [4] - 34:15,
56:25, 57:7, 58:2
transferred [3] -
36:14, 57:10, 57:21
transition [1] - 54:25
treasurer [1] - 182:11
treat [1] - 115:12
treated [1] - 171:22
treatise [3] - 165:19,
193:3, 203:18
treatises [8] - 91:12,
161:4, 190:16,
191:6, 195:10,
195:12, 196:13
TRENTON [1] - 1:11
trial [28] - 10:21,
12:18, 12:24, 13:10,
13:12, 13:13, 14:21,
29:19, 34:24, 41:2,
45:16, 66:11, 68:22,
70:22, 98:17,
103:24, 117:22,
119:20, 120:6,
121:11, 155:8,
200:21, 205:5,
207:8, 207:22,
211:6, 216:1, 216:13
tried [8] - 110:24,
111:2, 111:3,
123:24, 124:3,
146:7, 146:13,
149:15
Tried [1] - 146:8
tries [1] - 146:3
trouble [1] - 54:5
truck [1] - 144:24
true [11] - 28:14,
89:22, 93:12,
116:14, 163:3,
163:6, 192:9, 193:9,
193:10, 194:10,

202:4
trust [2] - 144:13,
 188:19
trustees [1] - 57:6
truth [1] - 20:8
try [8] - 49:15, 75:10,
 139:12, 148:10,
 148:16, 149:6,
 165:3, 193:19
trying [15] - 8:21,
 10:18, 41:18, 60:4,
 65:1, 87:5, 93:9,
 137:18, 141:17,
 141:18, 164:17,
 191:9, 193:23,
 204:22, 205:17
turn [4] - 27:21, 34:11,
 133:12, 188:3
TV [5] - 32:23, 62:5,
 147:11, 147:13,
 147:14
Two [3] - 62:11,
 175:16, 206:25
two [36] - 8:16, 10:17,
 14:21, 33:11, 56:10,
 56:16, 56:17, 65:21,
 65:23, 67:9, 70:7,
 72:19, 104:4,
 105:23, 118:4,
 123:11, 123:12,
 125:20, 126:7,
 163:2, 167:14,
 167:18, 176:3,
 178:15, 180:4,
 180:11, 184:9,
 197:12, 201:7,
 204:7, 206:23,
 208:3, 212:12,
 213:19, 217:4, 217:6
two-thirds [2] -
 167:18, 180:4
TX [1] - 27:16
type [7] - 9:4, 86:13,
 175:24, 197:9,
 208:25, 214:13,
 215:3
types [9] - 6:4, 6:13,
 13:2, 66:2, 75:23,
 81:21, 112:13,
 173:15, 207:12
typical [1] - 90:7
typically [6] - 66:4,
 74:10, 75:3, 110:10,
 159:15, 161:20
Typically [1] - 161:22

U

U.S. [5] - 1:15, 1:24,

50:2, 50:11, 62:22
U.S.C. [1] - 7:23
ultimately [3] - 29:1,
 103:22, 157:17
Um-hmm [1] - 153:3
unable [5] - 111:2,
 124:4, 211:3,
 211:13, 212:17
unaware [1] - 51:14
uncertainties [1] -
 8:22
unclean [1] - 214:6
unclear [1] - 61:20
uncommon [1] -
 109:21
under [17] - 57:13,
 71:13, 73:17, 77:3,
 81:16, 97:8, 99:12,
 99:16, 104:2,
 111:25, 168:17,
 170:15, 170:20,
 176:9, 177:1, 183:4,
 207:3
underlying [4] - 80:12,
 81:19, 105:23, 158:4
Understood [2] -
 216:12, 216:14
understood [4] -
 161:5, 166:24,
 196:14, 206:20
Unfair [1] - 10:4
unique [1] - 165:22
United [3] - 45:24,
 53:4, 208:2
UNITED [1] - 1:1
units [2] - 70:9, 70:13
universally [1] - 101:9
University [1] - 214:16
unjustly [1] - 9:21
unless [5] - 82:11,
 105:24, 109:7,
 126:19, 156:25
Unlike [1] - 90:6
unlike [1] - 171:5
up [103] - 6:21, 20:17,
 24:22, 25:3, 25:4,
 27:18, 27:24, 28:15,
 28:18, 28:22, 28:25,
 29:4, 29:10, 29:11,
 30:11, 33:19, 46:13,
 46:18, 46:20, 47:3,
 47:4, 47:5, 47:15,
 47:17, 47:21, 47:25,
 48:5, 48:9, 48:20,
 51:15, 52:10, 52:11,
 52:19, 58:7, 58:23,
 58:25, 59:23, 59:24,
 60:10, 60:19, 60:23,
 67:17, 69:22, 71:19,
 72:4, 72:25, 73:17,

73:19, 74:1, 78:1,
 78:20, 83:12, 88:4,
 92:19, 94:7, 114:14,
 116:14, 118:15,
 120:8, 120:11,
 126:10, 127:1,
 128:24, 129:1,
 129:5, 132:17,
 133:12, 134:20,
 134:21, 134:23,
 134:24, 135:19,
 136:5, 137:12,
 139:1, 140:11,
 142:19, 144:23,
 144:25, 148:22,
 149:7, 151:8,
 151:18, 152:21,
 155:6, 158:23,
 159:21, 164:6,
 167:7, 172:22,
 176:13, 177:17,
 178:5, 181:18,
 182:15, 184:3,
 184:7, 185:23,
 191:14, 191:16
ups [2] - 72:21, 150:22
URL [8] - 30:4, 31:4,
 32:15, 33:3, 33:4,
 33:21, 34:2, 211:24
users [1] - 150:22
uses [6] - 33:5, 77:9,
 81:5, 106:9, 143:22,
 202:19
USPTO [1] - 52:24

V

VALERIE [1] - 2:14
validate [1] - 33:1
various [3] - 14:7,
 14:11, 156:19
vary [1] - 110:14
varying [1] - 123:17
vehicle [30] - 20:17,
 76:12, 78:1, 105:10,
 115:21, 115:25,
 116:3, 116:9,
 144:19, 160:3,
 161:8, 161:13,
 161:16, 162:6,
 162:8, 162:14,
 163:4, 163:6,
 163:21, 165:3,
 166:11, 166:14,
 171:1, 179:5,
 183:18, 191:12,
 192:20, 198:13,
 205:7, 205:12
vehicles [11] - 27:19,
 67:16, 70:1, 128:25,

129:1, 162:24,
 165:15, 180:23,
 181:14, 181:15,
 192:10
vendor [4] - 141:4,
 141:6, 174:25,
 176:12
vendors [3] - 128:21,
 141:7, 199:3
verdict [14] - 13:4,
 14:7, 15:21, 19:21,
 25:15, 29:22,
 119:23, 120:1,
 130:7, 131:21,
 132:12, 138:5,
 145:16, 156:8
Verify [1] - 143:13
verify [2] - 75:10,
 109:14
versus [12] - 31:7,
 34:9, 71:6, 80:10,
 82:21, 88:12, 91:25,
 101:3, 118:7, 164:3,
 183:13, 193:10
vexatious [1] - 215:3
view [3] - 171:19,
 179:10, 183:21
viewable [1] - 145:21
viewers [4] - 142:10,
 142:17, 143:9,
 143:13
visit [8] - 34:25, 66:16,
 101:25, 102:15,
 102:18, 103:7,
 135:11, 158:11
visited [8] - 46:22,
 46:25, 48:21,
 101:22, 102:17,
 118:13, 118:16,
 118:19
visitors [2] - 141:23,
 141:25
visits [2] - 135:22,
 143:14
visualize [1] - 135:20
VOGL [98] - 2:5, 3:4,
 3:6, 3:10, 3:11, 5:5,
 5:14, 5:18, 6:23, 7:3,
 7:8, 7:10, 7:12, 7:15,
 9:17, 13:23, 14:2,
 15:4, 15:16, 16:10,
 17:5, 17:15, 19:16,
 20:2, 20:18, 20:25,
 21:3, 21:22, 22:3,
 22:8, 23:13, 23:24,
 24:11, 25:10, 30:15,
 32:7, 35:23, 36:24,
 39:23, 39:25, 40:4,
 41:4, 46:2, 46:10,
 46:13, 48:3, 49:3,

49:18, 52:1, 56:20,
 60:9, 60:25, 61:18,
 62:11, 62:12, 62:25,
 63:11, 63:15,
 125:17, 125:21,
 126:17, 126:21,
 127:6, 127:8,
 127:10, 127:17,
 127:18, 133:20,
 134:2, 137:17,
 139:6, 152:15,
 152:21, 152:24,
 153:13, 153:15,
 153:25, 154:8,
 206:20, 206:25,
 207:2, 207:19,
 209:10, 213:19,
 213:21, 214:9,
 214:12, 215:9,
 215:22, 216:7,
 216:12, 216:14,
 216:16, 216:20,
 216:24, 217:2,
 217:9, 217:18
Vogl [25] - 16:9, 22:7,
 23:12, 46:1, 46:9,
 51:24, 59:23, 60:1,
 60:2, 60:5, 60:7,
 60:17, 61:11,
 124:13, 124:25,
 127:7, 154:13,
 209:16, 210:10,
 210:21, 211:6,
 211:11, 211:12,
 211:22, 212:22
Vogl's [2] - 201:9,
 201:19
voiceover [1] - 136:17
volume [1] - 199:25
voluminous [3] - 66:4,
 75:7, 75:20
voracity [1] - 109:14
voucher [1] - 59:7
VOX [1] - 213:6
Vs [1] - 1:5

W

wages [4] - 112:3,
 113:17, 164:23,
 180:2
wait [1] - 46:3
Wait [9] - 20:19,
 33:24, 51:24, 59:22,
 73:9, 79:8, 84:2,
 179:20, 191:15
waited [4] - 208:8,
 208:20, 209:2,
 209:22
waiting [1] - 147:7

waived ^[1] - 209:18
walk ^[1] - 164:11
WALSH ^[1] - 2:2
wants ^[3] - 123:5,
 125:3, 147:19
ways ^[3] - 89:25,
 135:16, 161:23
weak ^[1] - 97:11
wear ^[1] - 26:19
wears ^[1] - 139:13
Weather.com ^[2] -
 16:19, 16:20
web ^[1] - 135:22
webpage ^[7] - 19:5,
 24:24, 27:23, 30:5,
 49:9, 133:15, 133:17
website ^[54] - 24:5,
 29:9, 29:23, 29:25,
 30:3, 30:6, 32:18,
 32:20, 33:9, 33:10,
 33:16, 33:19, 34:7,
 48:12, 48:15, 66:24,
 73:16, 73:23, 83:21,
 95:9, 117:22, 118:2,
 118:7, 118:12,
 118:13, 118:15,
 118:17, 118:19,
 118:24, 119:4,
 130:5, 130:8,
 130:10, 130:20,
 130:22, 131:5,
 133:16, 134:9,
 135:11, 135:22,
 135:25, 137:3,
 139:18, 139:21,
 141:21, 143:14,
 145:10, 145:24,
 146:15, 150:6,
 150:7, 151:12,
 151:20, 212:24
websites ^[1] - 136:10
week ^[1] - 17:1
weeks ^[3] - 56:9,
 217:4, 217:6
weigh ^[1] - 117:12
welcome ^[2] - 154:24,
 181:17
WENTWORTH ^[10] -
 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, 3:5,
 3:6, 11:5, 11:15,
 46:10, 56:23, 62:12
Wentworth ^[48] - 5:22,
 5:23, 6:17, 11:1,
 11:2, 11:7, 11:9,
 11:18, 14:6, 14:19,
 15:5, 15:7, 17:9,
 17:18, 17:24, 20:20,
 22:5, 22:15, 22:19,
 22:24, 34:14, 37:22,
 39:8, 46:11, 46:14,
 48:24, 49:4, 49:19,
 50:13, 51:25, 52:2,
 56:5, 62:13, 63:3,
 66:15, 66:20, 66:21,
 84:13, 130:25,
 138:8, 138:12,
 150:2, 211:8,
 211:11, 211:15,
 212:6, 212:21,
 213:21
Wentworth's ^[2] -
 22:10, 129:25
Western ^[1] - 214:17
whacks ^[1] - 88:1
whatsoever ^[2] -
 60:11, 211:7
whereas ^[1] - 122:25
whoever's ^[1] - 58:25
whole ^[3] - 40:5,
 102:21, 216:13
Wilde ^[2] - 55:21,
 55:25
WILDE ^[1] - 2:14
willful ^[9] - 211:18,
 211:19, 211:25,
 212:16, 214:8,
 214:12, 214:20,
 214:22
willfully ^[4] - 211:21,
 211:23, 211:24,
 212:4
willing ^[1] - 67:17
wish ^[5] - 20:5, 127:3,
 206:19, 209:11,
 213:18
withdrawal ^[1] -
 117:24
withdrawn ^[1] -
 107:15
withdrew ^[1] - 55:24
WITNESS ^[67] - 3:1,
 11:7, 11:10, 14:20,
 17:19, 22:4, 22:6,
 34:16, 34:18, 39:10,
 39:14, 39:17, 40:23,
 43:3, 63:4, 64:1,
 64:4, 73:11, 73:14,
 73:25, 77:14, 78:11,
 79:12, 83:3, 83:5,
 83:15, 84:5, 84:17,
 84:23, 85:10, 87:17,
 87:24, 88:25, 89:4,
 89:6, 89:11, 93:9,
 93:24, 94:3, 94:16,
 96:13, 96:24, 97:10,
 97:13, 124:18,
 127:14, 127:16,
 137:13, 140:14,
 149:23, 153:3,
 154:22, 154:24,
 162:25, 166:16,
 166:18, 167:4,
 179:23, 180:13,
 180:19, 181:3,
 181:8, 181:17,
 191:17, 191:20,
 191:24, 206:13
witness ^[36] - 5:20,
 5:21, 5:22, 6:8, 11:4,
 11:11, 11:14, 17:6,
 20:9, 23:25, 26:23,
 26:25, 30:17, 40:2,
 46:8, 57:4, 61:19,
 62:8, 63:6, 63:14,
 101:13, 101:17,
 121:22, 124:8,
 125:18, 125:21,
 125:22, 125:24,
 153:22, 154:15,
 169:4, 185:5,
 185:15, 185:16,
 185:19, 206:8
Witness ^[4] - 63:5,
 124:19, 154:11,
 206:15
witnesses ^[4] - 5:9,
 81:25, 125:20, 126:7
WL ^[1] - 214:16
word ^[5] - 89:23,
 123:23, 132:14,
 169:21, 208:17
words ^[8] - 48:19,
 81:15, 92:18, 142:7,
 142:8, 142:11,
 142:14, 149:12
works ^[6] - 27:14,
 27:15, 66:19,
 150:10, 182:12
world ^[1] - 64:20
Worth ^[3] - 24:16,
 24:17, 24:20
wrap ^[2] - 126:10,
 126:12
wrap-up ^[1] - 126:10
WRIGHT ^[2] - 2:6,
 101:15
writing ^[2] - 32:24,
 45:15
wrote ^[2] - 50:25,
 207:24
www ^[1] - 33:8
Www ^[1] - 34:4
 95:11, 141:9, 146:23
Yahoo's ^[1] - 147:1
YANAROS ^[2] - 2:14,
 2:14
Year ^[1] - 26:3
year ^[26] - 26:4, 26:20,
 50:3, 50:6, 70:16,
 71:13, 71:14, 71:15,
 72:24, 85:2, 85:5,
 85:6, 85:24, 114:25,
 130:13, 164:15,
 166:16, 166:22,
 166:23, 174:10,
 181:22, 182:18,
 183:4, 205:8
years ^[24] - 12:4,
 25:22, 31:15, 40:22,
 70:2, 70:3, 70:7,
 85:3, 85:16, 96:3,
 96:5, 131:8, 166:18,
 179:3, 205:23,
 205:24, 208:6,
 208:7, 210:4, 210:8,
 210:13, 210:14,
 210:16
Yellow ^[2] - 95:1,
 213:24
yesterday ^[2] - 23:23,
 27:4
yielding ^[1] - 167:19
yields ^[1] - 184:22
York ^[2] - 213:7, 213:9
yourself ^[6] - 11:16,
 11:24, 64:9, 64:10,
 155:2, 195:8
Youth ^[1] - 43:18

Z

zero ^[2] - 209:24,
 210:25
Zero ^[1] - 210:2
zip ^[3] - 137:20, 148:9,
 150:23

Y

y'all ^[8] - 12:8, 14:14,
 34:6, 34:10, 59:4,
 59:15, 59:20, 60:3
Yahoo ^[5] - 85:4, 95:4,